

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Soonyoung Kim — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

The Superior court vs.
The Appeal court
The Supreme court — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The Supreme court in California

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Soonyoung Kim

(Your Name)

2000 Tanforan Ave.

(Address)

placentia Ca. 92870

(City, State, Zip Code)

213.269.8235

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

If You will be clear at below mention by my petition of 39pages, what would You do against such jurists, tremendous crimes as the National matter!?

This section is the contents for "Reason for Granting Petition" together, that I don't have any page from 40.

There is no any evidence for guilty in this world, but civil. The prosecutor, McFretidge issued this case to threaten me and as the worst situation as he could(1charge of 3, against law which dropped without any one augment and bail impossible), to pay by using national facility, jail for his profit, with evidence, only 4documents among 80, which are clearly and 100%, innocent. Jurists threatened me to pay at 1st time, then to sign at guilty, otherwise in jail more than 16,12 or 9years and hid the law of credit for Koran jail period at that time, even all jurists must not have any way to know this case, issued weirdly clearly, still I am guilty by keeping such weird all lies.

As the National matter,at such jurists,that You and people must concern, is jurists'lies, too clear weird and irritated, at basic common sense, and clear paradoxical all verbal evidence against their evidence, 4documents, as full contents of Decision. Such situation shows jurists have not any feeling at their crime at cases, as hardened for the historic. Even at main issues for "commission and middle person", occurred at jury court as comedy then Decision changed the content of commission at jury, "by one witness plaintiff' said" at international deal for \$1.92million. Not only such awful lies "at their several 'the court live deception shows', there are professional plots at laws, and main issues of the court. If You see actually, by appendixes, such Decision of full such lies, and all lies at jury which was kept on, straightly for a few hours for a few days, You will feel that they are exact lair ghost, criminal devil, destroyer life and murderer for their private profit, as their main job and the places of courts are the places for jurists' crimes achieved.

Jurists corrupted by using their title, are more horrible to human, than any than people' severe heavy crimes. All above metion, that I have proved at 39papers with broken English and less knowledge of law, but enough that You can see as the jurist. How can I keep lies for 39papers and contents which I wrote by my hand, 10times at about 100appendixes, so writing was not trim but were with my best honest, based on best facts which no human can deny.

LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

prosecutor Mc Fetridge

D. attorney of Reply brief

P. Defender Mr. Shui

Ms Stanton

private attorney Mr Heheghan

Mr. Dunn

Mr. Queens

Mr. Wallin

When I have a chance, I can prove their crime,
horrible to the society and people

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>The appeal court</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>The superior court</i>
APPENDIX C	<i>The Supreme court of California</i>
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	
APPENDIX G	<i>The General at the case</i>

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

S 246821 The Supreme court	7-8
G 053028 The Appeal court	19-31
07HF1249 The Superior court	13-18 and 32-39

STATUTES AND RULES

Grand theft P. C. 487

OTHER

none

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- reported at The appeal court; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was March 14th 2007
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C-1.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____. *a clerk told me that I am not available for petition rehearing.*

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

*I have one certain mind (my view) at this case, which I can't control to not describe even You put in Your inside, again as 3couts. My mind at this case, as one human, must be very truth, correct and painful, might be for the righteous at the judicial branch, of this Nation. Honestly this mind to be opened to the public and this case corrected, will be ever and try continuously to have chance, till I will die. As a human, I can't help to stop.

Therefor, my focus at the petition is not for only my innocence, which I and my family need mostly for total our left life from the life destroyed totally, from 10years ago by the jurists' crime at this case in Korea and here, even though. I, as the defendant, am compositing this petition with broken English. Honestly I don't know the laws of Constitutional and Statutory, as much for this petition, absolutely, but I can prove that there was no proof for guilty but all lies produced, till comedy lies, *in fact, weird, dizzy fiction deals' novel* that I will prove, and all documents at the time of the deals of the case, are to me, innocent.

Therefore I can prove this petition, all contents of guilty at this case projected from the issuing, for private secret profits.

The one of main matters at this case, is that all contents produced, are all clear lies till all weird ones, for 4years in jail and \$1.92million payment, moreover, I can proved all contents, lies by basic human common sense because the lies produced for guilty, are weird, not for only me, but as the subject for the people in this nation. Like this, all jurists at this case, in Korea and here, over 10years, for \$1.92million cash case, destroyed, one human, not only my physical life also make my mine broken, at jurists, totally, as the fact of their horrible crime. If the jurists followed as they are normanl jurists, *at least*, they had to let go civil, then the plaintiff had the proper rate of this money already and I could continue happy life as much as I tried and I did not see such jurists dirtiest facts.

How much they are criminal at this case, as much as heinous crime. Because their such as dizzy fiction 'weird deal' novel ^{contents for guilty this} case, were not all. There were, tremendous crime of plots at entire ^{at} issues for guilty that I found from the transcripts that I will prove. That's the reason why I want to shout to some ones or National organizations, to correct such too criminal jurists by ^{using} their titles. These were ^{ones of} jurists' plots, the prosecutor shouting for the pleading guilty to jurors to ignore the legal contents of the charge with judge supporting with false legal contents, and changing the charge's title, illegally and such worse than inappropriate statements on the judiciary formal and public ^{document} for the guilty. I think these must be clear against the laws of Constitutional and Statutory and makes me painful as much as I am such guilty. Therefore, I began to have another perfect evidence at this petition, which are their criminal records of transcripts, prosecutor evidence at this case. and, Decision self of The Appeal Court.

When I began to learn more the laws and to see jurists, I began to burn with my own rightness from my life for 70 years. It's not only reason that I lost everything I owned, be loved by my heart including 2 houses in here and Korea, but I began to know which I should not know about jurist crime but they are as much as murder and destroyer by ^{using} jurists' title and by using laws skillfully. And they look to lose to reflect such them selves ^{and} keep crimes at the cases. Each of lies, for the guilty from the jurists, too tremendous, still make me to be shaken. Rather I wished that I am guilty, then, I protected my everything to not be lost, and did not know how are the jurists criminal as the fact with full and clear evidence.

I know this case cannot make me lose anymore except the Green Card. Therefore I can forget this petition, because to protest against guilty intended and projected by jurist, is the most ^{hardest and disappointed, because if} criminal jurists ^{are} not be associated, with private attorney and upper courts, they can not commit crimes at case, to leave their crimes at the transcripts and Decision self.

They were not careful at their crime to any, none. They did not afraid of upper courts and any of The National Representative Persons or organizations. Therefore, the criminal jurists can continue to destroy and kill the innocent people without any bothering for their secret private profit, ^{professional} Therefore my another focus at this petition except for my innocent, is the

reporting about the jurists linked ~~corrupt~~ and crime s who are against the law, the people of the nation, to You to find, clearly, as a defendant and as a human. So, my petition will be a kind of reporting style about jurists, which is longer, and straight expression at the cites of tremendous crimes that I can not help, as the human, but I hope You will understand, at my honest petition from my heart base on the all facts with proper and objective evidence which no human can deny.

For these two deals, including second deal of the case, there was no proper proof that I was guilty from the issuing. There was no evidence that I was doing anything wrong, as the seller.

There should be documents at 2 international deals, over 5 million dollars, all documentation show that everything was for me, seller. However, they are now presenting, all verbal evidence, things I have never **said** and **heard** at the time of 2 deals. Moreover the verbal evidence for guilty are incorrect till weird, to say and to hear at any deal in this human association, therefor 2 deals at Decision are weird and impossible at any actual deal. Any verbal evidence which is the Decision contents, also fundamentally

can not be evidence at the international two deals over 5 million dollars, as basic human's common sense. *as one example the plaintiff (Korex) sent \$1.92 million to me in a person to forward to MEMC (manufactory) by my told, the main for guilty, then* Therefore all verbal evidence at Decision of guilty are paradoxical, even the prosecutor 4 documents evidence and all of about 80 documents. Moreover, the prosecutor 4 docs, at the deals show I was fine. Therefor, the jurists produced the contents of verbal evidence are weird, outrageous, as fiction comedy novel, which is quite confusing at the actual deal, as impossible human deal, based on clear false testimony produced, which are out of the jurists but sinners by using jurist title. *prosecutor evidence, my invoice to Korex!? and such content self, comedy as basic human common sense, at main issue for guilty.*

The matter is that judges accepted verbal evidence, clear false, instead of documents which are, including documents with plaintiff' signatures which are in front of jurists as the exhibits. *and composited by chol, only one witness, plaintiff.*

The Public Defender, Mr. S. Daniels said that I am innocent at The Preliminary Court after hearing from the prosecutor, McFretidge, verbal evidence and *100% imitational* explanations about four document, therefore Decision might to try once, but changed my invoice, to factory invoice by the skill of language for their they

deception, to make me, middle person from the seller, for guilty. Mr. S. Daniels did not have any evidence for me and even though I did not know what my case, just guessed. Because I did not know even police and prosecutor report which they had to give. Later I asked to give eagerly, they did not give till judge ordered after my claim.

3 attorneys whom I met in jail, all said this case must be civil case, not the criminal case, simply and easily by just sale contracts of 4 documents evidence at 2 deals, of the prosecutor.

Then I was shocked to hear statement of the prosecutor, McFretidge at The Preliminary court and read Discovery report' contents, are exact same as the comedy deal' story in Korea case and same main story at Korea case

*I was guilty for 4.5 years in Korea jail, which was longer period than similar cases. I found the Korean jurists' real purpose for this case is to threaten me to pay for the imprisonment punishment. As the same reason for the case in California with prosecutor's 4 docs. evidence for innocent and weird contents for guilty, as same purpose as Korean *had to be*

Including judges, all jurists with my private attorney at The Superior court, manipulated and threatened me to sign guilty, saying that I will be out of jail immediately. I found that I will be out of jail immediately, by one law for credit of punishment at foreign country at same case, even if I will be guilty and have maximum period in jail, this offer to sign as guilty was not for me, at all, but to help the prosecutor who issued this case with innocence 4 docs. illegally with his knowledge, for his private secret profit, to be closed with *clear criminal all evidence of 4 documents & weird verbal evidence.*

Komex attorney in Korea, Woogeun Lee was the president of the Seoul District Court (as the prosecutor, McFretidge in here) by the end of 2006, where my case was in March 2007. Korea court denied all documents including 4 docs. of the prosecutor evidence. The police locked me up **appendix 4-1** before a warrant. A warrant issued in here by the innocent 4 documents at 2 deals, which are impossible to file criminal case, from civil case. One Dist. Attorney did same criminal job of the former president of one Dist. Court, but bolder and less careful at crimes at the case. I am still guilty by

The Supreme court, in California, 3 courts by all weird lies produced and 4 documents which are for innocent, gave a sentence for 4 years in jail and \$1.92 million to pay.

The attorney, Giho Song on Commission of Human Rights advised me that the jurists in Korea were so corrupted as well the government. And he advised that I have to wait until a new honest president to stand and retrial for my innocence for the case.

I came to U.S.A. to sue the plaintiff, Komex and to find to sue Korean jurists with the most important (about) 30 documents and wanted to get the money back from two brothers, at righteous nation, of the U.S.A. I was glad that I will get the right judgment by American jurists whom people have to respect, because I believed America' righteous.

