No. 24-5744

IN THE Supreme Court of the United States

#### ANDRE MICHAEL DUBOIS,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari To the United States Court of Appeals For the Eleventh Circuit

### SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

NICOLE M. KAPLAN *Counsel of Record* FEDERAL DEFENDER PROGRAM, INC. 101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 1500 Atlanta, Georgia 30303 (404) 688-7530 Nicole\_Kaplan@FD.org

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
SUPLEMENTAL ARGUMENT	1
CONCLUSION	2

# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

# Cases

Garland v. Range,	
144 S. Ct. 2706 (2024)	1
Range v. Attorney General, No. 21-2835 (3d Cir. Dec. 23, 2024)	1
United States v. Rahimi,	
602 U.S. 680 (2024)	1

#### SUPPLEMENTAL ARGUMENT

In his reply brief in support of granting certiorari, Mr. Dubois pointed out that en banc rehearing was pending in the Third Circuit in *Range v. Attorney General*, No. 21-2835 (3d. Cir.) after the case was remanded for reconsideration in light of *United States v. Rahimi*, 602 U.S. 680 (2024). *Garland v. Range*, 144 S. Ct. 2706 (2024). That case has now been decided.

Upon rehearing, the Third Circuit reaffirmed that Mr. Range is among "the people' protected by the Second Amendment." *Range v. Attorney General*, No. 21-2835, slip. op. at 5 (3d Cir. Dec. 23, 2024). And the court sustained Mr. Range's as-applied challenge to the lifetime ban on his possession of weapons under § 922(g)(1). Slip. op. at 24-25.

In so holding, the Third Circuit found that the government did not meet its burden of showing a historical analog to permanent disarmament of someone like Mr. Range. *Id.* at 24. The court relied on the forfeiture laws that "prescribed the forfeiture of the specific weapon" but did not forbid acquisition of arms after completion of one's sentence and reentry into society. *Id.* at 23. Two judges dissented. *Id.* at 4 (noting concurrences and dissents).

#### CONCLUSION

As noted in Mr. Dubois's petition and reply brief, the circuit split is ongoing and hardening. He respectfully requests that this Court grant the petition.

Respectfully Submitted,

Miche Kylo-

NICOLE M. KAPLAN *Counsel of Record* FEDERAL DEFENDER PROGRAM 101 Marietta Street, NW Suite 1500 Atlanta, Georgia 30303 (404) 688-7530 Nicole\_Kaplan@FD.org

December 31, 2024