Docket No. 244409

Supreme Court, U.S. FILED

MAR - 4 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Derrick L. Johnson – Petitioner

VS.

Chief Justice Patricia Guerrero, "et. al.", - Respondents.

On Application to the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles

application to stay the enforcement of a judg ment sought to be reviewed

Derrick L. Johnson
Petitioner *Pro Se*1041 Market Street
San Diego, CA 92101
djohnson6feet3@gmail.com
6199804952

RECEIVED

MAR 0 to 2025

STELLER CLERK

To the Honorable Elena Kagan, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States and Circuit Justice for the Ninth District Circuit:

Petitioner respectfully moves for a Justice to, at chambers, grant an order staying the enforcement of the judgment (sought to be reviewed by the Supreme Court on writ of certiorari) in case number BY943662 to enable Petitioner (the party aggrieved) to obtain a writ of certiorari from the Supreme Court. The petition raises the question of whether the judgment denies Petitioner a right under the Constitution of the United States. The relief sought is not available from Respondent Supreme Court of California because the relief sought was denied on February 11, 2025 (Appendix B).

Petitioner (as applicant in this document) has satisfied the requirements of Supreme

Court Rule 23 and of this Court (in *Hollingsworth vs. Perry*, 130 S. Ct. 705, 709 (2010)) for seeking
a stay and for seeking the relief requested from a Circuit Justice, in that these are the most extraordinary circumstances because Respondent Superior Court of the State of California for the

County of Los Angeles does not have personal jurisdiction over Petitioner and; therefore, does
not have the power to proceed; also, petitioner has sought the relief requested above and that
request has been denied (as shown in Appendix B).

ARGUMENT (THE REASONS WHY A STAY IS JUSTIFIED)

A. The Requirements for a Stay of Proceedings pending Certiorari are satisfied in this

Case This Court has held "...To obtain a stay pending the filing and disposition of a petition for a

writ of certiorari, an applicant must show (1) a reasonable probability that four Justices will consider the issue sufficiently meritorious to grant certiorari; (2) a fair prospect that a majority of the Court will vote to reverse the judgment below; and (3) a likelihood that irreparable harm will result from the denial of a stay..." *Hollingsworth vs. Perry,* 130 S. Ct. 705, 709 (2010); petitioner has made such showing here of the likelihood of irreparable harm (petitioner is being continuously deprived of property without due process of law, in violation of the 5th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States) will result from the denial of a stay. In light of this Court stating "...the district court was without personal jurisdiction over the defendant, and that in the absence of such jurisdiction the court was without power to proceed to an adjudication..."

Employers Reinsurance Corp. vs. Bryant, 299 U.S. 374, 381 (1937), there is a reasonable probability that this Court will grant certiorari and a fair prospect this Court would reverse the judgment below.

- B. 28 U.S.C. §2101(f) provides for a stay
- C. Code of Civil Procedure §165 provides for a Justice of the Supreme Court to grant all orders
- D. The judgment is void under state law and Petitioner is the defendant in such judgment See <u>People vs. Greene</u> (1887) 74 Cal. 400, 406 and Supreme Court Rule 23.2.

THE RELIEF SOUGHT

In conclusion, for the foregoing reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests a Justice to, at chambers (on the day this application is delivered to a Justice) grant an order staying the enfor-

cement of the judgment in case number BY943662 to enable Petitioner to obtain a writ of certiorari from the Supreme Court.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - copy of the judgment sought to be reviewed

APPENDIX B – copy of the order of Respondent Supreme Court of California denying Petitioner's stay request

