

No. _____

**In The
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

Hanh Ho Tran

Petitioner

v.

Parkway Condominiums I Homeowners Association, Inc.

Respondent

Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit

Application To Exceed Word Limit

Hanh Ho Tran

16326 E. Lake Drive


Centennial, CO 80016

Phone: 720.388.0902

Email: nea9814@gmail.com

Petitioner

October 16, 2024



To the Honorable Neil M. Gorsuch, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States and Circuit Justice for the Tenth Circuit:

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rules 22 and 33.1(d), Petitioner Hanh Ho Tran respectfully request leave to file a petition for a writ of certiorari on the merits in excess of the word limit, a total word limit not to exceed of 23,000 words. This application is begin filed more than 15 days in advance of the filing date Nov 7, 2024 for the petition for a writ of certiorari.

1. On Mar 4, 2024, the Colorado Supreme Court issued a court order, case no. 2023SC725, stating the Court denied Hanh Ho Tran's petition for writ of certiorari. And, the Court closed the case on the same day time with the court order, even before Hanh Ho Tran was aware of the Court Order and received a copy of the order on Mar 4, 2024.

On May 9, 2024, Petitioner Hanh Ho Tran submitted an application to Justice Gorsuch for a 60-day extension of time up to and including Thursday Aug 1, 2024 to file a petition for a writ of certiorari via US mail (application no. 23A1011). And May 16, 2024, Justice Gorsuch granted Petitioner's application for an extension of time to and including Aug 1, 2024.

Jun 21, 2024, US Supreme Court's Clerk Lashonda Garner called and left a message to Hanh Ho Tran saying the Court granted my application for an extension time to file the petition (application no. 23A1011). A copy of the Court Order was sent to me, but it was returned to the Court and if I can call back to verify my mailing address. And I did call back on the same day to verify my mailing address. The mailing address that the Court has is correct. Clerk Lashonda Garner said that she will send the court order to me again. She also said she will send back my check dated May 9, 2024 (check # 422) with the amount of \$300 for docket fee because she said I don't need to pay docket fee at this time, but I did let her know that I will file my petition before or on Aug 1, 2024 and that check payment is for the docket fee for my petition and there is no need to send it back to me.

Aug 1, 2024, Hanh Ho Tran submitted my petition for writ of certiorari to the US Supreme Court originally. As of that day, I still has not received a copy of the Court order granted my application for an extension time to file the petition. Aug 3, 2024, I submitted 40 copies of the petition and served parties on the list (see the returned copy of Hanh Ho Tran's petition for writ of certiorari with lower court orders and judgments to the US Supreme Court and the certificate of service that Hanh Ho Tran received back on Sept 12, 2024 is attached).

Sept 6, 2024, the US Supreme Court Clerk Lashonda Garner called and left a messege to Hanh Ho Tran saying that this is the 2nd time the mail was returned to the Court and if I can call back to confirm my mailing address. And I did call her back on that day and confirm my mailing address. My mailing address is not changed and the address the Court has is correct. I told her I don't know why the mail was returned to the Court.

Clerk Lashonda Garner said the Court received 40 copies of my petition and appendix in 8 1/2 by 11 inch paper format but there is no motion of for leave to proceed in format pauperis, therefore, the papers were returned to me. I told Lashonda Garner that I submitted a check payment with the amount of \$300 for docking fee for my petition when I submitted my application for extension of time in May 9, 2024 and thought I can have my petition filed in 8 1/2 by 11 inch paper format and submitted 40 copies of the petition and mailed them to Court via US mail.

Clerk Lashonda Garner said if I intend to pay the \$300 docketing fee, I must file 40 copies of the petition and appendix in booklet format on paper that measures 6 1/8 by 9 1/4 inches plus one unbound copy on 8 1/2 by 11-inch paper. And she also said she returned my check in the amount of \$300 and will send me a sample paid petition, a copy of the rules of the Court and memorandum concerning the preparation of a paid petition in booklet format. Or I may file a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and submit the petition in 8 1/2 by 11 inches paper format and compliance with Rules 12.2. She also send me a blank motion for leave to proceed in format pauperis and declaration of indigency. She also said that I have 60 days from the date of this letter Sept 9, 2024 to resubmit to the Court the petition in corrected format. And I did let her know that I haven't received a copy of the order granting my application for extension of time, case no. 23A1011, and if she can resend it to me. Also I did let her know that I will resubmit my paid petition in booklet format within 60 days of the Clerk's letter dated Sept 9, 2024.

