No. \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE Supreme Court of the United States

JAWAN FORTIA,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent.

### MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

Pursuant to Rule 39 and 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(d)(7), Petitioner Jawan Fortia asks leave to file the accompanying Application to Extend the Deadline to File a Petition for Certiorari without prepayment of costs and to proceed in forma pauperis. Petitioner was represented by counsel appointed under the Criminal Justice Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A (b) and (c), both in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana and on appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. *See United States v. Wilson, et al.*, No. 2:14-cr-131, Dkt. 64 (E.D. La. Aug. 29, 2014) (attached hereto); *United States v. McClaren, et al.*, No. 17-30524 (5th Cir. Oct. 11, 2017) (attached hereto). WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully moves this Honorable Court for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and to file the accompanying Application to Extend the Deadline to File a Petition for Certiorari without prepayment of costs.

Respectfully submitted this 30<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2024.

<u>/s/ Steven E. Spires</u> STEVEN E. SPIRES Research and Writing Attorney Office of the Federal Public Defender 500 Poydras Street, Suite 318 Hale Boggs Federal Building New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7930 steven\_spires@fd.org

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

# CR.NO. 14-131 N ORDER

VERSUS

X Appointing Counsel Substituting Counsel For:

JAWAN FORTIA

Ratifying Prior Service

Extending Appointment For Appeal

CHARGE: VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES ACT AND THE FEDERAL GUN CONTROL ACT

X\_FELONY \_\_\_\_MISDEMEANOR

X The defendant, having satisfied this Court that he/she: (1) is financially unable to employ counsel, and (2) does not wish to waive counsel, and because the interests of justice so require, the Federal Public Defender named below is hereby appointed to represent this defendant in the above designated case until relieved by order of the District Court:

### CLAUDE J. KELLY, FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER, HALE BOGGS FEDERAL BLDG., RM 318, 500 CAMP ST, NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130 PHONE: (504)589-7930

Federal Public Defender is appointed for the limited purpose of:

\_\_\_\_ It appearing to the Court that although the defendant is financially unable to employ counsel, he/she is totally indigent.

\_\_\_\_ IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant pay to the Clerk, U. S. District Court for services of counsel, the total amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_\_ to be paid within 10 working days or by \_\_\_\_\_\_.

\_ IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant is to pay to the Clerk, U.S. District Court, for services of counsel, \$ \_\_\_\_\_\_ per month. This amount is to be paid, beginning on \_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_, until further orders of the Court.

Dated at New Orleans, Louisiana, on AUGUST 29, 2014

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Copy to Financial Unit Clerk (Only if defendant is ordered to pay)

.

NGIA 22 Rev 598 100 III IN UNITED STATE	SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR AN HORMORY AN			
IN THE CASE	FOR			UMBER
+ Jaina	ED (Show your full name) N FOTHO escribe if applicable & check box →) X Felony ☐ Misdemeanor	1       Defendant         2       Defendant -         3       Appellant         4       Probation Vi         5       Parole Violat         6       Habeas Petition         7       2255 Petition         8       Material Witt         9       Other	Juvenile Magistrat	ourt
EMPLOY- MENT	Name and address of employer:	How much did Yes No If a minor unde	ed onth and year of last employm you earn per month? \$ r age 21, what is your Parents roximate monthly income? \$	
ASSETS OTHER INCOME	IF YES, GIVE THE AMOUNT RECEIVED & IDENTIFY \$	ECEIVED '	other sources?  Yes SOURCES	
CASH PROP- ERTY	Have you any cash on hand or money in savings or Do you own any real estate, stocks, bonds, notes, a clothing)?  Yes Yo IF YES, GIVE THE VALUE AND \$ DESCRIBE IT	<i>[</i>	ty (excluding ordinary household DESCRIPTION	
OBLIGATIONS &	DEPENDENTS       MARITAL STATUS         MARITAL STATUS       MARRIED         MARRIED       MARRIED         WIDOWED       SEPARATED OR         DIVORCED       DIVORCED         PEBTS &       OR HOME:         MONTHLY       OR HOME:         SISTAIL CREDITORS, ICLUDING BANKS.	Total No. of Dependents Creditors	Total Debt	ip to them  Monthly Paymt.  S S S S
	SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT (OR PERSON REPRESENTED)	BAUMAN TS	x 29/14 rtia	,

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

UNITED STATE OF AMERICA	*	CRIMINAL ACTION
	*	
VERSUS	*	CASE NO.: 14-131
	*	
JAWAN FORTIA	*	SECTION: "N"
	*	
	*	

## **ORDER**

Considering the foregoing (Rec. Doc. 767):

**IT IS ORDERED**, that PAUL C. FLEMING,, JR., be removed as counsel of record for defendant, JAWAN FORTIA, in these proceedings for all purposes other than the restitution hearing currently scheduled December 6, 2017.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the Federal Public Defender's Office appoint

counsel to represent Jawan Fortia for appellate purposes.

