

February 12, 2025

**Nicole A. Saharsky**  
Partner  
T: +1 202 263 3052  
F: +1 202 830 0326  
nsaharsky@mayerbrown.com

The Honorable John G. Roberts, Jr.  
Chief Justice of the United States  
One First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20543

Re: *Medina v. Planned Parenthood South Atlantic*,  
No. 23-1275

Dear Chief Justice Roberts:

I represent respondents in the above-captioned case. This morning, petitioner sent a letter asking you to extend the deadline for her reply brief from Friday, March 21, 2025, at 2 p.m. to Monday, March 24, 2025, at 2 p.m. Petitioner did not ask for our position before making this request.

Respondents oppose this extension request. The strict deadline in Rule 25.3 exists for an important reason: to ensure that the Court and the parties have sufficient time to prepare for the oral argument. Petitioner's proposal would give the Court and respondents three fewer days to consider the reply brief before the oral argument. That would limit the Court's time to prepare for argument and would substantially prejudice respondents' oral argument preparation. If petitioner had wished to have more time to prepare her reply brief, she could have sought the Clerk's approval for a different briefing schedule, or simply filed her opening brief earlier than the deadline.

Respondents therefore respectfully request that you deny petitioner's request.

Sincerely,



Nicole A. Saharsky

cc: All Counsel of Record