ب	
•	
Ų	
2	
į,	
J	

	FL-630
GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY (under Family Code, §§ 17400,17406): FESIA DAVENPORT, CHIEF ATTORNEY	FOR COURT USE ONLY
TIOS ANGELES COUNTY CSSD - SOUTH LOS ANGELES 8300 S VERMONT AVE 2000000051404	,
FL 2 LOS ANGELES CA 90044-3422	·
AND MIGHERS OF BROTTHEAT	
TELEPHONE NO.: (858) 901-3212 FAX NO. (Optional): (323) 789-8698	FILED
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	COLD ALLACHI, IT MINISTER MANAGEMENT
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Under Filmily Code §§ 17400 & 17408	LOP ANGELES EUPERIOR COURT
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STREET ADDRESS: 600 S COMMONWEALTH AVE	JAN 1 1 2012
MAILING ADDRESS: 800 8 COMMONWEALTH AVE	DWM TT SAIS
CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS ANGELES 90005-4001	JOHN A CLARK CISON
BRANCH NAME; CENTRAL CIVIL WEST	Buil March
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF; COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	BY BINH MOUTER, DEPUTY
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: DERRICK LYNN JOHNSON	
OTHER PARENT: JANN KAYE WHETSTONE	
JUDGMENT REGARDING PARENTAL OBLIGATIONS	CASE NUMBER:
AMENDED SUPPLEMENTAL	BY943662
1. a. NOTICE: THIS IS A PROPOSED JUDGMENT, This Judgment Reg	parding Parental Obligations will be entered by the
Complaint Regarding Parental Obligations (Governmental) (form date you were served with the Summons and Complaint or Supp Obligations (Governmental) (form FL-600). If you need form FL-6 agency's office, the court clerk, or the family law facilitator. The forms. To file the answer, follow the procedures listed in the atta b. NOTICE: THIS IS A JUDGMENT. It is now legally binding. 2. This matter proceeded as follows: a. \times Judgment entered under Family Code section 17430.	plemental Complaint Regarding Parental 10, you may get one from the local child support family law facilitator will help you fill out the
b. By court hearing, appearances as follows: (1) Date: Dept.: 2 6 Judicial Off (2) Petitioner/plaintiff present Attorney present (n (3) Respondent/defendant present Attorney present (n (4) Other parent present Attorney present (n (5) Local child support agency attorney (Family Code, §§ 17400,174 (6) Other. (specify):	name); name): 106) (name):
 c. The obligor (the parent ordered to pay support) is the petitioner/plaintig. This order is based on presumed income for the obligor under Family C. 	
Attached is a computer printout showing the parents' incomes and percent	
The printout, which shows the calculation of child support payable, will be	secome the court's findings.
This order is based on the attached documents (specify):	
THE COURT ORDERS	a second in them Ch
 a. The mother and father listed in the complaint are the parents of the children b. Obligor must pay current child support as follows: 	n panjeu in Reth OD.
	of birth Monthly support amount
= 1 A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1/2008 \$331.00
CF J. W 1 10/01	1/2008 \$331.00
(1) Other (specify); Child support obligations are pursuant to the attached	ed guideline calculation computer printout.
(2) For a total of: \$662.00 payable on the: first	day of each month
beginning (date): 06/01/2010	ant At Applicating
NOTICE: Any party required to pay child support must pay interest on	overdue amounts at the legal rate, which is
currently 10 percent per year.	Page 1 of 2
Am Adopted for Alternative Mandatory Use JUDGMENT REGARDING PARENTAL	

