

No. \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED  
STATES OF AMERICA

---

FOURTH MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE  
A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI  
FOR CASE SC2024-1368, FLORIDA

CHRISTOPHER DOUD LANGDON,  
PETIONER, PRO SE

v.

SUN LAKE MULTI-FAMILY HOMES, LLC,  
GREYSTAR PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, ALLISON MURRELL,  
SHAWNA POLLACK, ANGELA WHITT, ROBERT FAITH,  
& EVELYN CAMACHO,  
RESPONDENTS

CHRISTOPHER LANGDON,  
PETIONER PRO SE  
P.O.B. 43  
WINTER PARK, FL. 32790  
QIOLOGIST@YAHOO.COM  
407-488-8169

I respectfully request that *The Honorable Supreme Court of the United States of America* grant me a 60-day extension of time to file a *Petition for a Writ of Certiorari* in my case, *Langdon v. Sun Lake Multi-Family Homes, LLC, Greystar Property Management, Allison Murrell, Shawna Pollack, Angela Whitt, Robert Faith, Evelyn Camacho, & The State of Florida*, case no. SC2024-1368 (Florida). *The Supreme Court of Florida* denied my *Notice of Discretionary Jurisdiction* on September 19<sup>th</sup>, 2024 (copy attached). Sixty-three days later, on November 21<sup>st</sup>, 2024, I mailed a *Motion for an Extension of Time to file a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari*, until 2/16/2025, in this case, to the U.S. Supreme Court. The motion was not allowed due to a technical error and was returned to me.

I mailed a second *Motion for an Extension of Time to file a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari* in case no. SC2024-1368 on December 4<sup>th</sup>, 2024, via U.S. Priority Mail. It was received by the Court on December 10<sup>th</sup> but was not granted. It was returned to me with a letter signed by Ms. Katie Hedrick.

I mailed a third *Motion for an Extension of Time to File a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari* in case SC2024-1368 on December 19<sup>th</sup>, 2024, via U.S. Priority Mail. On Friday, December 27<sup>th</sup>, I received a call from Ms. Emily Walker of the clerk's office stating that she was going to return the motion, and she asked me to call her by Monday, December 30<sup>th</sup>, 2024. I called her and she said she was returning the motion because of one sentence in the motion. Therefore, I am filing this fourth


motion for an extension of time to file a *Petition for a Writ of Certiorari* in case no. SC2024-1368. I am asking that the Court take into consideration that I am proceeding *pro se*, and that my pleadings and filings should be construed liberally, and less stringently than those prepared by attorneys, and not barred by technicalities.

“ The hand written *pro se* document is to be liberally construed. As the Court unanimously held *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519 (1972) a *pro se* complaint, ‘however in-artfully pleaded’ must be held to ‘less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers’ ... *Estelle v. Gamble*, 429 U.S. 97, 106 (1976)

That is especially true in a civil rights complaint like mine. *See also: Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178 (1962), Rule 39 (3), U.S. Supreme Court. Additionally, I am 73 years old, homeless, and I live in my car. I work, but I don’t make much money. I suffer from crippling headaches from a mugging I received, and I also suffer from severe hypoglycemia.

Therefore, I respectfully request, for the fourth time, that I be allowed until February 16<sup>th</sup>, 2025 to file a *Petition for a Writ of Certiorari* in this case. Neither defense attorney Johnson, nor defense attorney Baker, has objected to my previous three requests for an extension of time to file a *Petition for a Writ of Certiorari*, nor have they objected to this request.

Respectfully submitted, this the 3rd day of January, 2025.

Christopher Langdon, Petitioner   
POB 43, Winter Park, Fl. 32790 – [Qiologist@yahoo.com](mailto:Qiologist@yahoo.com) – 407-488-8169

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A copy of this motion was emailed to defense attorney Barry B. Johnson, attorney for all the defendants, except The State of Florida, to [barry@millerjohnsonlawgroup.com](mailto:barry@millerjohnsonlawgroup.com), this the 4th day of January, 2025. This document was also emailed to Samanth Baker, attorney for The State of Florida to: [samantha.baker@myfloridalegal.com](mailto:samantha.baker@myfloridalegal.com), this the 4th day of January, 2025.

Christopher Langdon, Petitioner   
POB 43, Winter Park, Fl. 32790 – [Qiologist@yahoo.com](mailto:Qiologist@yahoo.com) – 407-488-8169

# Supreme Court of Florida

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 2024

Christopher Langdon,  
Petitioner(s)

v.

Sun Lake Multi-Family  
Holdings, LLC et al,  
Respondent(s)

**SC2024-1368**

Lower Tribunal No(s):

6D2023-2852;

482019CA000926A001OX

---

Petitioner's Notice to Invoke Discretionary Jurisdiction, seeking review of the order or opinion issued by the 6th District Court of Appeal on April 9, 2024, is hereby dismissed. This Court lacks jurisdiction to review an unelaborated decision from a district court of appeal that is issued without opinion or explanation or that merely cites to an authority that is not a case pending review in, or reversed or quashed by, this Court. *See Wheeler v. State*, 296 So. 3d 895 (Fla. 2020); *Wells v. State*, 132 So. 3d 1110 (Fla. 2014); *Jackson v. State*, 926 So. 2d 1262 (Fla. 2006); *Gandy v. State*, 846 So. 2d 1141 (Fla. 2003); *Stallworth v. Moore*, 827 So. 2d 974 (Fla. 2002); *Harrison v. Hyster Co.*, 515 So. 2d 1279 (Fla. 1987); *Dodi Publ'g Co. v. Editorial Am. S.A.*, 385 So. 2d 1369 (Fla. 1980); *Jenkins v. State*, 385 So. 2d 1356 (Fla. 1980).

No motion for rehearing or reinstatement will be entertained by the Court.

A True Copy  
Test:

SC2024-1368 9/19/2024

John A. Tomasino  
Clerk, Supreme Court

