

United States Court of Appeals For the First Circuit

No. 19-2084

PEDRO ORTIZ-ROMERO

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT BANK FOR PUERTO RICO; CHRISTIAN SOBRINO-
VEGA; GUILLERMO CAMBA-CASAS

Defendants - Appellees

MANDATE

Entered: October 28, 2024

In accordance with the judgment of October 4, 2024, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 41(a), this constitutes the formal mandate of this Court.

By the Court:

Anastasia Dubrovsky, Clerk

cc:

Andres C. Gorbea-Del Valle
Pedro Ortiz-Romero
Mariela Rexach

United States Court of Appeals For the First Circuit

No. 21-1592

PEDRO ORTIZ-ROMERO,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

PUERTO RICO FISCAL AGENCY AND FINANCIAL ADVISORY AUTHORITY;
CHRISTIAN SOBRINO-VEGA, President of the Puerto Rico Fiscal Agency and Financial
Advisory Authority; ALEJANDRO CAMPOREALES, Chief Operations Officer of the Puerto
Rico Fiscal Agency and Advisory Authority,

Defendants - Appellees.

Before

Barron, Chief Judge,
Gelpí and Rikelman, Circuit Judges.

JUDGMENT

Entered: October 4, 2024

Plaintiff-appellant appeals from the district court's grant of summary judgment in favor of defendants the Puerto Rico Fiscal Agency and Financial Advisory Authority and two of its officials arising from the decision not to hire him. This court reviews the grant of summary judgment de novo. See Cruz v. Mattis, 861 F.3d 22, 24 (1st Cir. 2017). We have carefully reviewed the district court record and the filings on appeal and affirm the grant of summary judgment. In short, plaintiff-appellant has not demonstrated the existence of a genuine issue of material fact as to his age discrimination claim. Summary judgment was therefore properly entered in favor of defendants. See 1st Cir. R. 27.0(c).

By the Court:

Anastasia Dubrovsky, Clerk

cc:

Pedro Ortiz-Romero
Mariela Rexach,

United States Court of Appeals For the First Circuit

No. 19-2084

PEDRO ORTIZ-ROMERO,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT BANK FOR PUERTO RICO; CHRISTIAN SOBRINO-
VEGA; GUILLERMO CAMBA-CASAS,

Defendants - Appellees.

Before

Barron, Chief Judge.
Gelpí and Rikelman, Circuit Judges.

JUDGMENT

Entered: October 4, 2024

Plaintiff-appellant appeals from the district court's dismissal of his discrimination and retaliation complaint against the Government Development Bank and two of its officials arising from the termination of his employment with that entity. This court reviews de novo a district court's dismissal of a complaint based on Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). See Squeri v. Mount Ida College, 954 F.3d 56, 65 (1st Cir. 2020). After careful review of the district court record and the filings on appeal, we agree with the district court that plaintiff's complaint failed plausibly to allege a case of age-based discrimination or retaliation. The dismissal of plaintiff-appellant's complaint is affirmed. See 1st Cir. R. 27.0(c).

By the Court:

Anastasia Dubrovsky, Clerk

cc:

Pedro Ortiz-Romero, Mariela Rexach, Andres C. Gorbea-Del Valle