

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

Jenny & Jeremy Bruns,  
*Petitioners,*

v.

USAA, *et al.*,  
*Respondents.*

---

**On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the  
United States Court of Appeals  
for the District of Columbia Circuit**

---

**MOTION TO ALL OF THE JUSTICES FOR RECUSAL(S)  
FOR FINANCIAL INTEREST IN USAA**

---

JENNY & JEREMY BRUNS  
*Pro Se*  
3905 N. 7th Ave. #34304  
Phoenix, AZ 85067  
(910) 322-2276  
EqualJusticeUnderLaw@email.com

September 20, 2023

---

---

Dear Honorable Justices:

According to a recent PBS.org article, "Justices typically do not respond to calls for their recusals, except in the rare instances in which they are made by parties to the case." So because I know that Justice Alito was an Army officer, if he carries any financial product or does any business with USAA, then recusal in our case is valid, especially since the gravamen of our claims is about USAA's unlawful combination with judges to unlawfully deprive us of our vested property, also knowing that the judges below arbitrarily ignored the relevant facts and explicit, mandatory, unambiguous, and well-established bright-line rules and black-letter laws that are supposed to control the outcome of our case, thus shielding USAA's bad faith conduct with impunity. I also request recusal from any other Justice who has a financial interest in USAA, however small, because USAA uses a "secret formula" for the annual dividends deposited into their members' Subscriber Savings Account, which constitutes a "financial interest" that falls outside the scope of the limited exclusions under 28 U.S.C. §455(d)(4)(i) and (iii), thus warranting mandatory disqualification and recusal to consider our petition for certiorari. The crux of our case complains about the lack of

due process by way of judges who acted in all absence of jurisdiction with shocks-the-conscience conduct. From the threshold of both our State and Federal cases, we have been deprived of our fundamental Fourteenth Amendment right to impartial, independent judges; they bafflingly refused to use the ordinary tools of interpretation, and they put their thumbs on the scale for USAA. To date, we've not received a final judgment on the merits by a jurisdictionally competent court. So, if we must turn square corners when dealing with the government, then why won't the government turn square corners when dealing with us?

*Will any constitutionally authorized jurist finally hear us?*

In sum, if any Justice has any financial interest in USAA, then it's a sound reason for your recusal, and you have no duty to sit in our case.

Sincerely submitted,



---

Jenny Bruns, *Pro Se*

20 September 2023

3905 N. 7th Ave. #34304, Phoenix, Arizona 85067  
(910) 322-2276; EqualJusticeUnderLaw@email.com