			FL-630
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	3	CASE NUMBER:	
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: DERRICK LYNN JOHNSON		BYS	43662
OTHER PARENT: JANN KAYE WHETSTONE			
b. (3) The low-income adjustment applies.			
The low-income adjustment does not apply	because (specify	reasons):	
(4)Any support ordered will continue until further orde			
c. Obligor must pay child support for the past period			Amount
Name	Date	of birth Period of support	Editoria
(1) Other (specify):			
(2) For a total of: \$ pay	able: \$	on the:	day of each month
beginning (date):			
(3) Interest accrues on the entire principal			
 d. If this is a judgment on a Supplemental Complaint, it d arrearage, unless specifically provided. 			
 No provision of this judgment can operate to limit any and collect interest and penalties as allowed by law. A 	right to collect the	principal (total amount of unpaid ed are subject to modification.	support) or to charge
f. All payments must be made to (name and address of	agency): CALIFO	RNIA STATE DISBURSEMENT	TINU
		K 989067 SACRAMENTO CA 95798-9067	
	******	1	•
g. An Income Withholding for Support (form FL-195)	will Issue.	*	
h. Obligor Obligee must: (1) provide an through employment or a group plan, or otherwise ave agency office informed of the availability of the covera becomes available; (3) within 20 days of the local child (4) provide to the local child support agency all inform. (5) present any claim to secure payment or reimburse services for the children; and (6) assign any rights to rhealth-care services for the children. If the "Obligor" by	allable at no or rea ge; (2) if health in: d support agency; ation and forms no ment to the other reimbursement to	surance is not available, provide of s request, complete and return a he essary to obtain health-care ser parent or caretaker who incurs co the other parent or caretaker who	local child support everage when it health insurance form; vices for the children; sts for health-care incurs costs for
i. The parents must notify the local child support agency			
 The form Notice of Rights and ResponsibilitiesHealth on Changing a Child Support Order (form FL-192) is a 	h-Care Costs and ittached.	Reimbursement Procedures and	Information Sheet
k. The following person (the "other parent") is adde JANN KAYE WHETSTONE	d as a party to thi	s action under Family Code section	on 17404 (name):
I. Obligor must pay costs of: \$			
m. The court further orders (specify): Obligor mu care costs	st pay fifty percen for the children as	t (50%) of the reasonable uninsur provided by Family Code section	ed health 4062.
		Taylor	
		TAR A. C.	1.3
			-
ate:		With a	i
. Number of pages attached:			4.4 1 4 4 4
Approved as conforming to court order.		JUDICIAL OFFICER	
Date:		SIGNATURE FOLLOWS LAST ATTACHMENT	
(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY FOR OBLIGOR)			
.630 (Rev. July 1, 2008) JUDGMENT REGAR	DING DADENT	AL OBLIGATIONS	Page 2 of

CMT 1

SUPREME COURT FILED

FEB 1 1 2025

Jorge	Navarrete	Clerk
-------	-----------	-------

S289036

Deputy

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

En Banc	=
In re DERRICK LYNN JOHNSON on Habeas Cor	pus.

GUERRERO

Chief Justice

	IN THE
	SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
	Derrick L. Johnson — PETITIONER (Your Name)
stice Patricia Guerre	VS. ero; Supreme Court of California; Presising Judge Sergio C. Tapia II; Superior Court of the
irnia for the County o	of Los Angeles; and; United States — RESPONDENT(S)
	PROOF OF SERVICE
Ι,	, do swear or declare that on this date
	, 20, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have osed APPLICATION TO STAY THE ENFORCEMENT OF A TUDGHEMENT SOUGHT TO BE REVIEWED
	EAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS on each party to the above proceeding
and the ment to be a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
or that party's c an envelope cont	counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed
or that party's c an envelope cont to each of them commercial carri	counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing
or that party's c an envelope cont to each of them commercial carri been served.	counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing aining the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party
or that party's c an envelope cont to each of them commercial carri been served.	counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-partier for delivery within 3 calendar days. All parties required to be served have
or that party's c an envelope cont to each of them commercial carri been served.	counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-partier for delivery within 3 calendar days. All parties required to be served have
or that party's c an envelope cont to each of them commercial carri been served. The names and a	counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-partier for delivery within 3 calendar days. All parties required to be served have addresses of those served are as follows:
or that party's c an envelope cont to each of them commercial carri been served. The names and a	counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party ier for delivery within 3 calendar days. All parties required to be served have addresses of those served are as follows: penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
or that party's c an envelope cont to each of them commercial carri been served. The names and a	counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party ier for delivery within 3 calendar days. All parties required to be served have addresses of those served are as follows: penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.