

No. \_\_\_\_\_

**THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

---

**BABOUCAR B. TAAL****Petitioner, Appellant, Plaintiff,****v.****JOHN CRONIN, CRONIN, BISSON & ZALINSKY, P.C., DANETTE LABRECQUE,  
NATHAN LABRECQUE, VALERIE RAUDONIS, DAVID TENCZA, JACK S. WHITE,  
WELTS, WHITE & FONTAINE P.C, BILL WEIDACHER, KATHLEEN EDWARDS,  
KELLER WILLIAM BEDFORD NH-REALTY****Defendants, Appellees.**

---

**Petitioner/Appellant Baboucar Taal's Application to Justice Sonia Sotomayor for  
Extension of Time to File Petition for Writ of Certiorari to Review Judgment of the  
U.S.Court of Appeals for the 2nd Circuit.**

% Abdou Taal,

36 Bridge St, #6

Nashua, NH 03062

Taalbb39@gmail.com

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>SUMMARY</b>	.....	<b>2</b>
<b>BACKGROUND</b>	.....	<b>4</b>
<b>ARGUMENT</b>	.....	<b>6</b>
<b>CONCLUSION</b>	.....	<b>7</b>

**SUMMARY**

1. Petitioner-Appellant-Plaintiff Baboucar Taal(pro se) respectfully asks Justice Sonia Sotomayor Circuit Justice for the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, **for the extension of the time for the filing for a petition for writ of certiorari. The current deadline for my filing a petition certiorari is July 19, 2024, based on the Mandate issued from the 2nd Circuit clerk's office.**

2. The actions of the district court for Vermont, federal J Session made in a pattern, this United States Supreme Court observed in *Wellons v. Hall*(2009), and the very case law dictates/holdings as recent as 21-166 *Tyler v. Hennepin County* (05/25/2023) denied and deprived plaintiff a free and fair trial by an impartial disinterested judge as he posited in unmistakable terms. The action/orders of J Sessions are traced directly to ex parte contacts with attorneys of defendants and others not party to this case like the conducts the United States Supreme Court observed in *Wellons*.

3. Petitioner commissioned to build his own home 26 years ago with 20% down before/ as the buikler required for himself and his 4 children endured harassment-discrimination-racism from neighbors, the courts and the state with countless cases sponsored-supported underwritten in utter wanton deprivation-denial of *Equal Protection* in a state majority white. In this very case the very hands of the Attorney General now who's now chief judge of the state supreme for matters/issues about state chartered credit union. See Seal filing with District Court that had Session ex parte...

4. Of the 3-4 of those very cases did meander up to the United States Supreme Court, none was afforded/granted Certiorari but also adjudication by Conduct and Disability Committees Lacking. Therein are J Sessions wanton violations of plaintiff's Constitutional Substantive Right canons of ethics to protect the "judicial officers(state & fed). A full fair trial would by his reasoning, in a grave abuse of discretion in a favor to identified parties.

5. That as fraud victims albeit Black/minority by white defendants under color of authority, in "Appeal as a Right" of the defrauding a plaintiffs and kids of their home, J sessions dictated to the 2nd Circuit Appeals Court must not take or undertake a de novo review of my appeal for it will not "be in good faith", as it implicates other court officers (a code/signal to) the 2nd Cir 3jpanel then obliged to dismiss my filed appeal without even allowing for a briefing. All defendants are State Licensed w/state actor judge: lawyers, their law firms, real estate agents and their real estate realties to say it all!

6. The actions of J Session to deny the victim of financial crime done under color of authority in a conspiracy the basic review of legal application of facts in law as in *Wellons* is in line with the conclusion U.S. Supreme Court. The [mis]conduct of the judge(s) in all instances denied and deprived plaintiff in his suit Free and Fair process of adjudication but interfered with myself and my children Right to Recover from a wanton fraud where the state failed to afford minority victims Equal Protection under the law where all defendants with state issued licenses also chose-violated consumer rights/law.

7. Judge Session after receiving a motion for recusal for ex parte contacts of both lawyers for defendants who filed false fraudulent certificate of service that they served plaintiff their answers to served complaint(which 7 of the 11 defendants failed to) they also made filing of Motion to Dismiss which again they also failed to served on plaintiff. In essence wantonly usurped protection/safeguards in promulgated Fed. Rules of Civil Procedures, to provision favors antithetical to American Jurisprudence, a grave abuse of discretion.