The jail in Orange county said lies, several times, and I found these about 30 documents as my life saver at this case, was not at the jail any more. Eventually I had the answer letter from the Sheriff Dept. in Orange county at my grievance, by my hardest efforts at the one of the most human difficult situation in jail, against physical pain, insulting and ignoring, but theses were better than horrible fear in jail by their prohibition' way. Rather this answer letter from Sherriff with **appendix G** clear lies, proves that these documents were gone [stolen] by snitch, **-2** deputy to the district attorney. Inmates told such information already and a few articles of local newspapers mentioned about snitch as the big issue that I have a few copies of the newspapers. And the sergeant came to my room with dog to inspect rooms around me, as the first and deputy disturbed my documents that I arranged from about 200 pcs. brought from Korea again (copies) in middle of jury days usually jail uses dog for inmates addicted by drug. **appendix G-3**, And I could not testify without document, as I planned. The Public Defender, Shui told lie that I lost in San Francisco to Goethals, I could not sle at all judge at jury court when was after that Shui told he read the letter of The Sheriff Department. The lies was not to Goethals but to me to hide prosecutor' stealing as their normal issue. Also Goethals blamed and stopped me to try to say correctly, rather.

I began to write to The National Representatives after hearing of the prosecutor because I could remember every word of the prosecutor, same lies as Korea court. I could not send the letters because I began at preliminary court, to see jurists, criminal in USA

to know the jail will transfer to the prosecutor. I wrote in jail at unique papers with lines with pencil that I have them still and I gave the public defender. I ^{became} to know they did not care their crime at any of The National Representatives

When I filed the petition to The Supreme court, I mailed, the petition contents a few organizations and I have received an answer from FBI saying they could not understand my petition and concern to receive clearly. That's reason why I am writing this petition, in short sentences, possible making You to understand, as well as getting corrections from an American.

This case is very simple and a clear case to be seen guilty or not at criminal case. Because there are objective record of the deals, which were exhibited, 38 documents from me, among 80, at deals at that time of the deals, and there were 2 times of manipulating to not submit by, judge Goethals. P 528-532. And 3 courts did not accept none among 38 including 4 docs. of the prosecutor' evidence. The case took 3 years for 3 judgments. The Appeal took about one year, for guilty. Now there are over thousand papers including over 700 transcripts for this case for all lies produced that I will prove. After reading the Decision, I thought that ^{The} Court took about one year to produce and adjust all verbal evidence from one witness, Choi, plaintiff by prosecutor with the judge' help, for guilty projected. I can't work due Green card suspended since 2007 4-yr. but hanging the most difficult fighting against weird contents intended by jurists for innocent. *No jurists including my lawyer, T. Dunn for The Appeal Court, gave or I proved it, told me about the judgment Note, of The Jury Court, as Decision white with even I asked judge Goethals at final court, after about one ^{the best which no human can deny} month after being pronounced guilty. Recently, for This Petition, I tried but jurists to get, the Note, again. The Superior Court said the Note was sealed. Is ^{Keep it,} there a way Mr. Dunn could write two briefs without The Note? And I ^{black by} wonder why and how Goethals did not give the Note. I will provide ^{weird lies} about The Superior Court against **Constitutional and Statutory Laws** by ^{for their profit to destroy me.} transcripts and exhibits, at jury court section.

If all statements as above are real, You can see me, any defendant to be shocked and totally exhausted, at this case by jurists' heinous crime I can not correct express, except heinous crime but opened, as the jurists fact. They are as like devil as their crime to me destroyed and they can kill the

people without any reflection using by jurists 'title and position, continuesouly, *that every person, if the person, human, must agree.*

My provision about The Supreme Court of California against Constitutional and Statutory law at the judgment

This court denied by just one sentence of "The petition for review is denied" and the clerk said I was not able to file rehearing, even though.

03/12/2018	Received copy of:	notice of errata from appellant addressed to Supreme Court
------------	-------------------	--

The content of rectangle is from The Appeal court. The Supreme Court received 'my notice of errata' on Mar. 12th, then how could *the clerk stamp at the Decision* on March 14/Mar.?

As I mentioned, one of the most professional searching organization, FBI answered that FBI could not understand my reporting. Therefore I tried several American to read, and said they don't know what I was telling about some of the petition. I was panic. And I was wonder how The Supreme court denied. May be the Supreme court understood better than people and FBI at the petition of criminal case by a few sentence and attachments. And The Supreme Court must be different at my broken sentences at petition from FBI, 3rd party.

Also, I asked to permit me to submit more evidence. Before permission or refusal, the petition denied. Also just one of the exhibits or even though one word of "my signature of my name at the title of the seller, or the signature ^{of} plaintiff at the title of the buyer, is enough to the judges to concern of Decision, to be searched, at least. *Two signatures were at purchasing documents from plaintiff, as prosecutor evidence.*

The contents-selves of Decision consist of all verbal evidence at criminal case and these are from only one witness, ^{plaintiff} *not 3rd party at* 2 international deals over \$5million, show as the significant suspicious subject, as basic common human sense. Even though The Supreme Court, had to accept the

Decision *by* all verbal evidence, *clear non sense at human's basic common* ^{sense} in
stead of 37 documents which were involved Choi, another manager
appendix, the owner of plaintiff company, a few persons at manufactory
and me, at the time of the deals. If The Supreme Court took a look, exhibits
once, or just 4 documents of the prosecutor evidence, as their basic duty,
they will found that *this case* issued, tremendous crime, all clear lies for
guilty, and the prosecutor is very horrible criminal to issue this case.
When I read the transcripts at jury superior court, there is no way to trust The
Appeal court. Decision made me same as the transcripts. My heart now
begins to beat, honestly, to concern of The Highest Court of the Nation, I
become to be sad again, because, nevertheless I hoped The Appeal
court would be righteous, and then please The Supreme court of California
will be okay. I can't believe The Supreme court of the United State, but I
can not ^{stop} write this petition over 90 days straightly, almost 10 hours at each day
for my life saved.

the opinion from the Supreme Court **appendix C-2**

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. MEMC manufactory, sent an invoice for \$1.85million to me, Feb. 5th 2007 and I sent my purchasing order of \$1.85million, for total 10 tons of silicon material for solar chip, **appendix B-1**
2. By Internet, I could try to sell, when I felt almost sure, to buy from a manufactory.

At 1st deal, in 2006, I had the purchasing order from *Dutch solar* signed by *Dutch solar*, then Suk sent Choi, the manger of Komex (plaintiff) to me and offered \$20,000 more, at 1st deal with Komex \$3.545million. **appendix B-2**
(Suk, the owner of Komex)

At that time, Silicon Materials were less than necessary, as world situation, therefore payment was only cash(advanced). **Appendix B-3**

Therefore, I could adjust due date of payment at my selling, one or two days earlier than my due date to a manufactory. Because the wiring in the world wide took about 1-2days and U.S.A. domestic, less than one hour.

As above, basically, I did not have any reason to be a middle person at 2 deals also, could not be a middle person by the conditions of sale contract (as the buyer) with MEMC(as the seller). I had many offers at my sales by Internet at 2nd deal of the case. **appendix B-4**

3. Suk, the owner of Komex called me, in Irvne, California and I and Suk confirmed the deal of quantity 10tons and amount \$1.94million.
4. On Feb. 16th, 2007, Komex sent Purchasing Order contract composited by Choi, in California after concluding all conditions. Choi sent to Suk, owner of Komex in Korea, to sign and Suk sent The company Baada, me, to sign at Komex purchasing order and return to Komex.
5. I sent the purchasing order after signed and I sent The Commercial invoice to Suk, Komex in Korea on Feb. 14th, because I wanted to avoid \$ 20,000 holding for shipping quantity matter.

The reason why Komex and I proceeded quickly, sale contracts, was that Komex received their sale price from Komex' customer then Komex sent to me the money from Komex' buyer.

6. Komex wired \$1.92million to me, on Feb. 16th.
7. I found Choi' 2times of delay, **Appendix B-5** intended, to come here, to composite contract after making sure all *conditions* Komex proposed of \$30,000 holding for possible less quantity which was unnecessary as 1st deal.

If there will be less quantity, every company and Komex knew manufactory 100% sure to be responsible, at their original packing quantity, basically.

When I met Choi, again, I asked Choi to let me talk to Suk again. Choi said Suk was drunk at Sauna to think to cancel this deal if I am difficult to accept new proposal \$30,000. I could not try with another customer by my due term with MEMC. I accepted Komex purchasing order to hold \$20,000 from \$1.94million of sale price, after shipping for shipping quantity

8. I did not put \$20,000 condition and I wrote "If quantity is short, Baada holds responsibility and will compensate with MEMC whether by money or supply for the shortage, if quantity is over, Komex compensates in money"
9. Very unusually, Komex sent 2nd purchasing order signed Suk, as very **Appendix B-6** unreasonable condition about shipping quantity again. I remembered that Choi mentioned some suspicious mention about their due amount for over quantity to MEMC at their previous deal without me. **Appendix B-7**
10. Komex sent another new contract, worse unreasonable, about quantity and signed Suk, I did not sign both of new contracts. **Appendix B-8**

I complained that Komex tries to me to take over Komex due amount, that's the reason why Choi delayed to come to composite the contract, twice and offered \$30,000 about quantity, which is unnecessary matter, for the fraud of about \$12,000 as the quantity matter.

* Suk met MEMC member at Paris Silicon products show. Komex might to try to buy 10tons at this deal from MEMC, directly and Komex let MEMC know that Komex was the Baada' customer of 10tons.

Then, Komex began to worry that MEMC might deduct the quantity at this deal for about \$120,000 what Komex owed. I explained that's Korean style' worry, 10years ago from now and probably as small stores' deal, and MEMC is impossible to deduct, because MEMC did not any related with Komex and Komex's previous any deal. *at 2nd deal between Komex and me that Komex knew (but if it happens as Komex own worry)*

To find Komex fraud, I began to worry about possibility of over quantity possible, because it was happened that MEMC packed over 360kg to Komex on Nov 30, 2006. Also Komex must know me to not have any business. But I did not know that Komex decided to cancel this 2nd deal at this case, at that time. At that situation just, I tried to get the \$20,000 of my sale amount since to know Komex is a kind of Swindler.

I had very big trouble by Komex at new offer of payment, against contract at

1st deal when I could not have new buyer, too, at Decision 1st section

11. Suk, the owner of Komex, tried to return \$1.92million from my account.

appendix B-9 I was waiting \$20,000 to be wired from Suk, with Choi near The Bank of the West, nervously. The reason why I was waiting near The bank, was, I was ready immediately to send my purchasing price to MEMC.
that

Choi said me that he will pay me \$20,000 at Forwarding company' bank, about 30minites far away from The bank of the West. On the way to go to that bank, the clerk at The bank of the West, called, about Suk to try to withdraw \$1.92million. *But I* did not say any about Suk, to Choi. I was desperate to succeed this deal for my credit, specially to MEMC, the best producing manufactory in this world. The company, Baada was still very new. But no body gave me any money at another bank.