Sept 12, 2024, Hanh Ho Tran received one box from the Court, with P.O. stamp date Sept 9, 2024, which it included Clerk's letter dated Sept 9, 2024, my payment check # 422 dated May 9, 2024 with the amount of \$300, some copies of my petition in 8 1/2 by 11 inch paper format, and a piece of cardboard box with P.O. stamp date 8/20/2024 and labeled "Return to sender, Vacant, unable to forward, return to sender" and the sender is Office of the Clerk, US Supreme Court. Oct 2, 2024, I called and left Clerk Lashonda Garner a message regarding items listed in Clerk's letter dated Sept 9, 2024 that I didn't receive. She returned my call and I let her know that I only received the Clerk's letter dated Sept 9, 2024, my payment check #422 with the amount of \$300 for docking fee for my petition, and some copies of my petition in 8 1/2 by 11 inch paper format but there is no any other items listed in Clerk's letter dated 9/9/2024 like a sample paid petition, a copy of the Rules of the Court and memorandum concerning the preparation of a paid petition in booklet format, a blank motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and declaration of indigency, Clerk's letter dated 8/13/2024, a copy of the Court order granting my application for extension of time in case no. 23A1011, and the rest of copies of my petition. Clerk Lashonda Garner said she will resent the other returned boxes to the Office of the Clerk, US Supreme Court, to me.

Oct 8, 2024, I received two boxes from the US Supreme Court Clerk's Office. These two boxes include items were enclosed in Clerk's letter dated 9/9/2024 and the order granting my application for extension of time to file petition (application no. 23A101), that I didn't received in box one on 9/12/2024. These two boxes also included a piece of cardboard box with P.O.

stamp date 9/9/2024 and a label marked "Return to Sender, Vacant, Unable to Forward, Return to Sender, ... date 09/14/2021". It would be helpful for me to prepare my paid petition in booklet format if I received these items mentioned above from the US Supreme Court Clerk's Office sooner. To Clerk of Office of US Supreme Court, for future reference, Clerks can address this matter to petitioner Hanh Ho Tran in your letters as Ms. Tran, or Ms. Hanh Ho Tran (but not Mr. Tran or Mr. Hanh Ho Tran). Regarding Clerk Angela Jimenez's letter dated 8/13/2024, Hanh Ho Tran do not understand how Clerk Angela Jimenez instructed me to provide an original and 10 copies of my petition for a writ of certiorari and motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, Rule 12.2 when Hanh Ho Tran already made a check payment with the amount of \$300 for docket fee (check # 422, dated May 9, 2024, amount of \$300) for my petition for a writ of certiorari.

2. Petitioner Hanh Ho Tran respectfully request an extension of word limit, up to a total limit of 23,000 words, for its petition on the merits. The additional words are needed would ensure that Petitioner Hanh Ho Tran is able to fully address Petitioner's arguments as well as the factual records developed and held in the lower courts.

Dated submitted: October 16, 2024

Respectfully submitted,



Hanh Ho Tran, Petitioner

16326 E. Lake Drive

Centennial, CO 80016

Phone: 720.388.0902

Email: nea9814@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on Oct 16, 2024, a true and accurate copy of Application To Exceed Word Limit with attachment, was served to the parties listed below by placing it in the United State mail, postage pre-paid:

To: Clerk

Colorado Supreme Court

2 East 14th Avenue, Denver, CO 80203

To: Parkway Condominiums I Homeowners Association, Inc.

c/o Management Specialists - Westminster

11002 Benton Street, Westminster, Co 80020

Attorney for Respondent Parkway Condominiums I Homeowners Association, Inc.

To: Kate M. Leason

Altitude Community Law, P.C.

555 Zang Street, Suite 100

Lakewood, CO 80228



Hanh Ho Tran

16326 E. Lake Drive, Centennial, CO 80016

Phone: 720.388.0902

E-mail: nea9814@gmail.com

Petitioner