

No. _____

**IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

ATOS, LLC, d/b/a RIDEMETRIC,
Applicant,

v.

ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY,
Respondent.

**APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO FILE
A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2101(c) and Supreme Court Rules 13.5, 22, and 30.2, Applicant ATOS, LLC respectfully requests an extension of time of thirty days, to and including April 7, 2025, within which to file a petition for a writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in this case. Applicant has not previously sought an extension of time from this Court. Respondent does not oppose this application for extension of time.

The court of appeals issued a per curiam judgment affirming the decision of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”) on December 6, 2024. Without extension, the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari in this Court accordingly would expire on March 6, 2025. Consistent with Rule 13.5, this application is being filed at least 10 days before that date.

A copy of the Federal Circuit's judgment is attached. *See* Tab 1. The jurisdiction of this Court would be invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

1. This case concerns two of Applicant's patents: No. 9,152,609 and No. 8,527,140. Those patents provide methods for utilizing the onboard sensors of a portable device (e.g., a cell phone) to determine changes in the operational state of a vehicle and for taking certain actions based on those changes.

2. The PTAB issued separate final written decisions finding many, but not all, claims in both patents to be unpatentable. The Federal Circuit affirmed in a judgment containing no reasoning. *See* Fed. Cir. Rule 36(a).

3. Applicant may file a petition for certiorari that would present the same question as is presented in *ParkerVision, Inc. v. TCL Industries Holdings, Co., Ltd.*, No. 24-518. That petition asks this Court to examine the validity of the Federal Circuit's practice of summarily affirming PTAB decisions without issuing reasoned opinions.

4. An extension of time is requested because the petition in No. 24-518 is scheduled to be conferenced on March 21, 2025, after the petition for certiorari would otherwise be due in this case. This Court's disposition of that petition for certiorari would inform Applicant's decision whether to file a petition for certiorari in this case.

5. Further, Applicant's counsel has several professional obligations in other cases during the months of February and March.

For these reasons, Applicant requests that the time to file a petition for certiorari be extended from March 6, 2025 to April 7, 2025.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Adam G. Unikowsky

ADAM G. UNIKOWSKY

Counsel of Record

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

1099 New York Avenue, NW Suite 900

Washington, DC 20001

Tel: (202) 639-6000

aunikowsky@jenner.com

REGINALD J. HILL

BENJAMIN J. BRADFORD

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

353 North Clark Street

Chicago, IL 60654

Tel: (312) 222-9350

February 7, 2025