12. I extended my due date by feb.26 to MEMC, 2nd time. To ask extension of due date was very harmful at my credit & needed a lot effort. **appendix B-10**

While, Choi kept to say that he will pay \$20,000, Komex prepared to freeze my account. I found their secret freezing at the night on Feb. 25th. I had to decide to send my purchasing amount \$1.85million or not on Feb. 26. I thought I could lose \$20,000 even, *and* over quantity matter that I tried to worry then after shipping to be happened.

13. At the night on Feb.25th when I came home, Komex sent new another contract to deceive me to freeze at my account successfully. **appendix B-10**

I was afraid of Komex who will *any* issues against me and contract after shipping to Komex, totally. And I began to worry about possible over quantity that Komex will surely not cooperate to pay. It could be over my profit \$70,000 (after lost \$20,000). I tried to share \$1.92million with Suk by civil matter or ethic. I wired to Seoul where Suk was, to void Komex freezing. I arrived Seoul on Mar.01 (Feb.28 in here). I took out the money from a bank and I put in my younger brother' car to void freezing by Suk, again. Because I told Choi the money to Seoul to solve with Suk to void Komex freezing. The reason why I sent \$2million, \$8000 more, was, for my front tooth which came out and a few painful teeth. I needed a few day to have temporary floppy at front tooth to meet Suk. Also I needed time to fix Korean time, to prepare meeting with Komex which would be very nervous and difficult meeting. **APPENDIX B-11**

The police locked me on Mar. 13th without warrant. **Appendix G-1** it was day

that I will call Suk. The Korean court punished me for 4.5 years and payment \$1.92 million as the contents of the prosecutor and Decision with all verbal evidence. Korea jurist denied all documents including the prosecutor, McFretidge 4 document evidence for guilty. McFretidge issued this case with 4 docs which are to me innocent and locked me with such 4 docs. and still I am trying to be out of jurists' all tremendous lies for guilty.

Suk and Kommex attorney were afraid of perjury. The reason why Choi was only a witness because he made a mistake to make me to catch Komex fraud clearly.

I did not know a witness *matter* at all. I mentioned MEMC as my witness at jury court but the judge Goethals pretended not listen. I was scared of Goethals who abused me, seriously so strongly, even though I could not ^{do} against his abusing me but obey. Because his abusing me, so strong and so rudely, looked me, intended and planned by Goethals, to show me ^{to jurors} as criminal committed. (**You can see at appendixes of transcripts for other issues**) MEMC had to be the witness ^x against the prosecutor, more than Choi. Komex used the attorney, Lee Woongeun, former president of the Seoul Dist. Court, as Dist. Attorney McFretidge in here. Korea police locked before warrant, on Mar 13th 2007 and McFretidge locked me with 4 documents which are to me, innocent.

4 documents are 2 purchasing orders and 2 invoice between Komex and me which are impossible ones to issue criminal case. Therefore, all verbal • evidence produced at the prosecutor report, jury court and Decision which were paradoxical contents at 4 document. The setting-self of international distance, 2 deals over 5 million, done by verbally, is non sense, basically.

I submitted 14 documents including 2 MEMC invoices to me and my 2 purchasing orders to MEMC, among about 37 exhibits, the prosecutor could not bring any from MEME because there is none between MEMC and Komex *at 2 deals*. I became to be guilty, as the middle person at MEMC and Komex deals.

At the situation as above, the prosecutor locked me in jail without bail request, and 3 charges of embezzlement, money laundry and burglary. How it could be burglary after embezzlement at my account. The burglary was dismissed at preliminary court with none of argument. Then, at the situation of the case, all jurists including judges threatened me by more than 16 years in jail by the judge, Prickett, to sign at guilty then let me be out of jail, immediately, and to hide the credit of my jail period in Korea.

Provision about Jury Court against Constitutional and Statutory

I began to know why I lost to be guilty by transcripts as this case issued with 4 documents of deals to me, innocent then all weird lies produced for prosecutor private secret profit. More than lies, plots were entirely at main issues, as jurists' main job, there were spooky scary crimes. I recall the lawyer, Heneghan saying "Even you are innocent, you'll loose at jury court because jury not by the case's matter which shouldn't right in USA. Goethals changed the count 1 to Grand theft from embezzlement, but to **"grand theft by trick and grand theft by embezzlement"** after all testaments. I read that the count 1 was just grand theft, at the prosecutor from the embezzlement at police report. Before jury court, at Preliminary court, P6, McFretiege: Did you take a report of possible embezzlement? Police officer: yes McFretiege: Do you recall who you met

Then they talked about same issues of "commission, middleman, Komex sent the money to MEMC", as McFretiege' report, and their testaments at jury court and all contents of Decision. I thought my case here, embezzlement as same contents of verbal evidence, all fiction in Korea. After jail, at the transcripts of Preliminary court, there were two mentions of theft which I missed. P23, there's been no evidence of a theft p24, but the money laundering is the proceeds of grand theft.

P482 The judge said "the defendant is charged in count 1 with grand theft by embezzlement, in violation of penal code section 503—" That's really not true, folks. That's a mistake.-- the defendant is charged in count 1 with a felony violation of penal code 487, with is grand theft.-- One is by trick, which I have just defined (*where ?*), and this instruction deal with *the second possible theory which might support a grand theft conviction which is embezzlement. So there are * two different theories

p484 --Each theory of theft had different requirements and I have instructed -- You may not find the defendant guilty of theft unless all of you agree—under at least one theory but all of you do not have to agree on the same theory

P.499, There is another jury instruction here, and it's significant in the fact that you don't have to agree on the form(? , as Decision) of theft. If we just had one theory, you might spend more time arguing among yourselves as, well is it embezzlement or is it theft by trick. This means you all have to agree this theft; very, very, clear but six of you can say it's

embezzlement, six could say it's trick. As long as all 12 of you get there, that's fine. You don't have to agree on which theory it is.

P483 THE DEFENDEAT IS CHARGED IN COUNT 1 WITH BRAND THEFT BY EMBEZZLEMENT P.C WITH GRAND THEFT BY EMBEZZLEMENT P.C.487 ^{Q continued to instructed 10 contents for grand theft p.c.487 but 7 contents were p.c. 503 and about no seller or middle person at the case & put me in jail and both testament contents but about owner and agent} Then, how many choices are to jurors to pick for guilty at count 1, from mentioned one choice of embezzlement p.c.503, to, p.c. 503 and p.c.487 by trick and by embezzlement? ^{② please, read please at * mark bottom p. 36 of this petition about theory for me by the court which Goethals praised} P.372-373 Juror nine(9): She seems to be spending a lot of time trying to prove that it's - that she didn't commit an embezzlement, but is she charged with grand theft does she know that?

The court: She is fully aware of what the charges are and she is allowed to fashion her own defense. And you folks haven't heard the instructions- - Juror9 : I understand. I understand

The witness(me) : The thing is, yes ,I was charged with grand theft and there is an embezzlement, embezzlement as if I was holding the money for the Komex and I just took it--- it's not correct translation also.

P410-413, after p372 Still Goethals intended me to not know grand theft at, **P271** P267-268, P 287-289. Goethals intended that I testified all through the court to deny with pronouncing of embezzlement, as Decision mentions she could not be charged with embezzlement ---not guilty of embezzlement. Also all contents of the prosecutor evidence and Decision, are about embezzlement contents as like The Preliminary, as Decision and as the case, in Korea. I did not know the count1, grand theft, till the letter from APPELLATE DEFENDERS, INC.ON MAY 11,2016 after 6monthes later jury court. **Appellix8-12**

p. 451, The court: 1,grand theft, *under two theories: Trick ^{* P.499 "or"} and embezzlement and also count 2,

Ms .Kim(me) : I don't get it, what embezzlement and what?

The court: Trick. That's a *different theory of grand theft and it is included in your package. But the - - -

P580 Soon Young Kim guilty of the crime of grand theft , \$950, a felony, in violation of section 487(a) of the penal code for the state of California. I need to know how I was the situation of guilty 487 by trick or by

embezzlement. But Goethal's Note sealed without to give me. I never received money as the agent, trust, entrust or belief in good faith, none of judge's instructions. I asked him to instruct about seller, not middle person for innocent, but Goethals accepted prosecutor objection. He never accepted me but never denied prosecutor requests at main issues. *Before, instruction about charge (p. 482), no person mentioned about "by trick)"* She stressed the fact she did not have a special relationship with Komex and, *at the court.* therefore, could not have committed embezzlement, **a form of grand theft.** (See e.g., *People v. Fenderson* (2010) 188 Cal. App.4th 625, 635 [grand theft may be shown by proof of "larceny, embezzlement or obtaining money by false ppretenses""] 10th from bottom page 9 at Decision *At jury, the charge is grand theft.*

I had to receive this money by my invoice and Komex purchasing order, undoubtedly. And these documents are the exhibits of the prosecutor evidence. I didn't receive this money as middle person at the deal by "told", commission by "said" and any of larceny, ^{embezzlement} or obtaining money by false pretenses. And, not by trick or by embezzlement but a form of grand theft? Actually aren't (the embezzlement) p.c. 503 and (the grand theft) p.c. 487 **separate and independent of each other?** Really can embezzlement be a form of grand theft, and is "grand theft by embezzlement and by trick" correct at law?

She also stressed she did not intend to keep the money Komex wired to her. (See e.g., *People v. Davis* (1998) 19 Cal.4th 301, 305 [intent to steal, an element of larceny, "is the intent, without a good faith claim of right, to permanently deprive the owner of possession"].) 7th from bottom page 9.

I wired this money to avoid Komex freezing my account to breach this deal by Komex, to Seoul. Therefore, I went to Seoul, next day after wiring, to meet Suk to share the money by civil and ethic then I lost that money because Suk committed crime to use criminal jurists to lock me before, *to my warrent suddenly. That's the reason why I lost this money. Still Komex brothers.* might sue me for this money civil, but not as criminal. **appendix 1** *(I forget this evidence as appendix But I promise 100%, if you order me to send, I will send)*

P. 497-499 ---'Whether that \$20,000 is a commission or whether it's and *send, I will send* **overage or whether it's her profit margin, we don't care.** It doesn't really **matter and again, the defendant spent a lot of time, Miss Kim spent a lot of time talking about she was an agent or a servant or a seller of a reseller.** *Actually appendix G-1 is* **We don't care it doesn't matter. You don't see that on either of the two theories. It's not an issue. It doesn't matter. It's just not her money.** *the denial to put me in jail* Who did insist all issues *as above produced from 4 sales contracts which from* were so weird!?

② Therefore, I needed this money *15* not criminal to me in USA to file brothers by civil but losing limitation time of filing,

police then the dist. attorney denied illegally but myself found Korean has special law that we cannot file certain close relatives for money matter

P. 503-505, --- whether she was a seller or a buyer or not a servant or reseller. Again, **if you at the jury instruction, it doesn't matter.** It's not her money. **We're not characterizing. Whether the money is profit margin, whether it's an over and under situation, that's not the issue.** Clearly you know if we were going about the \$20,000, maybe an issue. **But the 1.92M is not an overage or underage. Who knows what her markup is from MEMC if she's the middleman? She's entitled to a profit. She's just not entitled to steal all of Komex money.** So that was something she talked about. She made some comment I think one or maybe twice.

