

14-1175 CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD V. HYATT

DECISION BELOW: 335 P.3d 125

LOWER COURT CASE NUMBER: 53264

QUESTION PRESENTED:

1. Whether the federal discretionary-function immunity rule, 28 U.S.C. §2680(a), is categorically inapplicable to intentional torts and bad-faith conduct.

2. Whether Nevada may refuse to extend to sister States haled into Nevada courts the same immunities Nevada enjoys in those courts.

3. Whether *Nevada v. Hall*, 440 U.S. 410 (1979), which permits a sovereign State to be haled into the courts of another State without its consent, should be overruled.

LIMITED TO QUESTIONS 2 AND 3 PRESENTED BY THE PETITION.

CERT. GRANTED 6/30/2015