

No. 23.7273

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

Lidia M. Orrego - Petitioner,
vs.
Kevin Knipfing, Employer
AKA Kevin James et al. - Respondents,

On Application for an Extension of Time to File a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to
the U.S. Supreme Court, subsequently the decision on Motion for Reconsideration
to Proceed in Forma Pauperis

Lidia M. Orrego
Petitioner Pro Se
95-08 Queens Blvd. 3E
Rego Park, NY 11374
Phone: (347) 453-2234
Email: liorrego@gmail.com

July 11, 2024

Petitioner's Application for an Extension of Time to File a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court

The Petitioner/Appellant/Plaintiff Pro Se, Lidia M, Orrego, under this Court's Rules 13.5, 30.2, 30.3, and 30.4, respectfully request for an Extension of Time to File the Petition Writ of Certiorari ("Petition") according to the order dated June 24, 2024, subsequently to issue the decision on the Motion for Reconsideration for Leave to file the Petition without prepayment of cost and to proceed in forma pauperis and relief the compliance of the Supreme Court Rule 33.1 since the Petition was timely filed under Supreme Rule 33.2. See annexed Exhibit 1 Court's Order dated June 24, 2024.

The present Application falls into the category of extraordinary circumstances to the Supreme Rule 30 since Petitioner filed the Original Petition and 10 copies timely on April 16, 2024, under Supreme Rule 33.2, annexed with the Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis.

The Motion for Reconsideration for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis is filed simultaneously with this Application.

The jurisdiction of this Court is based on 28 U.S.C. 1254 (1). This request is unopposed since the Respondents failed to appear in this case. Proof of Service was filed to this Court on June 7, 2024. See annexed Exhibit 2.

Background

This case presents an important question: Whether the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, in the interest of justice, recognizes the mitigating effects of upholding the Constitutional Rights to Due Process and Equal Protection of the Law before the Constitutional Rights are deprived.

Whether the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit analyzes and recognizes the impact of judicial explicit bias in its decision-making to prevent a gross violation of *Due Process* and avoid a *Miscarriage of Justice in the District Court*.

Whether the U.S. Eastern District of New York Court abuses its power with explicit bias in violation of the *Due Process* and *Equal Protection* guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution and this Court to benefit the Respondents who are committing fraud, perjury, and obstruction of justice due to their privileged social standing.

Respondents were engaged in vexatious litigation, committing abuse of the legal process, ethical violations, conflict of interest, fraud, perjury, filing perjured statements, spoliation of evidence, tampering with evidence and witnesses, falsification of business and insurance records (“organized crime”), among other under the District Court’s protection engaging in continuous ex-parte communications in violation to *Due Process of Law* and *Equal Protection Clause*.

This case presents a straightforward intentional deprivation from the lower Courts of the *Due Process* and *Equal Protection of Law Clause* that strikes at the heart of our legal system—unfair treatment based on race and social status.

Gross violation of the *Due Process* and *Equal Protection of Law Clause* under 18 U.S. Code § 241 “Conspiracy against rights” and 18 U.S. Code § 242 “Deprivation of rights under color of law by the lower Courts’ biased proceedings.

We must acknowledge the *Due Process Clause’s* and *Equal Protection’s* essential significance in our legal system. Any attempt to deliberately deprive an individual of their right to *Due Process* is misguided and a clear violation of their

rights. The lower courts of the United States must comprehend the seriousness of their actions and uphold the fundamental principles of justice and fairness enshrined in the Constitution.

Reasons For Granting an Extension of Time

On June 24, 2024, this Court issued an order denying the Motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis and that the Petitioner, additionally to pay the Court's fees pursuant to Supreme Rule 38 (a), she must pay the costly "booklet" to re-file the same Petition filed by the Petitioner under Supreme Rule 33.2 on April 16, 2024. See annexed Exhibit 1.

Petitioner, under her Pro Se non-attorney status after receiving the order via mail, started to investigate the cost of the "booklet" to comply with Supreme Rule 33.1 and discovered that the cost is approximately between \$ 3,600.00.- to \$ 4,000.00.- which is *incredibly incomprehensible that the Petitioner must expend this money without any guarantee that the Petition will be Granted.*

This financial burden is due to the court fees and the expensive "booklet" required by Supreme Court Rule 28(a) and Rule 33.1. middle-class family, to choose between paying her rent or providing food for her family for at least three months in order to cover the court fees and the costly "booklet" required under Supreme Rule 28(a) and Rule 33.1. Additionally, the Petitioner must type all the Petition's appendices.