I never say that I will not give money, I insisted to share by civil, not by criminal case with all weird evidence. ^{Then why did the prosecutor produce} all such issues of commission and servant, ^{bill} **despite to expose the prosecutor McFetridge' fact, horrible criminals** as all jurists at this case. The prosecutor changed **servant** from middle person, go between at testaments to fix the guilty at the instructions of the Goethals.

\$20,000 commission was not existence at this deal, therefor after wiring to my account from Komex, \$1.92million occupied to me as the seller and I had a duty to send the merchandise, but I could not send the merchandise, therfor it's a civil case.
by Komex twice trying to breach this deal,

Ms.Kim: Wait a minute, wait a minute. The judge had already given the law. I read American law and now he's talking about seller and reseller

The court: Miss Kim, Miss, Kim Don't testify and don't argue. Do you have an objection to the argument? You can object to the argument .

If you have an objection, please state it. What's the objection?

Ms.Kim: He's lying .I'm trying to just state the law **The court: stop stop**

Ms.Kim: He's making up statements. **The court :The objection is overruled**

Ms.Kim : He's guilty of that.

The court: Miss Kim, The objection is overruled. the evidence is over.

Ladies and gentlemen. This is argument. When these folks are talking to you now, the evidence is over. You've heard all the evidence This is **advocacy --** but understand, just because **Mr. McFetridge says it doesn't make it so.**

what she says from now on is **advocacy, not evidence. -- So the same rules apply to both.--**but I try to give parties some reasonable leeway so that they can act as **vigorous advocates for their side.** I do listen and occasionally I will sustain an objection during argument. But I try to give the lawyer some leeway to **vigorously of professionally, ethically argue their positions - - objection is overruled. Go ahead Mr. McFetridge.** Goethals *stopped* me to

say about correct law but let the prosecutor to keep, such" **legal deception live show**" twice at prosecutor final statements, to jurors, for more than **2pages more** to pleading toward jurors' passion. Then, he shouted to jurors to forget all contents of law at this case, and all issues of broker, seller or commission, profit which were all issues all through the case, and to the supreme court of this Nation.

Basically, Jurists at jury, tried to deceive jurors by no instructing about basic specialties at deals related the charge. Actually and basically, therefore, prosecutor insisted me, as a middle person and commission by the sales contracts, 4docs., his evidence, weirdly and jurists hid that documents' basic meaning at deal and law, to deceive me as a middle person for guilty protected from issuing. To keep me to prove all my testament, not p.c. 503, (but the charge p.c. 487) changed, suspiciously as their one of plots then just, to think who had \$1.92million for grand theft. Whoever the person prosecutor couldn't help any way but to kill person, then is everybody to kill, guilty as to jurors murder? Then why do we need so many of laws?. And jurists knew to use to ignore people, jurors who does not know jurists' real, the fact, fair ghost, and professional theft to kill and destroy people at cases, but believe jurists than inmate defendant at case, all issues which prosecutor shouted to ignore for guilty are the issues till the Supreme Court. I think that McFretide was concerned of about his 4documents, which are for not embezzlement, then changed grand theft from embezzlement from police then planed "live deception show" for theft, as above with Goethals. If there were not "legal live lies show" twice, and if I had the knowledge of grand theft, at least, I might be out of guilty.

P516-517 ,Ms.Kim:---, These are the laws that were made by the legislatures of the united states, and then these are the laws which had lots of thoughts put in for those states and for the citizens of the states. Let me read this one more time. Defendant acted as a purchaser, not agent failure to pay made him debtor, not embezzlement. Defendant is purchased and owner. He – because he has the right to sell it. Not embezzlement but a creditor and debtor. Mr. McFetridge: I would object, your honor, from what she's reading from. The Court: Miss Kim stop

Ms.Kim: I'm reading American law **The court: Miss Kim, Stop** Ms.Kim: I'm reading American law **The court: Miss Kim, Stop** Ladies and gentlemen, I already gave you an instruction formally. My contents from At 143 Cal. 593(cal.1904)in Supreme court of California ,department Two, PEOPLE V. DOUGHERTY **Appendix B-13**

Prosecutor shouted to jurors to ignore all issues of p.c. 503 and contents of Law. Goethals let prosecutor to finish "live deception show" at 2 times of final statements, fully, prosecutor shouted

1. The instructions from Goethals, laws, about charge, to jurors are very suspicious, additionally, the judge intended to not let the defendant not know the charge changed, to make me to testify wrongly with different focus. I denied embezzlement, not to the grand theft all through the entire jury court.
2. Goethals stopped me forcedly unreasonably my testaments, questions to Choi at cross-examination, mentions and questions to judge as you read jury section and transcripts as below.
3. Goethals interrupted jurors to not question about my testaments or about my exhibits. Goethals tried me to not answer to jurors' questions. Therefor jurors began to stop to ask me. p 258, 372, 440
4. Goethals ignored me, insulted me, and my contents of testaments, p307, 308, 326 and stopped me more than 30 times at one court to train me to not 368, and 524 against the judge to handle me as sinner, to be shown to the jurors and he stopped me, more rudely in front of jurors, as his one of plots.
5. Goethals protected as lawyer, Choi, witness, plaintiff' lies at my questions and stopped me to ask Choi, several times and stopped my questions to be closed at subjects, several times. p 260-292 at my cross examination. Goethals stopped my questions to Choi frequently and said "don't testified".
6. Goethals supported the prosecutor' shouting all weird lies even at law.
7. I needed an attorney mostly. But I was at jury court without any lawyer by the jurists' plot. at "represent my self" section
8. He manipulated me to not have the knowledge of the objection, The judge warned me even, a moan, to manipulate me to not object. Therefor, I had to be silent at their weird lies, I could not object at all of Choi and prosecutor lies which were not relevant at deals. P444
9. Judge manipulated to not submit twice, as the exhibits. P528-532
10. Two judges including Goethal threatened to not let me have new evidence
11. I have evidence documentes which I did not have at jail. of documents from Korea since the prosecutor stole
12. Judge let prosecutor all criminals to keep entirely.
13. I claimed and proved incorrect translations and was complain about my 30 incorrect translations from Korean jurors. I found opposite translations document at main subjects, but all, to me, to guilty, suspicious. p 102, P 126, before
14. I did not know that I could have witness. I mentioned one witness, MEMC, the best witness at this case but the judge passed intentionally but he threatred me to mention witness, the lawyer Heneghan. p124 jury ca and p164

Almost of same contents as above, as the brief to The Appeal court for 49papers (20258words) except No 1.10. THE MATTER OF THE OPENING BRIEF BY FORMER P.DEPENDER MS. STANTON. I prove all lies and tricks for the prosecutor lies with

48. Goethals never instructed 32papers 13731words about "objection" but, "testify or question"

MY PROVISION ABOUT THE DECISION OF THE APPEAL COURT AGAINST STATUTORY AND CONSTITUTIONAL LAWS

The contract documents and documents on processes, at the deal, are curial. All contents at Decision, are that two deals, were performed verbally at 2international over 5million deals. The item was silicon material for solar chip. Already, setting up verbal deals is non-sense, *for guilty* basically, not only at international distance, at any normal deal, at basic human common sense, as impossible at actual any normal deal in human association.

There are 38 exhibits of documents at 2deals from me, including 4docs. of the prosecutor evidence, but 3scouts of California accepted none from 38, except one which was my invoice *changed* to MEMC invoice (manufactory) by using trick of language skill, at 1st deal. Decision avoided to mention 4documents of the prosecutor' evidence, *except once falsely with judges' knowledge. At jury it was scene for Liar ghost who insisted me, as a middle person from seller with four sales contracts of prosecutor evidence, fully.*

Decision describes, one issue for holding \$20,000 of shipping quantity, for 7 lanes at Decision, to *deceive* ^{as} Komex (plaintiff) dealt with MEMC, without any mention of evidence, to hide just verbal contents. But the exact same content is at Komex' purchasing order sheet to me, at 2nd deal of the case. The \$20,000 issue was between Komex, as the buyer and me, as the seller. Because Komex had to write deal condition at the contract also, had to deal with seller ^{me} who owned the dealing goods, about shipping quantity.

The Decision changed, that I confirmed MEMC had the product available, to Komex confirmed MEMC with verbal evidence. But I *became to have* contract from MEMC after I confirmed MEMC had the product to sell to me. Between Komex and MEMC, there is not any a spell at 2deals and the prosecutor could not have any evidence from MEMC, at all. But I submitted as the exhibits, 14docuements including 4sale contracts with MEMC at 2deals. *(1) At that situation, with sale contracts between me and Komex and none evidence between Komex and MEMC, I became to be middle person at deals of Komex and MEMC, in front of 14docs. Among 38, including sale contracts Judges needed 2deals including 2nd deal of the case, to make me, between me and middle person (guilty, embezzler, grand theft), from the seller to Komex, MEMC, by therefore judges changed 2deals that I sold to Komex, to the deals that 3rd party MEMC manufactory sold to Komex (plaintiff) and I (The Badda ^{12 people then you} company) was the middle person at their, MEMC and Komex 2deals and people can without any a spell *am ong* Komex, me and MEMC at about \$5million, understand international distance 2deals. And all of about 80documents which are *that jurists were liar ghost for a few days for a few days and the places of courts are the places for jurists' crimes achieved.**

(2) 2briefs, Decision and reply brief are allies 19
that I CAN prove.

between MEMC & me and Komex & me , are to me (re)seller. Therefore Choi testified all verbal evidence with (by) the prosecutor, but weirdly, at their evidence 4 sale contracts.

And I would like to ask the jurists for legal decision ^{at this case} fundamentally, which must be evidence, 3 documents at deals, involved a few persons at 3 companies including Choi, witness, at the time at the 2 deals including contracts and documents signed by Komex, or all verbal evidence from one witness, plaintiff? Decision adjusted Choi's lies prepared with ^{and own} prosecutor's Decision lies to the deals, as dizzy weird or comedy dealing novel that I will prove, exactly.

I know \$1.92 million at the case, not mine but civil case. There is my portion at \$1.92 million since Komex tried to breach this case twice. That's the reason why I wired \$1.92 million to Seoul where Suk, the owner of Komex, sender this amount and signer at sale contract, was. I wired the money on Feb. 26th to void Komex' freezing my account. Komex did not want this deal any more since I found Komex fraud ^{on Feb. 26} about \$12,000 to me. There was not any refund conditions at the contract. I tried to settle this money with Suk as civil and business ethic.