<b>SO ORDERED</b> , this	10th	day of October, 2017, at New Orleans,
Louisiana.		HONORABLE KURT D. ENGELHARDT
		UNITED STATES DISTRACT J U D G E

Please serve:

Claude Kelly Barbara Daigle Federal Public Defender's Office 500 Poydras St Suite 318 New Orleans, LA 70130 No. \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE Supreme Court of the United States

JAWAN FORTIA,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent.

# PETITIONER'S APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

To: The Honorable Samuel A. Alito, Jr., Associate Justice of the Supreme Court and Circuit Justice for the Fifth Circuit.

Pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 2101(c) and Supreme Court

Rule 13.5, Petitioner Jawan Fortia respectfully requests that the time to file a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari in this case be extended for 58 days, to and including Thursday, December 19, 2024.

# **Basis for Jurisdiction**

The district court had original jurisdiction over this criminal action pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3231. The Fifth Circuit had jurisdiction over the direct appeal under 18 U.S.C. § 3742(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 1291. The Fifth Circuit issued its unpublished opinion on July 24, 2024. This Court has the power to grant or deny this motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2101(c), and it will have jurisdiction to review the Fifth Circuit's judgment under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

#### Judgment to be Reviewed and Opinion Below

The Fifth Circuit panel's final opinion is available at *United States v. Fortia*, No. 23-30873, 2024 WL 3520165 (5th Cir. July 24, 2024), reprinted on pages 1a–2a of the appendix.

### **Reasons for Granting an Extension**

Petitioner requests an extension because undersigned counsel has assumed responsibility for this matter while prior counsel is on maternity leave, and because Petitioner's convictions implicate critically important and complicated legal issues involving the limits of the federal government's interstate-commerce regulatory authority. Given the complexity of these issues, Petitioner's counsel needs additional time to complete the petition for certiorari.

Specifically, Petitioner's convictions under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO) and the related Violent Crimes in Aid of Racketeering Act (VICAR) contain constitutionally-mandated jurisdictional elements requiring prosecutors to prove in each case that the charged enterprise's activities affected interstate commerce. This case involved issues of first impression as to what type of evidence satisfies that jurisdictional element, and the record below includes more than 13,000 pages. Notably, the precise interstate-commerce element embedded in RICO and VICAR is also mirrored in dozens of statutes throughout federal law, covering a broad swath of activity ranging from tampering with consumer products, 18 U.S.C. § 1365, to the most widely applied federal firearm regulation, § 922(g). In other words, the Fifth Circuit's interpretation of RICO and VICAR's interstatecommerce element has broad and complicated implications throughout the law that require extensive work to untangle.

Petitioner's convictions also implicate application of Taylor v. United States, 136 S. Ct. 2074 (2016), and Gonzales v. Raich, 545 U.S. 1 (2005), critically important commerce clause decisions involving the complex issue of so-called "aggregation" of the interstate commerce effects of particular classes of activity as a means of broadening federal authority to reach traditionally local affairs. The Fifth Circuit's application of Taylor, in particular, appears to represent newly charted territory and an apparent expansion of Taylor's reach to new areas of the law. Thus, determining the potential effects of the Fifth Circuit's jurisprudence requires intensive survey of multi-circuit and multi-statute caselaw.

#### CONCLUSION

For all these reasons, Petitioner and undersigned counsel respectfully request that the Court grant a fifty-eight-day extension, to and including December 19, 2024, for the deadline to file a petition for certiorari.

Respectfully submitted this 30th day of September, 2024.

/s/ Steven E. Spires

STEVEN E. SPIRES Research and Writing Attorney Office of the Federal Public Defender 500 Poydras Street, Suite 318 Hale Boggs Federal Building New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7930 steven\_spires@fd.org