Suppose the Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration to Proceed in Forma Pauperis is not Granted. In that case, the Petitioner will need time to build a

fundraiser, develop camping, and spread the information about this case through outreach, among others, to afront the expenses because with her sole income, it is impossible to pay the "booklet" to comply the Court's order. Additionally, the Petitioner needs time to type the complete appendices according to the order.


Even former President Donald Trump, a billionaire, is seeking donations to address the "lawfare," which is the same situation that the Petitioner is facing in the lower courts due to the abuse of power and discretion. Therefore, she must seek this help to avoid a miscarriage of justice.

Conclusion

Petitioner respectfully requests a 60-day extension to file a writ of certiorari in the above-captioned matter, including the final filing date. This extension is being sought from the day of the decision on the Motion for Reconsideration for Leave to file the *Petition for a Writ of Certiorari*. The purpose of this extension is to raise funds and secure a review of the case, which involves Constitutional Questions.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on: July, 11, 2024

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'R. Lopez', written over a horizontal line.

(Signature)

EXHIBIT 1

**Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
Washington, DC 20543-0001**

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
(202) 479-3011

June 24, 2024

Mr. Lidia M. Orrego
95-08 Queens Blvd. 3E
Rego Park, NY 11374

Re: Lidia M. Orrego
v. Kevin Knipfing, aka Kevin James, et al.
No. 23-7273

Dear Mr. Orrego:

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case:

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is denied. Petitioner is allowed until July 15, 2024, within which to pay the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) and to submit a petition in compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court.

Sincerely,



Scott S. Harris, Clerk

EXHIBIT 2

June 7, 2024

Supreme Court of United States
Attn: Clerk's Office
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543
Via U.S. Postal Office

Ref.: Writ Certiorari U.S. Supreme Court Case No. 23-7273
Lidia M. Orrego v Kevin Knipfing, employer, AKA Kevin James et al.

Dear Clerk,

Lidia M. Orrego, the Petitioner, is enclosing a copy of the Notice and proof of service to the Respondents' counsel, Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani LLP, dated April 26, 2024, via U.S. Postal Office and email. Please refer to the attached documents.

The Respondents failed to file a Brief Opposition on or before May 20, 2024, and therefore waived their rights

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 7, 2024
Rego Park, NY 11374



Lidia M. Orrego
Petitioner Pro Se
95-08 Queens Blvd. Apart 3E
Rego Park, NY 11374
Phone (347) 453-2234
Email: liorrego@gmail.com

No. 23-7273

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Lidia M. Orrego — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

Kevin Knipfing, Employer,
AKA Kevin James et al. — RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Lidia M. Orrego, do swear or declare that on this date, April 26, 2024, NOTICE U.S. SUPREME CASE 23-7273 and Waiver on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days and via email. See attached proof of service.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:


Law Firm Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP - Kuuku Minnah-Donkoh

One Battery Park Plaza, 28th Floor, New York, NY 10004

Phone: (212) 453-070

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 26, 2024



(Signature)

Supreme Court of the United States

Lidia M. Orrego
(Petitioner)

v.

No. 23-7273

Kevin Knipfing, aka Kevin James, et al.
(Respondent)

To Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP - K. Minnah-Donkol Counsel for Respondent:

0

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Rule 12.3 that a petition for a writ of certiorari in the above-entitled case was filed in the Supreme Court of the United States on April 16, 2024, and placed on the docket April 19, 2024. Pursuant to Rule 15.3, the due date for a brief in opposition is Monday, May 20, 2024. If the due date is a Saturday, Sunday, or federal legal holiday, the brief is due on the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday or federal legal holiday.

Beginning November 13, 2017, parties represented by counsel must submit filings through the Supreme Court's electronic filing system. Paper remains the official form of filing, and electronic filing is in addition to the existing paper submission requirement. Attorneys must register for the system in advance, and the registration process may take several days. Further information about the system can be found at <https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/electronicfiling.aspx>.

Unless the Solicitor General of the United States represents the respondent, a waiver form is enclosed and should be sent to the Clerk only in the event you do not intend to file a response to the petition.

Only counsel of record will receive notification of the Court's action in this case. Counsel of record must be a member of the Bar of this Court.

Mr. Lidia M. Orrego
95-08 Queens Blvd. 3E
Rego Park, NY 11374
347-453-2234

NOTE: This notice is for notification purposes only, and neither the original nor a copy should be filed in the Supreme Court.

WAIVER

Supreme Court of the United States

No. 23-7273

Lidia M. Orrego
(Petitioner)

v. Kevin Knipfing, aka Kevin James, et al.
(Respondent)

I DO NOT INTEND TO FILE A RESPONSE to the petition for a writ of certiorari unless one is requested by the Court.

