

No. _____

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

JAWAN FORTIA,
Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondent.

APPENDIX

| | <i>Pages</i> |
|--|--------------|
| A. Judgment of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (July 24, 2024)..... | 1a–2a |
| B. Opinion of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (July 24, 2024)..... | 3a–4a |

United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

No. 23-30873
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED
July 24, 2024

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

JAWAN FORTIA,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Louisiana
USDC No. 2:14-CR-131-4

Before GRAVES, WILLETT, and WILSON, *Circuit Judges.*

J U D G M E N T

This cause was considered on the record on appeal and the briefs on file.

IT IS ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the judgment of the District Court is AFFIRMED.

The judgment or mandate of this court shall issue 7 days after the time to file a petition for rehearing expires, or 7 days after entry of an order denying a timely petition for panel rehearing, petition for rehearing en banc, or motion

for stay of mandate, whichever is later. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b). The court may shorten or extend the time by order. See 5th Cir. R. 41 I.O.P.

United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

No. 23-30873
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

July 24, 2024

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

JAWAN FORTIA,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Louisiana
USDC No. 2:14-CR-131-4

Before GRAVES, WILLETT, and WILSON, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:*

Jawan Fortia was convicted of numerous crimes related to his participation in a New Orleans street gang. On appeal, we vacated one conviction, affirmed the others, vacated the sentence on his drug-trafficking-conspiracy conviction, and remanded for resentencing. *See United States v. McClaren*, 13 F.4th 386, 418–19 (5th Cir. 2021). The district court

* This opinion is not designated for publication. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 23-30873

resentenced Fortia and reentered judgment. He now appeals that judgment but rightly concedes that his arguments are foreclosed by the law-of-the-case doctrine. *See United States v. Agofsky*, 516 F.3d 280, 283 (5th Cir. 2008).

Because summary disposition is appropriate, *see Groendyke Transp., Inc. v. Davis*, 406 F.2d 1158, 1162 (5th Cir. 1969), Fortia's unopposed motion for summary disposition is GRANTED, and the district court's judgment is AFFIRMED.