

01 CHAD D. BEGAY #210225
02 ASPC: YUMA - CLEVELAND
03 P.O. Box 8909
04 SAN LUIS, AZ 85349-0376

05 IN THE SUPREME COURT
06 OF THE UNITED STATES

07 David Shinn, Director,
08 Attorney General, for the State
09 of Arizona
10 Respondent,
11 v.
12 CHAD D. BEGAY,
13 Petitioner.

07 Docket No. _____


10 MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF
11 TIME TO FILE PETITION FOR
12 WRIT OF CERTIORARI

13 4th Cir. No. 23-15808

14 COMES NOW CHAD D. BEGAY, on behalf of his own personal interests,
15 hereby requests, pursuant to U.S. Supreme Court Rule 30.3, this Court
16 grant Petitioner a thirty (30) day extension to file a Petition for Writ
17 of Certiorari, relative to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Order of
18 18 July, 2024, denying Mr. Begay a Certificate of Appealability.

19 Petitioner requests and requires said extension so as to be provided ample
20 time to properly prepare, adequately address and appropriately research the
21 resources necessary for this Court to justly adjudicate the constitutional
22 imperative of the issues to be presented. Petitioner has thus attached all
23 requisite forms and documents required, while avowing this Motion is not
24 made for the purposes of delay or to harass.

25 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 7th day of August, 2024.


CHAD D. BEGAY/
PETITIONER, PRO SE

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2024
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

01 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

02
03 I, Chad D. Begay, hereby certify and declare that a true and correct
04 copy, enclosed within a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully paid, was
05 delivered via U.S.P.S. mail and executed on this 7th day of August,
06 2024, to the following recipients:

07
08 * Attorney General, State of Arizona
09 2005 N. Central Ave.
10 Phoenix, AZ 85004-1508
11

12
13 I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of these United
14 States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

15
16 
17 Chad D. Begay

18 ASPC: Yvonne-Cheyenne
19 P.O. Box 8909
20 SAN LUIS, AZ 85349-0376
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

APR 22 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

CHAD D BEGAY,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

DAVID SHINN, Director; ATTORNEY
GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF
ARIZONA,

Respondents-Appellees.

No. 23-15803

D.C. No. 3:21-cv-08234-DLR
District of Arizona,
Prescott

ORDER

Before: MILLER and LEE, Circuit Judges.

Appellant's motion to exceed the page limitations (Docket Entry No. 13) is granted. The request for a certificate of appealability (Docket Entry No. 12) is deemed timely filed and is denied because appellant has not shown that "jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012); *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUL 18 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

CHAD D BEGAY,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

DAVID SHINN, Director; ATTORNEY
GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF
ARIZONA,

Respondents-Appellees.

No. 23-15803

D.C. No. 3:21-cv-08234-DLR
District of Arizona,
Prescott

ORDER

Before: S.R. THOMAS and SILVERMAN, Circuit Judges.

Appellant has filed a combined motion for reconsideration and motion for reconsideration en banc (Docket Entry No. 18), which is deemed timely filed.

The motion for reconsideration is denied and the motion for reconsideration en banc is denied on behalf of the court. *See* 9th Cir. R. 27-10; 9th Cir. Gen. Ord. 6.11.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**