Please check the appropriate box:

- I am filing this waiver on behalf of all respondents.
- I only represent some respondents. I am filing this waiver on behalf of the following respondent(s):

Please check the appropriate box:

- I am a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of the United States. (Filing Instructions: File a signed Waiver in the Supreme Court Electronic Filing System. The system will prompt you to enter your appearance first.)
- I am not presently a member of the Bar of this Court. Should a response be requested, the response will be filed by a Bar member. (Filing Instructions: Mail the original signed form to: Supreme Court, Attn: Clerk's Office, 1 First Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20543).

Signature _____

Date: _____

(Type or print) Name _____
 Mr. Ms. Mrs. Miss

Firm _____

Address _____

City & State _____ Zip _____

Phone _____ Email _____

A COPY OF THIS FORM MUST BE SENT TO PETITIONER'S COUNSEL OR TO PETITIONER IF PRO SE. PLEASE INDICATE BELOW THE NAME(S) OF THE RECIPIENT(S) OF A COPY OF THIS FORM. NO ADDITIONAL CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OR COVER LETTER IS REQUIRED.

cc:



Lidia Orrego <liorrego@gmail.com>

U.S. Supreme Court of the United States No. 23-7273 - NOTICE CASE DOCKETED

Lidia Orrego <liorrego@gmail.com>

Fri, Apr 26, 2024 at 3:12 PM

To: Kuuku Minnah-Donkoh <kminnahdonkoh@grsm.com>, kminnahdonkoh@gordonrees.com, Peter Cella <pcella@grsm.com>, Dallas Rivera <drlivera@grsm.com>

Cc: dcominos@grsm.com, mstephens@grsm.com, snahal@grsm.com, floyd2016@grsm.com, hshearer@grsm.com, bbleichner@grsm.com, abarton@grsm.com, amontgomery@grsm.com, tquinn@grsm.com, jmourgos@grsm.com, chill@grsm.com, fhardy@grsm.com, ldesantos@grsm.com, sbitter@grsm.com, asugarman@grsm.com, dmeppen@grsm.com, jsalvo@grsm.com, blevine@grsm.com, bmiddlebrook@grsm.com, mcolwin@grsm.com, bprimavera@grsm.com, Lidia Orrego <liorrego@gmail.com>

Law Firm GRSM

Attached courtesy copy served via U.S. Postal Services.

Regards,

Lidia Orrego
Pronouns She/Her/Ella
Plaintiff Pro Se
95-08 Queens Blvd. 3E
Rego Park, NY 11374
Community Health Worker (CHW)
Phone: (347)4532234

"If you are neutral in situations of Injustice, you have chosen the side of the Oppressor." Desmond Tutu

EMAIL SERVED 04-26-2024 DOCKETED LETTER SERVICE DEF. NO. 23-7273.pdf
4944K



Lidia Orrego <lorrego@gmail.com>

USPS eReceipt

DoNotReply@ereceipt.usps.gov <DoNotReply@ereceipt.usps.gov>
To: LIORREGO@gmail.com



REGO PARK
9224 QUEENS BLVD
REGO PARK, NY 11374-9997
(800)275-8777

04/26/2024 02:24 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
First-Class Mail® Letter New York, NY 10004 Weight: 0 lb 0.60 oz Estimated Delivery Date Mon 04/29/2024	1		\$0.68
CTOM - Individual - Domestic	1		\$2.00
Grand Total:			\$2.68
Credit Card Remit			\$2.68



AL: MASTERCARD

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/pos?mt=9>
or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 352892-0026
Receipt #: 840-51100115-3-6976749-2
Clerk: 99

Privacy Act Statement: Your information will be used to provide you with an electronic receipt for your purchase transaction via email. Collection is authorized by 39 USC 401, 403, and 404. Providing the information is voluntary, but if not provided, we will be unable to process your request to receive an electronic receipt. We do not disclose your information to third parties without your consent, except to facilitate the transaction, to act on your behalf or request, or as legally required. This includes the following limited circumstances: to a congressional office on your behalf; to financial entities regarding financial transaction issues; to a U.S. Postal Service auditor; to entities, including law enforcement, as required by law or in legal proceedings; to contractors and other entities aiding us to fulfill the service (service providers); to process servers; to domestic government agencies if needed as part of their duties; and to a foreign government agency for violations and alleged violations of law. For more information on our privacy policies visit www.usps.com/privacypolicy.

This is an automated email. Please do not reply to this message. This message is for the designated recipient only and may contain privileged, proprietary, or otherwise private information. If you have received it in error, please delete. Any other use of this email by you is prohibited.