

No. \_\_\_\_\_

---

In the  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

**Michael Anthony Galluzzo,**  
*Petitioner in Propria Personam, et al.*

v.

**ROBIN K. EDWARDS,**  
**DBA, CHAMPAIGN COUNTY TREASURER, OHIO**  
*Respondent.*

---

**On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the  
Supreme Court of Ohio**

---

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**


---

**Michael Anthony Galluzzo**  
P.O. Box 710

St. Paris, Ohio the State [43072]  
937-727-4505

*Petitioner in Propria Personam*

---



**TO THE HONORABLE ASSOCIATE JUSTICE ELENA KAGAN:**

Petitioner Michael Anthony Galluzzo, pursuant to Rule 30, respectfully moves the Court to grant this *Application for Extension of Time to File* his *Petition for Writ of Certiorari* for sixty (60) days, from February 24, 2025 to April 25, 2025, or in the alternative, as the court feels reasonable and appropriate.

**Jurisdiction**

The Supreme Court of the United States has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §2104 and §2106 to review this case lawfully brought before it for review.

1. On May 24, 2024, the Second District Court of Appeals for the State of Ohio filed a *Final Entry* overruling the Petitioner’s appeal from the Champaign County Common Pleas Court. Petitioner appeared *in propria persona*.
2. On September 12, 2024, Petitioner, acting on his own behalf, timely filed an appeal to THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO.
3. On November 26, 2024, THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO filed an *Entry* in Case #2024-1271 denying Petitioner’s appeal and dismissing the appeal pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 7.08(B)(4).
4. The constitutionality of certain Ohio statutory provisions is drawn into question and therefore 28 U.S.C. §2403(b) may apply to this case.
5. A title, right, privilege, or immunity is claimed under the Constitution of the United States of America.
6. As yet, there is no “independent and adequate state ground” that would otherwise dispose of this case.

7. A copy of the denial of Petition for Review by the Ohio Supreme Court is included with this application.

**Reasons Why an Extension of Time is Justified**

8. Petitioner is proceeding *in propria persona* through the legal process. He therefore requires more time than a seasoned attorney and his staff to complete the research and drafting required to submit a petition useful for the Constitutional purposes of the Supreme Court of the United States. Petitioner has discovered new information and requires additional time to research case histories.

9. Petitioner is proceeding *in forma pauperis*.

10. The issues of fundamental constitutional protections of individuals from arbitrary government interference are of critical importance to the majority of Americans at one point or another in their lives. This is true for the Petitioner as the issues of constitutional law and due process in this case directly implicate petitioner's fundamental liberties to life, liberty and property.

11. The right to due process at trial, a defendant's right to be heard and issues raised to be addressed by an impartial trier of facts, are issues of great importance to the American public and raises concerns about the impartiality, the process and the procedures of the lower courts and the judiciary. The question of fundamental due process must be questioned and resolved before proceeding to a trial without jurisdiction. Where an

individual is denied the right to present a proper defense, due process and justice are denied!

12. This is the first request for an extension of time. Petitioner's request for additional time is not made for purposes of improper delay, but for cause shown as stated in this motion, so that justice may hopefully be done in this case. The People must know if their fundamental liberties are protected by the Judiciary or left to wither on the vine.

For the reasons stated, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court Grant this *Application for Extension of Time to File* his Petition for Writ of Certiorari for sixty (60) days, from February 24, 2025 to April 25, 2025, or in the alternative, as the court feels reasonable and appropriate.

Without prejudice UCC 1-308  
Respectfully submitted,



Michael Anthony Galluzzo,  
*Petitioner in Propria Persona*  
Post Office Box 710  
St. Paris, Ohio the state [43072]  
937-727-4505

## Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on February 14, 2025 a true copy of the foregoing Motion was served upon the following interested parties by United States Postal Service mail in accordance with the Rule 29 of the Supreme Court of the United States.

CHAMPAIGN COUNTY PROSECUTOR

Counsel for Respondent  
200 South Main Street  
Urbana, Ohio 43078  
(937) 484-1900 Telephone  
(937) 484-1901 Fax



Michael Anthony Galluzzo,  
*Petitioner in Propria Persona*  
Post Office Box 710  
St. Paris, Ohio the State [43072]  
937-727-4505

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

Robin K. Edwards, Champaign County  
Treasurer

Case No. 2024-1271

ENTRY

v.

Michael Galluzzo, et al.

Upon consideration of the jurisdictional memoranda filed in this case, the court declines to accept jurisdiction of the appeal pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 7.08(B)(4).

(Champaign County Court of Appeals; No. 2023-CA-21)

  
Sharon L. Kennedy  
Chief Justice