Right now, I can prove that the prosecutor issued this case illegally, with his knowledge, for his private purpose to use jail to threaten me to pay maximum more money and sooner than civil case as like the case in Korea. The evidence of the prosecutor, are ^{and} only 4 documents of 2 deals among about 80, and major of evidence, verbal story as Decision, at his report and at jury court. 4 documents are my 2 invoices to Komex, and Komex 2 purchasing orders to me, seller. That is the reason, all verbal contents of the Decision, as his report, and at jury court, for guilty, are paradoxical to the prosecutor's 4 documents evidence. And You have to answer me at questions as below, about prosecutor's 4 documents evidence and all verbal contents for guilty, if You decide to deny my petition, as all jurists, including my private lawyers, ^{and} public defender,

1. How could the middle person, I , issue the invoices for dealing merchandise' amount at 2 deals, and send to Komex who give me commission and how could Komex accept middle person' invoices which are not for the amount of commission, and not from the seller, MEMC?
2. How could Komex send Komex purchasing orders to the middle person, as the seller, (dizzy weird lies) not to Komex' seller, MEMC ?
3. How is there middle person (my)' signature at the title of seller, and Komex owner' signature, at the title of the buyer on 2 Komex's

purchasing orders to me at 2 deals,!? dizzy And Komex asked me to return Komex purchasing order after my sign, *to keep for these 2 deals!?*
4. How did Komex send me, middle person, \$1.92 million by my invoice, *(or by my "hold" as Decision)*
(to not Komex' seller, MEMC?) *to forward to MEMC, Komex' seller with none evidence!*
That's the reason why Korea courts ignored all documents including the prosecutor, evidence, 4 documents, *for guilty projected*. But here, the prosecutor issued this case to put me in jail with such 4 documents, ... as actual case' situation of my questions, weird lies as above, and till 3 courts in California, with such weird lies to me, guilty

Now, You as The highest judges in this Nation, can see how was this prosecutor bold and careless to destroy and kill the people using his title for his profits as all judges at 3 courts, heinous crime. Who can not say these jurists are less than social heinous crimes as murder ^{er} and destroyer. Actually the jurists destroyed my life totally and they don't care to kill the people exact as my case for their criminal private profits *as their real main job.*

Also even though, the judge Goethals, prosecutor, and Shui, public defender let Choi, people and foreigner to join as their party at the criminal, together. As I mentioned 14 documents are between MEMC and Me including sale contracts at 2 deals among 38 exhibits, and the prosecutor could not bring any evidence between Komex and MEME. At that situation, I became to be guilty as the middle person at MEMC and Komex' deals, additionally, by the objective 3rd parties 2 of jurors. Then You can guess how were the jurists and Choi *with their partner, judge* against me and law, who was alone without lawyer. I could not help to represent my self at the jury court, by their' plot. *detail at "represent herself" section.*

He stopped me more than 30 times rudely before jurors to train me to obey, and worse in front of jurors at the beginning and on the testifying to show me as criminal. He ignored and insulted me, and the contents of testaments. I could not be any, against such judge, because he looked, such behaviors too strong, as planned. Also he mixed with the word of Miss or nicely, *with Kim! Kim!* but to hide his abusing me as basic his plan. But all of above are not all, at these jurists, there were more fearful crimes which are the plots at entire legal issues and subjects of legal processes, *that I proved at this petition.*

② Choi used Baada to purchase polysilicone from MEMC. After receiving an invoice from Baada, Komex transferred \$3.45 million to MEMC and \$95,000 to Baada as Kim's commission. ② 4-6th p. 3

^{the} It is best issue to the prosecutor and my lawyer, Dunn, as Decision. Decision arranged, Choi's verbal evidence from jury court, very skillfully for smooth deception, to change, from my invoice to Komex, to MEMC invoice to Komex, by ambiguous sentence to hide the fact. MEMC invoice was to me, Komex never touched and saw MEMC invoice. My purchasing order to MEMC, as a pair of MEMC invoice, at 1st deal is all same contents with MEMC's invoice, and two documents were not any related with Komex. There is none of mention about Komex at these contracts as You see.

An invoice of "After receiving an invoice from Baada (my company)" is not MEMC invoice, is just my invoice, to my buyer, Komex as a pair of Komex purchasing order to me, as Seller in MEMC send seller's invoice to seller's buyer, not to the middle person or Order to me, as Komex who is my buyer. Komex could not send any money to MEMC Prosecutor evidence which are none about MEMC at all. Therefore only I have the amount of MEMC's selling price to me.

And, if You see #8 at Komex purchasing order, signed me at the seller title and Komex at buyer's title, then You become clear Komex did not know who was the manufactory even though, at 1st deal and Komex was also impossible to contact manufactory at #9. That's the one of reasons why the prosecutor made all of 4 docs. smaller and darker to perform

another lie show, #8 and 9, on a court's screen with Choi and Goethals, together. With transcripts p229 at Choi & prosecutor, p270 at my question to Choi and You can see how Goethals acted to protect Choi's lie from me as Choi's Lawyer p269-270 It was last time of 1st deal, Komex offered Shinhan bank to wire my purchasing price to the manufactory, and profit to me. Komex asked and before me to open bank information, the amount & name of manufactory, You can see prosecutor & Choi, to Shinhan bank. Komex proposed this new offer of payment, at the last time of 1st deal as Komex proposed \$20,000 holding for fraud at their deception Show with the last part of 2nd deal. #8, as all lies show with his 4 documents as the contents of Decision.

I could not try to sell to another buyer. But I had to complete two deals for my credit and reputation for my new business, especially toward MEMC. Because MEMC was the best manufactory, in this world.

I had to wire my purchasing price to MEMC by any bank, anyway. Komex offered a kind of guarantee to me at this new payment, when I hesitated. Shinhan bank in Seoul, sent an agreement. The agreement is that Shinhan bank guaranteed that Komex had the \$3.545million of Komex purchasing amount to me, and Komex will send to my purchasing price to manufactory and profit to me that I denied. **Appendix A-1**

Shinhan bank sent 2nd agreement guaranteed that Shinhan bank will wire my purchasing price to manufactory and my profit to me from Komex price \$3.545million.

After I accepted, Shinhan bank, sent 2nd agreement stamped Shinhan bank' seal and Komex incorporation 'seal as Korean way' signature of Shinhan bank self and Komex self. Then I sent 2nd invoice with the name of manufactory and bank information to be added from my 1st original invoice. I sent 2nd invoice to Shinhan Bank, not to Komex.

I sent 1st invoice just without any of manufactory, to Komex, But, 1st invoice, was at about 30 documents, stolen to the prosecutor.

Then, I supposed to call to give the amounts of my purchasing price and my profit to Shinhan bank. Shinhan bank asked me both amounts written just for only bank file. I argued with Shinhan but I found that I did not have any option, at that time, then I issued 3rd invoice to be added, two amounts of my purchasing price to MEMC \$3.45million and my profit \$95,000, at 2nd invoice, typed by computer. ($\$3.45\text{million} + \$95,000 = \$3.545\text{million}$).

Then, I found Komex sent to MEMC, my (not Komex') purchasing price and to me, not commission but my profit. No buyer is possible to send commission \$95,000 to middle person before Komex receive the merchandise of purchasing with verbal deal. Decision did not have any proof, to me, middle person and commission \$95,000, at all, at Komex, me and MEMC, too. But Komex' purchasing order to me, as

the prosecutor evidence, proves my profit \$95,000, *as a part of the price of dealing merchandise, therefore, Komex sent together with \$3.45 million, the another part of price at*
My 3rd invoice is the best item for lie at all jurists for the guilty at this case. The prosecutor brought ^{only} 3rd invoice as one of 4 docs., but still I could testified the invoice by prosecutor, is not only one, original invoice. I have 2nd invoice which is exact same but without 2 of the contracts of invoice and purchasing order
amounts. **appendix A-2** Even though my 3rd invoice, is invoice (actually He & Komex

Komex is the company to try deal broken to not pay \$20,000.

commercial invoice). My invoice was not the amount for commission, there are total amount \$3.545million of my sale price, just below two prices of dealing 2 items, as the amount of Komex purchasing order to me, Also MEMC' invoice and my purchasing order to MEMC, proved to the Decision's content, intentional lie for guilty projected. Decision had to know that Komex sent my purchasing price in stead of my bank, through Komex bank, Shinhan by the agreement, not Komex. purchasing price to MEMC. At least, by Komex purchasing order's contents as the prosecutor evidence, is telling. Decision, lies intended with judges' knowledge clearly. Also there was special content, as You see, at agreement, "if the product is not, within world best 5 manufactories, then this deal will be cancelled." This means Komex did not know who was the manufactory, till my 2nd invoice, to Shinhan, at least.

Then how can the content as above at Decision, in front of such exhibits of MEMC invoice to me, my purchasing order to MEMC and Komex purchasing order, my 2nd invoice and agreement, at least? No doubt, Such lies as above, proves the guilty, projected, as devils to destroy innocence person for their profit by using jurists' title. MEMC was the best reputation and the biggest manufactory, and I can not create or change MEMC documents marked of internet delivered. The basic matter is that If any one, see the documents selves, no human is possible to tell such lies as Decision, who's criminal, without any reflect such criminal jurists selves.

Kome had to depend documents at actual deals as You see Komex purchasing orders, this agreement, and many appendix' documents to cover any loss, and I have about 50 documents with Komex. Now no documents but verbally at international over \$5million 2 deals!?

The jurists are criminal, limitless, even though they ignore the human' basic common sense to produce lies, for their crime. at appendix A-2 (14/18-15/18) You can see how did this content occur, You will be shocked honestly, Decision adjusted comedy lies testaments at A-2 by Decision own producing as much as cunning A-2

I have 2 documents, which can conclude this content, lie at Decision. One is an email from MEMC to me, after receiving my price, from Komex. At this email, MEMC gave me secret code numbers to pick my purchasing merchandise from one of MEMC factories, (and there is another sentence at email "You are responsible to pick the product") and asked my forward company name and contact number. ↳ 2nd deal,

A-3 appendix And the another one, is packing list as closing this deal at MEMC. There is the sentence "The company, Baada as the buyer"

appendix A-3 I don't know why Komex sent to MEMC even to break an agreement stamped by each own organizations' seals, I was exhausted to make my guilty to innocent and I was so busy to find the lawyers and to try to get the money from two brothers then filed them to the Dist. Attorey therefor my mental was not normal. I had to file Shinhanbank to brake the agreement. I wished to go back to Korea before due time to file Shinhan. I guess the reason why Komex sent to MEMC, is that Komex tried to let the manufactory know Komex, as Badaa company' buyer at this product, for \$3.545million deal, for further deal with manufactory directly, and get the informations of the price, term of payment and possible many informations at other companies deal for Komex' future business. *as now as my life miserable very much.*

⑩ At some point, Kim told Choi the process would be faster if Komex wired funds to Baada's bank account and she then forwarded the funds to MEMC. Komex sent to me, \$1.92million, by my invoice (in details, later), because *before* beginning of 2nd deal of this case that I warned, "if Komex will offer any different condition after contract, as like the agreement between Shinhan and Komex, I won't sell to Komex." First of all, Komex was impossible to wire any fund to me to forward fund to MEMC, at actual deal by my "told" deal, clear non sense as comedy, *on* Decision (at formal The Apperal court decision) at the actual deal. Still, despite such non sense contents at Decision, judges can not use my invoice and Komex purcashing order which are the prosecutor evidence, to make reasonable and fare contents, *because* guilty projected among jurists at this case, Komex did not have any way, but to sent to me, seller by my invoice & Komex purchasing order *any agreement or any of payment* Komex could not ask. Even though, Decision, all judges never think' that Komex could wire \$1.92million to me, middle person to forward to MEMC, by "told" deal, at this verbal deal, but Decision writes at The court formal document, such fiction lies for their secret greed. **At some point, forward, and funds** are the words for the tricky words picked, for their deception, that every human might catch, made to sure as the defendant. Also I do not have any way to forward, this fund from Komex, to MEMC, any money, with what !? And Komex was impossible to send to me, 10 years ago by the ruling of foreign currency by Korea government without any business contracts *evidence transcript 247) except my invoice that Komex had only.* MEMC cannot receive any fund from, special relationship with Komex, me, *or Komex* because MEME had none knowledge about me, as middle person but only by me as MEMC buyer with MEMC invoice. How can *and Komex*

komex trust me to forward the fund \$1.92million cash to MEMC!? Full comedy for the guilty? We did not know any about each others, except sale contracts at 2deals. What am I doing here to save my life now, again, that I am proving with my best to prove the natural facts at the deal to the facts, in the net of the weird lies at Decision, again.

