

23-16003
2:10-CV-01220-JKS

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME

TIANTE DION SCOTT
Petitioner

v


Jeff LYNCH, warden
Respondent

on motion for extension of time to
file in accordance with rules
13.5 and 30.4

motion for extension to
file

Tiante Dion Scott
sacramento county jail
651 I street
sacramento, ca. 95814

IN PRO-PER



1 TO THE HONORABLE JUSTICES in the ABOVE
2 ENTITLED ACTION:

3
4 Please take notice that as soon as
5 this matter may be HEARD by the Honorable
6 Court the ABOVE Petitioner- Appellant will move
7 for an extension of time in accordance with
8 rules 13.5 and 30.4

9
10 ON December 10, 2024 THE UNITED STATES
11 Court of Appeals filed a Denial ORDER to
12 the petitioners' motion for Rehearing. (see
13 EXHIBIT A) THE ISSUES the petitioner pre-
14 sented before the court involves a Denial
15 of Due process, equal protection of enacted
16 Laws, and Denial of Access to court.

17
18 Upon the enactment of California Senate
19 Bill 483, WHICH went into affect January
20 2021, Petitioner, being a California prisoner,
21 informed his counselor to submit His name
22 for resentencing as the law involving Cal-
23 ifornia Penal Code 667.5, was made in-
24 valid, resulting the petitioner NOW,
25 HAVING an invalid sentence.

26
27 THE LAW defined in SB483 mandates
28 California Department of Corrections and

1 REHABILITATION (CDCR) personnel to submit
2 all non-violent conviction by March
3 1, 2022. The CDCR personnel refused me,
4 the petitioner, this right to be heard in
5 court to correct my invalid sentence.
6 The removal of the invalid charge would
7 have changed my sentence, significantly,
8 as much I would have been released.
9 This, in my belief, is what the motifs
10 of the CDCR personnel is to prevent
11 my release.

12 THE REASON for this writ is to
13 Direct or Mandate the CDCR to
14 ABIDE by the LAW and its directives.

15
16 ON May 14, 2023 I was transferred
17 to the Sacramento County Jail, from
18 Wasco State Prison - California, to address
19 another sentencing issue. (SEE EXHIBIT B)
20 I WAS NOT INFORMED of the nature of
21 the proceeding, and I was not allowed to
22 bring all of my personal property, this
23 is including my legal papers. I DO NOT
24 HAVE my EXHIBITS AND points to properly
25 present in a certiorari. I need an ext-
26 ention because I am scheduled to go
27 to court on March 7, 2025, of which
28 I CANNOT properly present a complete

1 certiorari because I do NOT HAVE my
2 papers and exhibits for this case, I
3 CAN complete a functioning certiorari once
4 I Am returned to Wasco State PRISON
5 - California.

6
7
8 THANK YOU for your time and con-
9 sideration in this matter

10
11
12 date: 2/23/25

Respectfully submitted

13
14 *Juanb Scott*
15 Triante Scott

1
2
3 VERIFICATION
4


5 I Am the Petitioner in this motion
6 for extension of time. I declare under penalty
7 of perjury that on February 23, 2025 I sub-
8 mitted this motion while in the Sacramento
9 County Jail.

10
11 I declare under penalty of perjury that all
12 information presented are true to my best
13 knowledge.

14
15 I HAVE read the above statements and
16 declare under penalty of perjury of LAWS of
17 the UNITED STATES that the foregoing is
18 true and correct.

19
20 executed this 23rd day of February, 2025
21 at Sacramento County Jail mail room.

22
23 Dated: 2/23/2025

24
25 
26 TIANA SCOTT
27 X-Ref 2167742
28

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

AUG 27 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

TIANTE DION SCOTT,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

JEFF LYNCH,

Respondent-Appellee.

No. 23-16003

D.C. No. 2:22-cv-01815-TLN-DB
Eastern District of California,
Sacramento

ORDER

Before: SCHROEDER and NGUYEN, Circuit Judges.

Appellant's opening brief (Docket Entry No. 2) is construed as a request for a certificate of appealability. So construed, the request is denied because appellant has not made a "substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *see also Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DEC 10 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

TIANTE DION SCOTT,

No. 23-16003

Petitioner-Appellant,

D.C. No. 2:22-cv-01815-TLN-DB
Eastern District of California,
Sacramento

v.

JEFF LYNCH,

ORDER

Respondent-Appellee.

Before: GRABER and TALLMAN, Circuit Judges.

Appellant's motion to extend time (Docket Entry No. 7) is granted.

Appellant's motion for reconsideration (Docket Entry No. 8) is deemed timely

filed and is denied. *See* 9th Cir. R. 27-10.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

EXHIBIT A

SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Active Bail Summary

05/15/2024 00:32



NAME: SCOTT, TIANTE DION

XREF: 2167742

DOB: 06/02/1976

GENDER: MALE

CURRENT HOUSING:

CURRENT LOCATION: 1 MALE BOOKING HOLDING #2

TOTAL BAIL: NO BAIL

BAIL SET: 1 OF 1 CASES

AGE: 47

KEEPER STATUS: KEEPER

K

CASE TYPE: HOLD-PC 2620	
COURT DOCKET: 06F03604	SENTENCE CODE: HOLD
ARRESTING AGENCY: SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE	ARRAIGNMENT DATE:
CASE NUMBER:	SCHEDULED CLEAR DATE:

Bail

NO BAIL		
---------	--	--

EXHIBIT - B

B

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

(C.C.P. §§ 1013(a); 2015.5; 28 U.S.C. § 1746)

Re: extension of time, Case No. 23-16003

I certify that, on 2/23/25, I served the Motion for

extension of Time to file w/exhibits and any

attachments by mail on the persons listed below. on the below named individual(s) by depositing true and correct copies thereof in the United States mail in Sacramento, California with postage fully prepaid therein address below.

I have read the above statements and declare under penalty of perjury of law of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 23rd day of February 2025 at Sacramento

By: Leah Smith County Jail.
(signature)

Name and Address

1. Supreme Court of the
United States
1 First Street, N.E.
Washington, DC. 20543

3. _____

2. _____

4. _____