

June 28, 2024

2nd attempts

Justice Sonia Sotomayor
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First St NE
Washington, DC 20543

Dear Justice Sotomayor,

I am writing to respectfully request an extension of time for my case 23-890 before the Supreme Court, as directed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. As a pro se plaintiff with a disability, I have not yet received a package from the Supreme Court in Washington, DC. The contents of this package are essential for me to proceed effectively with my case.

Given the circumstances and the imminent expiration date, I kindly ask for an extension of time to allow for the receipt and review of the aforementioned package. This extension is to ensure that I can fully participate in the legal process and present my case adequately.

I appreciate your attention to this matter and understanding of the challenges I am facing due to my disability. Your consideration in granting this extension is protecting and upholding my rights and seeking justice through the legal system. In addition, it is challenging to correspond with the court.

Thank you for your time and understanding.

Sincerely,

Nicolyn Plummer
114 S. 13th Ave
MT. Vernon, NY 10550
800-421-1220
ext. 9146647572

turn over

S.D.N.Y. – W.P.
20-cv-4805
Román, J

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 1st day of December, two thousand twenty-three.

Present:

Gerard E. Lynch,
Michael H. Park,
Steven J. Menashi,
Circuit Judges.

Nicolyn Plummer,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

23-890

New York Property Insurance Underwriting Association,

Defendant-Appellee,

New York State Division of Human Rights,
Dane Austin, President,

Defendants.

Appellee moves to dismiss the appeal as untimely. Appellant, pro se, moves for compensatory and punitive damages, injunctive relief, and reimbursement for incurred costs. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that Appellee's motion is DENIED because the appeal is timely. Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(7); *Arzuaga v. Quiros*, 781 F.3d 29, 33 (2d Cir. 2015). It is further ORDERED that Appellant's motion is denied and the appeal is DISMISSED because it "lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact." *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); *Pillay v. I.N.S.*, 45 F.3d 14, 17 (2d Cir. 1995) (per curiam).

FOR THE COURT:
Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe


**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT**

At a Stated Term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 12th day of April, two thousand twenty-four,

Present: Gerard E. Lynch,
Michael H. Park,
Steven J. Menashi,

Circuit Judges,

Nicolyn Plummer,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

New York Property Insurance Underwriting Association,

Defendant - Appellee,

New York State Division of Human Rights, Dane
Austin, President,

Defendants.

Appellant Nicolyn Plummer filed a motion for reconsideration and the panel that determined the motion has considered the request.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the motion is denied.

For The Court:
Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe,
Clerk of Court