To send \$1.92 million to middle person cannot make any ^{fiction} faster at deal (for what) than It's very unusual human job as like against evil" net, not from the to send seller, Decision? natural mistakes or misunderstood. Also the amount of MEMC, at this products, was not, the fund (\$1.92million) from Komex to me, to forward to MEMC. (\$1.92million is the amount after \$20,000 holding for possible less shipping quantity from my sale amount \$1.94million of my invoice to Komex and Komex' purchasing order to me) MEMC invoice to me for \$1.85million as my purchasing order to MEMC are impossible to Komex to see or look.

① Komex's next purchase amount was for \$1.94 million in polysilicone and contained a provision for a minor price adjustment, depending on whether MEMC supplied the exact amount of polysilicone ordered. Pursuant to that provision, Komex paid \$1.92 million up front to Baada and withheld \$20,000 for possible adjustments based on the amount of polysilicone actually shipped. If the exact amount requested shipped, the remaining \$20,000 would be immediately paid. ② ^{8-14th p. 3} Is not there at MEMC packing list at international \$1.92million deal.

The verbal content as above, is on Komex purchasing order sheet to me, as the condition of the shipping quantity between Komex and me. Just Decision had to avoid to mention about purchasing order, for guilty to me projected, even though the purchasing order is the prosecutor evidence which issued the case and put me in jail illegally for his private profit with his knowledge. Decision changed to that komex dealt with MEMC, from dealing with me but without any mention of evidence to hide, verball content. Then Komex dealt at 2deals with MEMC verbally, too!? Is it comedy or verdict for 4years in jail and payment of \$1.92million?

Therefore, Decision describes, about one issue, ^{1 as} one sentence for holding \$20,000 of shipping quantity matter at purchasing order, but for 7 lanes on Decision, to avoid mention the purchasing order as simple express, to deceive as Komex dealt with MEMC, from that Komex dealt with me. The matter to me, still painful, is that the prosecutor issued this case and put me in jail by commission \$20,000. from this content at the purchasing for shipping quantity matter.

What is purchasing order!? Purchasing order can not any relate with middle person and commission, but straight matters ^{on purchasing order} between buyer and seller at any deals. And no middle person could not be responsible at shipping quantity matter. For commission \$20,000 person can not have any responsibility of \$1.94million dealing goods. If Komex dealt verbally, with MEMC, about the provision of quantity and the amount of the quantity matter, then why did not Komex *deal* even verbally, for the payment which is much more important issue than these. For safety to Komex, why Komex did not talk to pay \$1.92 million up front to MEMC but, to the middle person and additionally with verbal evidence!? weird fiction sq dizzy novell!

If I were the special relationship with Komex, to purchase from MEMC for Komex, the job as above at Decision, had to be my, middle person' job for the commission. All contents as above at Decision, basically, are all lies, none sense produced by their brain for just sentence for guilty. Decision made such criminal contents, very smooth and reasonable to read by their experiences of crimes. Komex was impossible to pay any money to MEMC but to me, Komex' seller. And at 2nd deal surly Komex sent to me Komex purchashing price to me. Again by the contents at Decision, my invoice and Komex purchasing order are real to prove me ,seller because Komex sent to me the amount \$1,92million after holding \$20,000 *for shipping quantity, not a minor price adjustment, from* \$1.94million of my invoice and Komex ^(net) purchashing order. *with me (not MEMC)*

© and withheld \$20,000 for possible adjustments based on the amount of polysilicone actually shipped. If the exact amount requested shipped, the remaining \$20,000 would be immediately paid. ^(12-14th P. 3) There is a condition "The balance USD\$20,000 will be wired after Shipment" at purchasing order. The Balance meant \$20,000 is the part of the amount of purchasing ^{of shipping} price and my sale price. Then why Komex put such condition ^{at} Komex ^{matter,} purchasing order to me? Because I am the shipper and I am person who owned this materials therefor, I am the person who can responsible of the shipping ^{and} quantity, ^{not} MEMC verbally at Decision. \$1.94million deal with verbal contract at international distance deal with me ^{whom} Komex did not know me, at all (except sale contract) ^{but} ^{as the middle person} Komex sent \$1.92million to me with verbal evidence !!! Komex sent the exact amount \$1.92million by Komex purchasing order (after \$20,000 ^{from} \$1.94million) (and my invoice) to me, to the seller. After \$20,000 holding of shipping quantity. Therefor the content as above, rather prove sale. contract between me and Komex are real.

⑥ Komex confirmed MEMC had the product available and wired the money to Baada's account on February 16, 2007. Baada was to ship the product as soon as the money was received. ② 14-16th p. 3

Where is the basis for this verbal contents that Komex confirmed MEMC had the product available? Komex dealt with all parties, without any proper evidence but verbally only!? Is it comedy fiction or the Decision for 4 years in jail, and \$1.92 million payment!? I confirmed MEMC had the product available therefore MEMC had sales contract with me. **Decision changed, from that I confirmed MEMC had the product available then MEMC and I had sale contract, to Komex confirmed MEMC by just verbally.**

*The purchasing order composited by Choi, in California on Feb. 16th 2007 in California time. If any person else other than Choi, the date on purchasing order sheet, had to be Feb 17th or 18th in Korea time.

I sent my invoice 14th to avoid, \$20,000 the holding money for shipping quantity after Choi sent to Suk in Korea, to sign on the purchasing order then Suk sent to me to sign at the place of seller title, after Suk signed at the title of the buyer on Feb. 16th in California time as You see. I sent Komex purchasing order signed by me, to Suk, in Korea, on Feb. 16th. I received \$1,92 million after holding \$20,000, at night of Feb 16th, as Decision that means that Suk might send \$1.92 million by only my invoice immediately after hearing of my agreement \$20,000 holding. At invoice, I wrote my due date to MEMC, Feb. 16th. please refer the unique at this material deal in the world, also Komex had to hurry, for Komex customer whom Komex sold this merchandise.

⑥ Choi said Baada's commission was \$20,000. ② It's too shameless lies as "told" Decision changed, \$20,000 commission by Choi "said", from prosecutor insisting of \$20,000 commission from the purchasing order for at shipping quantity matter. In a word, commission \$20,000 of Decision is outrageously limitless lie. If I were the special relationship with Komex for commission, I will not do this job with just by "said" for \$20,000 at international distance. I don't know Komex except sale contracts. And if there were my commission, it had to be \$90,000. \$1.85 million is the amount of MEMC invoice. "Choi was in California, and Kim invited him to her house. Kim told Choi that she received the funds wired into Baada's account, but would not forward the funds to MEMC until Komex paid her the \$20,000 commission." Decision continued about that I asked more money, several times, (at appendix A-2 17/18-18/18, you can see how commission occur, you will be shocked at their comedy lies testimonials

That I asked more money from \$20,000 several times at 17lanes, at Decision (about half page of about 2pages of prosecutor section) with all verbal evidence. Big proton and big portion of Choi and prosecutor insisting at jury. I wondered another plot at this issue. I searched, but these looked as kind of, just for the quantity of jurists' certain contents for guilty at Decision and testaments. If it was for another plot to me guilty, You can see this **appendix, B-10** to prove, this contents were not from me but Komex' offer to succeed freezing my account secretly. I asked Choi to pay to me only \$20,000, ^{after found fraud \$120,000 to me} a part of my sale amount for less shipping quantity which did not need at this case as I mentioned at Statement of this case. Then why did not Komex mention about for over quantity? Who can any body keep to ask more money increased, even though Komex did not pay \$20,000 yet.

" Three or four days later, Choi e-mailed Kim instructing her to either fulfill the contract or refund Komex's money. There must be "a email", also ^{a stain of} had to be even the contract, the object to be fulfilled, by a email? Now, I want ask You which is the contract as above? If it was, verbal contract at Decision, in a word, it's none sense basically, as human being common sense, and impossible at the actual any deal (as I proved so far, reasonably by Decision ^{sett} weird lies, and evidence which are impossible to be denied, including prosecutor evidence 4 documents, then, all jurists at this case, ^{produced weird lies for guilty projected} if contract is by written documents, including my 38 exhibits, judges knew that the prosecutor could not submit that email and any correct stain of verbal contract, all contents for guilty, I have that email which shows 4 documents, they could not submit, that's the **appendx B-10 which shows** all jurists at this case, involved the crime of the prosecutor issuing this case illegally for his private profits with all jurists' knowledge

tremendous criminal. That's the reason the prosecutor did not submit as Choi, criminal. to prove that I asked more money and all contents, to me, middle person at deals are fiction by the email.

In closing at prosecutor section, contents at Decision, is not the deal, but comedy fiction deal as the aizzy novel, as the impossible at any actual deal, that I have, another way to prove, by my 2nd questions as below. All jurists who denied me, innocence, must answer.

1. How can Komex, the buyer from MEMC, receive the purchasing merchandise from shipping company at the deal on Decision?
2. How can Komex pass to get the merchandise, from two national customs at the deal on Decision, ^{as that I am middle person for commission?}
3. How can I, special relationship with Komex, or MEMC ship to Komex, or wherever, Komex want, by the deal on Decision?
4. How can I or Komex pick the dealing products from MEMC at the deal on Decision? As You see as above questions, Komex needed ^{as I am middle person}

mostly, first of me and MEMC, the documents ^{from me, seller} at deals but the prosecutor conspired and testified the contents as above, with Choi very weirdly and paradoxical with ^{prosecutor evidence} documents as liar ghost but without any caution or tension all through the jury court with judge' big help. Then Decision accepted such testaments at jury straightly ^{out} without any mention of the prosecutor documents at all, as example of "told and said", except one time of my invoice, but to change as MEMC invoice. Also that's the reason why Komex' purchasing order to me, is more detail and careful contracts and with signatures than my invoices. If we imagine, that I were the middle person for commission, at two deals at Decision, Komex was the company who could not do the deals as Decision, as every human' buyer in this world, ^{and denied}

Surely, all documents of about 80, are for me, reseller which Komex insisted as the most important at actual deals among MEMC, me & Komex

from my evidence section,

Kim said Komex used the same ^{*} contract when dealing directly with MEMC and in Komex's dealing with her.

I filed a letter to judge O'Leary at The Appeal court, about the fact of "representing myself", after reading incorrect contents at this issues, at 1st brief by my private lawyer T. Dunn with his knowledge. ^{also} I wrote to report, for this Nation, about Reply briefs, strong lies but opened, at Formal District Attorney Documents (as Decision). **appendix A-4** At that time I wished O'Leary might be righteous. How could as normal people, guess how she is so skillful at crimes as jurists at jury courts. Also I wrote about some evidence for my innocent which were not at jury court. "when Komex bought silicon materials from MEMC directly, without me, Komex issued Komex purchasing order, to MEMC. When Komex bought from me, Komex issued 2 purchasing orders to me, in 2006 and 2007. Komex purchasing order to MEMC, was exact same form and style of Komex' 2 purchasing orders, to me, therefore purchasing orders at 2 deals, are real and I was surely seller to Komex." I was dizzy in the all lies, too many ^{but all} weird, for guilty to me destroyed, therefore I proved what I didn't need, because 2 purchasing orders were prosecutor evidence which actually can collapse every contents for guilty as weird lies produced, paradoxically. Then, still she kept to me, guilty as an best innocent new proof as all exhibits. Such all lies but weird too many lies for guilty to destroy my life, made me abnormal.

There I am proving absolute facts to the fact, in weird lies' net for over

* But is it meaning that Komex used contract which was with MEMC, to the of Decision 30 deals with me!! then she is also legal + tremendous criminal at the case

over 10 hours straightly, at every day for this petition, since denied from Supreme, as over 10 years. I am afraid of jurists' crime as human being basically.

Believing Komex **would** freeze her bank account, Kim transferred all the funds in her account to a bank in Korea. This content shows Decision to deceive the fact for guilty by the trick of using skill of languages, Komex froze my account on Feb. 26th 2007, therefore I could not say "would" as above, after Feb. 26 2007. **Appendix.11**

"self-representation, None of her contentions have merit, and we affirm the judgment and Kim testified in a narrative form" at *these selves' sections seperatly.*

Now I **conclude this Decision as all contents, as lies produced from their brain, if I were middle person for Komex to purchase from MEMC , surly Komex must let the middle person for commission, to work to let Komex had sale contract with MEMC, not with me, at all, as the basic business knowledge that just Komex knew. Komex knew to use the criminal jurists to produce issues and matters, illegally for Komex' theft, as jurists' knowledge, too, and the jurists joined, to not care to destroy me for their theft.**

***just one example, private attorney, sticked with criminal government' jurists.** Private attorney, Heneghan at superior court, said, 2nd time that "I was in jail too long and guaranteed me to be out of jail immediately for the credit of Korea jail since that I told. I had their hiding credit from inmate, but prosecutor asked, more than 9 years in jail, rather more than 6 years, at 1st time, for 30 documents matter. Unusually, immediately Heneghan came to me and said "sign as guilty then you will be out of jail immediately". They used my despair sad to sign at guilty. Heneghan responded as stupid at my explanations about the deals' documents that I brought from Korea, since stealing by prosecutor my about 30 docs. He said I'm guilty suddenly, then said "sorry" because he thought this case is civil to me who was in jail. Heneghan was not for me but for prosecutor to make this case issued illegally to be closed for prosecutor safty. Still he had \$2,500 from \$5,000 that I asked to return all. His charge was extremely cheaper and started to work before receiving and sign. Also You will see briefs from lawyer T. Dunn, not for me, aggressively for Decision but he got \$25,000 from me. They are not normal, not better than thief, destroyer life and they can murder for their profit by using their title. If private attorney *and upper courts are not together with court' jurists' crimes, then court' jurists cannot be such to leave their crime record, transcripts and the prosecutor had to not issue this case*

Kim's Other Contentions Lack Merit, None of her contentions have merit, and we affirm the judgment." and "Kim testified in a narrative form."

Just my one testament at Decision self as below, as each of all my testaments, can collapse all insistings of the guilty at this case, straightly, fully and at once.

"According to Kim, if she had been acting as Komex's "go- between" or "servant," Komex would have wired her \$1.85 million, not \$1.92 million." 4page, 2nd from bottom.

My testaments were, all explanations about each of documents. *transcripts 308, 326 + 368*. I submitted 38 documents as exhibits among 80 that I have *at 2 deals among me, Komex and MEMC.* Therefore the prosecutor could not object at my testaments about documents at the deals, appendix. Therefore "Kim's Other Contentions Lack Merit, None of her contentions have merit, and "Kim testified in a narrative form." are just Decision' simply expression for lies without any ground that I will prove fully. Decision just intended to not mention my evidence of documents at my section, as above, to cover all verbal evidence of the prosecutor section. The verbal evidence of the prosecutor, were produced by their brain for the guilty as the *fiction comedy deal's novel*, in the actual deal, actually crazy lies *for the guilty*. My testament self as above, sounds reasonable and clear at one certain deal. This testament was with 2 documents, and after each of testaments, I submitted as exhibits, always. These were the MEMC' invoice to me, and as my purchasing order to MEMC. MEMC is the best objective evidences and the amount of MEMC' invoice is \$1.85million.

Decision said that Komex sent to me \$1.92million after holding \$20,000 for quantity matter from \$1.94million, and this content is at the purchasing order from Komex to me, as the prosecutor' evidence.

Therefore to send \$1.92million to me, from Komex, was by my invoice to Komex and Komex purchasing order to me. Therefore my invoice to Komex and Komex purchasing order to me, are real and the fact **that I was the seller at 2nd deal of the case also as the evidence of the prosecutor.** If this deal was between Komex and MEMC and I were the middle person, Komex had to send \$1.85million by MEMC' invoice which no human is possible to deny at my testament as above. MEMC invoices at 2 deals, were delivered through Internet to my email add. from MEMC which no body can change or create. MEMC documents are the most objective and main 3rd party' evidence.

But Decision told Komex wired \$1.92million to me, to middle person to forward to MEMC at the deal by my "told", so weird. And another main issue for guilty, is "Choi said Baada's commission was \$20,000". So weird lies at main issues for guilty as impossible lies, in the any actual deal, therefore these lies rather show the guilty, projected. Are these possible to be evidences at any criminal case, not comedy?!

If I were the middle person for commission then Komex had to say my commission \$90,000. What am I doing now? I am trying to prove, purchasing order, the prosecutor' evidence, and all documents at deals, which are absolute and natural, real at the deals, to the real to be out of weird lies, for guilty at Decision. I think Jurists are devil at Decision by such weird lies to destroy me for their private profits. Such proving makes me dizzy and exhausted in the weird lies, as human, over 10 years, in Korea and here. Also, Komex' purchasing orders and my invoices are the prosecutor evidence at two deals, and I don't need to prove all statements as above and these 4 docs. of the prosecutor evidence selves, prove contents of guilty produced unreasonable till the weird, from the issuing this case & prove all judges at 3 courts in California, complices as national significant MEMC invoice can not be denied, then, I had already \$70,000, and my issue. **profit \$90,000**, not the commission \$20,000 by Komex' purchasing order to me, and the invoice of MEMC to me. Judges made Decision in the name of The Appeal court, as fiction clear weird lies in front of documents including two exhibits as above, which no human can not deny, but Decision could ^{again} show that they are exact murder and destroyer, for their private secret benefits, as the evidence of Decision self.

Really who can deny that prosecutor's all contentions are Lack Merit, None of prosecutor contentions have merit, and a narrative form." as all contents of Decision and their testaments for guilty, but all verbal fiction lies. They lost human' shame as bold brass at lies at the main subjects for guilty which are Kim's Other Contentions Lack Merit, None of her contentions have merit, and "Kim testified in a narrative form. But I proved their main subjects for guilty which are, "commission, \$20,000" and "Komex sent \$1.92million to me. middle person, to forward to MEMC" were collapsed by my one testament at Decision, as above, with 2 documents, at once, as my reasonable explanation, so far. Therefore "and we affirm the judgment." is just express without ground at all, for guilty projected. by jurists, As 2 documents of the testament as above, each of my all testaments with exhibits, more over, just even, one sentence or one word as "buyer or seller" at documents, can collapse all total insisting at this case for guilty, as fake produced for guilty at once that You please check by Your selves, for me & for the people of the world.

Kim's Request to "Represent Herself"

At two briefs from my attorney, T. Dunn, to The Appeal Court, "represent my self" is only one issue. He wrote 5 issues raised, and a few more issues from me accepted, on the paper **appendix A.6**. He told he was the dist. attorney (lie, I have one witness) and could not be the judge by the corrupted jurists, then he told that he agreed what I told at my case.

The main content, at this issue on Decision was "to represent my self" by my request at his 2 briefs, and I found Decision' contents at this issue, are exact same stories and I found a few citations to Decision from briefs **appendix A-7,8**. Also, at his brief, there are false from Choi, plaintiff which he had to correct at my section ^{by} what he knew the facts, but ^{Dunn} false produced by Dunn self to me, guilty at my section (at mark **A**). One mention from Choi at his brief, became ^{at mark **A**} 1st issue at 1st deal of the prosecutor section on Decision. Of course, one issue of "represent my self" for new trail court was denied. ^{raised instead of about 10, as appendix 6}

Mr. Dunn and I agreed to be separate, after 1st brief. O'Leary judge did not permit. Therefore 2nd brief was strange to me again. Mr. Dunn filed just a few seconds before 12 p.m. at night of last due date, to not show me 2 briefs before filing that You can find by the Appeal court' record. He promised to let me correct as a person in the court and the deals. Because 2 briefs were not for me, really. If private attorneys, are not the member of jurist criminal association, the jurists at the courts, could not be such careless and bold at their crimes, at the record of transcripts and Decision self to upper courts.

* Frankly several matters as above, I felt like Dunn not for my new trail, because I read his briefs that I can't understand fully. I felt 2 briefs Decision said, the court permitted to represent my self, was error and not for me continued to mention as, "a fair trial prevails over the right to self- basically, representation." None of her contentions have merit, and we affirm the judgment". 9th from bottom page 3. Both of the court' error and represent myself, became nothing, at once. ^{by jurists' professional description} If trial court were such fair to prevail me ^{from transcripts on Decision} with less English and no experience of jury court basically, then, why are priv ate lawyers so many as fav or business whom peoples at cases look for, and why is the court' duty, to appoint the lawyer to the defendant who has not priv ate lawyer at criminal case? Who ever, does not, any defendant want a lawyer, as the human at this very big felony case and how could I ^{from The Brief of Dunn also meritless} request to be without lawyer? ^{and characterizing their dialogue are from The Reply Brief.}

"Represent Myself " **was not the court' error, and the trial court never was fair. Both are lies to cover the real, jurists' plot at this issue.** You can guess how was the trial court, with the prosecutor who issued this case with

4 documents, innocent evidence, therefore all weird lies produced and scary plots at entire subjects including, at law and at testaments as Decision, with his helper, judge Goethals that I am proving. The corrupted jurists are associated as group and the prosecutor could pick the favorite judge, through group. I think, this gossip is from the fact that I used to hear from many inmates. Also private attorney, Heneghan told me at last meeting, "I'll loose at jury, because I didn't know the jury not by the case's matter which shouldn't be right in USA. **appendix** I wrote a letter to The Appeal court about the reason, fact why ^I was "represented myself" with the scenes ^{at jury} by transcripts. She did want the fact even that she could not deny, as all proper exhibits at the deals, but ^{I am sure she put severe effort for deception for Decision for her secret dirty profit. She lost any human merit, sincerity, her lies too crazy by crimes} **I could not have any way except "to be myself", by jurists' plot, as one of** ^{hardened} **their plots at jury.** The judge, Goethals did (never) not want me to go with any other lawyer, but with Public Defender, Shui, only. This plot was not only Goethals' one but all judges. But I could not go with Shui, at all. I was positive that Shui wasn't a lawyer for me, but for the prosecutor. Japanese judge said he will be the judge and asked me to not go to jury court.

who requested a fare lawyer

IF -BELIEVE YOU ARE NOT CURRENTLY RECEIVING COMPETENT LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE ORANGE COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE, I WILL GIVE YOU A NEW LAWYER. P, 126 I appealed to 4 judges (Goethals) P129-130 about public defender mostly as below, The lists as below, are the matters what Shui was at me, at this case.

- 1) Shui kept to insist me, guilty, all the way from the 1st meeting, and never listened of me, or asked to see, even one from about 100 documents from Korea, since, about 30 document stolen to prosecutor's territory.
- 2) Shui threatened me, my daughter, guilty, too and my Green card, then, *threatened me to pay at the first time, then to sign at guilty as other jurists including judges, and did not tell that I will be out of jail, immediately. I think he deceived the prosecutor and me, for his certain position.*
- 3) Shui insisted lie that I lost documents in San Francisco to hide my docs. to the prosecutor by snitch in jail.

4) *Shui met me just two times, but he left (for about half hour) on the meeting of each with getting mad without interpreter.*

How can any defendant go with a lawyer who insisted me guilty all the way.

I asked a new lawyer, to 4 judges (King judge, too) I sent twice of letters to judge Prickett about why I could not be with Shui and asked a new lawyer, I wrote that I knew that I will be guilty by Shui. Appendix. After this letter, he denied a new lawyer and let me know with McFretidge about my jail time for 12 years, **at P21**. Immediately, after session, Prickett said again my jail time for more than 16 years. I can't see this mention at transcript. The interpreter tried to add each years of counts, to more than 16 years on the paper with ball pen to translate me. The sheriff twisted my arms to the back, to not to

grab that paper when I tried to be out of that court. At this court, Shui told lies a lot against my complains, then he made sure to ask Prickett and Goethals, all records sealed then the judges said "don't worry"

Another Japanese judge said that I could not have the documents that I brought from Korea again **p102**, since my about 30 docs. stolen to prosecutor, if I will go jury without a lawyer, but must be Shui. Lastly I appealed to Goethals, "ALTHOUGH I DESPERATELY BELIEVE I NEED A LAWYER," **AT 357**. Goethals suggested, to sign as guilty, Goethals told me for a credit for time served, offer,—"at this taught case to win" **P161**.

then p163,

Goethals : Have you ever told your client that you think she's guilty? Shui Said : I have not
Goethals : Have you looked at the evidence and told her that you fear the jury might find her guilty Mr. Shui: That's exactly correct.

Goethals : Okay miss Kim,--he told you he think the evidence is tough ? and they jury might find you guilty"

Before, Goethals asked me what the prosecutor's jail period to me was. **P141** I answered more than 9 years. **I refused to sign as guilty.**

Their asking me to sign as guilty was, for the prosecutor's issuing this case illegally, his crime to be closed. Otherwise, why did the jurists manipulate me to give up a chance for innocent at jury ? I wouldn't live any more time in jail, as Goethals told. And I am the person who brought the jury, then the jurists can believe me to be at jury court and had to let me be out of jail, as I asked. Before this court, they hid about law of credit for serving in jail at the same case in foreign county. And they threatened me in jail, for more than 9, 12 and 16 years to sign at the guilty.

** But Goethals listened of my petition that I have already over-served my sentence. — P166.*

Goethals said, "I BELIEVE BASED ON WHAT I'VE SEEN AND HEARD, Mr. Shui will do his very best to represent you. He's got a theory. He's got a defense theory--it seems like a viable theory on its face. Shui had good experience " **P 161-162** " YOUR HONOR, THIS IS UNCHARTED TERRITORY FOR ME." and Shui told about theory, **P 202**

That theory is, (shui told before, but not clearly to me accept. It is too short one theory, brand new and fake. *at same day*)
"--- we're (Komex) not going to pay you (me). Komex think my work is worth *produced, instantly* 20,000 less than what I think it is.—

The theory was for commission, for embezzler guilty.

Full sentence of his theory is very wicked, **P150-151**. I told to Goethal "I fell like this is a trick that puts me into a corner. **P153** *but opened to be caught easily. They don't work for proper lies too. They are criminal hardened to lose their good human being ship,*

** The theory (full) at p150-151 is the contents for embezzlement P.C. 503 and never "for by trick" which 3 p
Goethals praised, then why is my case P.C. 487.1?*

at 1st day of Jury, judge didn't call me intentionally and lied me "you were not brought from the jail" I was anxiously waiting in the court jail room. **P120-121.**

Also when jurors' final meeting for decision to the room, Goethals was gone immediately for quite a while, without any notice at the court, which the lawyer, Dunn asked me such situation, then, I recalled as above situation of Goethals, and the memory is that Goethals unrest and suspicious to me to be unrest. I was and am ^{terrified} exhausted by such jurists' tremendous crimes but as their main job and limitless, over 10 years in Korea and here

"as the court predicted she would "go down in flames.5th from bottom at page 6" meant Goethals knew that I will lose to be guilty at jury without the lawyer. He heard of my pleading a new lawyer and complaint about Shui, **P136**, Mr Shui :- - a couple hundred (he knew many copies at each document). Goethals : And you've read them all? Mr. Shui: a lot of Korean (lie, as You see, all documents were by English, even between Komex and me because 2 deals for international deal, except a very few. Even if these were by Korean, Shui had translated, these documents to English for the one human to the court. He never asked, rather never mention about these document

P153 Goethals said "you got to make tough decision" Why did Goethals say "tough decision", to me to accept Shui. Normally isn't it appreciation matter to defendant, to have a lawyer from the court?

P145 You're totally unqualified to represent yourself. **P148**, You know I think it's a terrible idea because you're going to lose, right **P155** You're going to get yourself convicted because you don't know how to represent yourself.

P171 appendix He rather persisted me as above more than 21 times ^{as above,} not for me, but the prosecutor, with lawyer but must be Shui, **P164 The defendant:** So I'm thinking that I have no other choice but go with my attorney I will try to put more efforts with my attorney for the next two days.

Also Goethals was not the judge at this case but for the prosecutor who was ^{the complice} criminal at this case. **p124**, This attorney had deceived me quite many time- -If should I stand in front of the jury by myself, he told me that I was not going to be guaranteed of receiving my evidences.

The court: stop The defendant - -that were brought back here

The court: stop. You had what is known as a marden hearing last month-- After deciding of To represent my self, Goethals started to be a dictator

again. p155-156

P143, I want to trust you. **P164** I'm very doubtful of you, although I want to trust you and I learned this fact through, How could as the defendant say such words to the judge at this case which my left total life depened, and I don't know why he couldn't respond any at that words as above, he might be the judge to keep his crimes at the case, whatever the situations are, even that defendant caught his crime.

I realized even though another lawyer whom will be appointed by Goethals, must be dangerous to me as Shui. Also I had a minⁿ to ask to have another judge, not Goethals. I was so afraid of that Goethals will take longer time, intensionally to me to be exhausted for waiting, in jail, for a new lawyer or new judge, as Shui did to me. Shui told me that he will get 30docs. stolen, from Korea again. And I asked him "where and what and where will you get, even though you never asked to look. Shui tried me to be in jail for long time to wait to be exhausted to give up inmate' rights. I thought I will be innocent by jury because I could not guess how the jurists are professional crimes at entire issues, tremendous criminal jurists, *to deceive jurors and law. so criminally and carelessly.*

"Kim said, "I want to fight against the corruptions and to expose the corruptions and I don't understand why anybody would raise an objection to that." Decision brought this mention for deception. This word, was at next court which is not related "Represent myself". Decision connected with the issue to

"Represented Myself " forcedly to cover Goethals' plot.

the sentence as above at Decision and "go down in flames" are from Dann' briefs.

P325, BUT I WENT THROUGH A VERY DIFFICULT TIME IN ORDER FOR ME TO COME HERE IN FRONT OF THE JURY. DURING THAT TIME THE PUBLIC DEFENDER AND MY PRIVATE ATTORNEYS, THEY ALL DEDCEIVED ME ALONG WITH DITRICT ATTORNEY. AND I REALLY WANT TO EXPLAIN IT TO YOU IN DETAIL BECAUSE THAT'S THE TRUE FACT FOF THE LEGAL OR JUDICAIL OFFICERS HERE IN THE UNITED STATE.

THE COURT : ALL. STOP FOR A MINUTE, MISS KIM. I THINK WE NEED A BREAK, FOLKS. I mentioned as above, and Goethals stopped ~~so~~ rudely so many times several times in front of jurors.

P102, I can fight against any body anybody when it come down to corruption.

The court: That's fine. Do you want to talk to this attorney.

I still want to expose, Decision told lies which must discovered soon & clearly
Goethals must ~~let~~ ^{not me} be alone with lawyer, by my less English, ^{to be} I could not hear and understand from the interpreter. And while the interpreter was telling me, the judge had to continue to speak. There was trouble at man interpreter for incorrections, after him to be quitted, still, I missed at main issues of the court, and found incorrect translations at the main issues at Transcripts. I can' write this petition without dictionary, and takes me long

long times for my corrections and corrections. It looks that the interpreters intended incorrect translations, because all of incorrect translations were, to my guilty only. Just 3 examples as below

P.391—This is the document in relation to the amount of \$12,000 which is related to fraud made by accuser. This is a purchase contract between the accuser and MEMC? P.518 I bought four transaction as in evidence and they all prove that he acted as a seller? P.523, They wanted to introduce themselves to the manufacturer to know and they want them to know that they were the actual purchaser?

P507-508 Juror 9: The jury need to consider is a translated version of what happened. The court: Right Juror 9: I guess it's more of nuance I suppose. But I guess what I'm saying is whether or not that I could --- Juror 9 Korean American
Page 258, Juror2: I HAVE QUESTION. ARE WE ALLOWED TO CLARIFY ANYTHING?
The court; no. you're sponges.

p 312
Juror2: If my perception is correct, we have two or three documents she was just talking about. *Ms Kim* :yes,yes *The court*:Hold on, miss kim. Juror2: So do we have them being put into evidence? Do we have a document letter for that so I can correlate a document letter with what she's discussing? *The court*: I'm not driving the bus here, sir. Juror2: yes, sir *The court*: Miss kim is her own lawyer and she had to deal with her exhibits in a professional way. I am intervening to some degree, but it's up to her to do what she thinks is appropriate. So it's hard for me to answer that question. You're watching and You're evaluating. That's what your job is
juror 2: okay

P 440: Juror 10: Can we ask for a court interpreter to interpret the documents? The court: No. Once the evidence is over, we don't supplement them.----

P231Q:So you recognize the document as a commercial invoice A:yes
Q: And where is it coming from? A: company Baada issued to us. Q: Now, who are you transferring the money to? A: That document, we would transfer the money to MEMC directly.(The jurists intended to not instruct the relationship between deals and charge. These are the part of the reasons why Goethals sent me without any lawyer but had to be Shui, their complicit at this case.)page 6, 6th
Kim contends her statements, interpreted by a Korean speaking interpreter were so **unintelligible and confused**, no reasonable lay juror could have understood her defense. We reject the argument.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Soonyoung Kim

Date: Jul. 18th 2018