8. As the deadline for answering filed complaint passed where said defendants failed/refused to answer the complaints, plaintiff filed for default judgment and for a hearing as defendants engaged in collusion and conspiracy to disturb the facts thus

engaged in solicitation and subornation of perjury, J Session ignored all the plaintiff filed motion dismissed the case outright and pre-deny even a Rule 59, 60 motion. The chosen date for dismissal(06/06/23), J Session timed to be day after the US Supreme Court deny a petition for writ of certiorari from #22-7293 as a favor to persons in NH and fed. court officers with deft interest in a pro se petitioner Supreme Court case.

### BACKGROUND

7. Defendants: 3+ Real Estates agents and their Realty, 7+ lawyers and their law firms a “state actor”/judge mark derby under color of authority engaged in knowing-wilful in an ongoing conspiracy, wanton fraud, artifice to defraud. In that not only did they proceeded to criminally take my home, furnishings, clothing, excess building material, homewares, tools and equipment, worth well in excess of \$80,000.

8. The defendants in a conspiracy sold my \$1.2M home to each and the materials for \$575,000 and then kept, I repeat kept the proceeds of the sale, in essence “my supposed share”? They had also continued with (5+) ex parte contacts and secret communications and schemes to solicit/suborn align perjury as a combine defense to no engage the one lawyer with conflict of interest with J Sessions! State actor derby, in an ongoing conspiracy uses the powers of the state to benefit himself and his family but also obstruct justice with fraudulent orders/imprimatur in cover-up for and give legitimacy. This being a known scheme of family courts in the state!

9. This case follows an appeals in a civil case/petition involving outright defrauding, artifice of fraud in an ongoing conspiracy, ‘fraud on the court’ knowing-wilful violations of Rights and claims brought under deprivation and denial of Substantive/Federal Rights provided, protected guaranteed in the Clauses of the 1st 5th, 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution. ” The right of individuals to pursue legal redress for claims which have a reasonable basis in law and fact is protected by the First and Fourteenth Amendments. *Bill Johnson's Restaurants, Inc. v. NLRB*, 461 U.S. 731, 741, 103 S.Ct. 2161, 2169, 76 L.Ed.2d 277 (1983)

10. **The Rights to Due Process, in an free and fair Tribunal by an Impartial Disinterested arbiter is what J Session deprived [us] the plaintiff of, with his failure to apply facts to law, his refusal to recuse when apt facts/issues per 28s455 are present and to only make the **required order** only after the 2nd Circuit case concluded (is an element of obstruction) is wanton deprivation of appealable order/issue which goes to bolster affiant filed recusal motion as stated in deprivation and denial of timely impartial objective disinterested adjudication. Thus the orders are void of facts and law, interfered unfairly with the United States Tribunal of fraud against minority victims.**

11. **The CA2 ordering the district J Sessions to “objectively impartially disinterestedly” rule on what a single 2nd Circuit judge sent back to the Vermont district court of great importance that of a Federal Rights the very judge wantonly violated to favor others & defendants, their counsel(he has patent conflicts of interest) and non parties in the case, where his order(s) affects the case’s outcome &/or referral with the Judicial Disability Council affronts layman logic. It also would have provided conclusively another example of wanton grave abuse of discretion to violate plaintiffs substantive rights.**

12. **As petitioner stated of the US Supreme Court as in *Wellon*, 21-166 *Tyler v. Hennepin County, Liteky et al v. United States* where the Court granted Certiorari:**

**“Petitioners appealed, claiming that the District Judge violated 28 U. S. C. §455(a) in refusing to recuse himself, failed to,[un]timely ruled to deem it “moot’.**

**The Eleventh Circuit affirmed the convictions, agreeing with the District Court that “matters arising out of the course of judicial proceedings are not a proper basis for recusal.” 973 F. 2d 910 (1992). We granted certiorari. 508 U. S. 939 (1993). “**

13. **The dilatory tactics, failures to rule as provided in safeguards in established Rules of Civil Procedures and to use/usurp these statutes, laws and rights against one party as favor goes to the heart of our justice system; where the system is fair and treats minority litigants, parties and victims of financial frauds fairly equitably with justice? The pattern and result indicates otherwise. J Session actions here and 2nd Circuit court’s failure and the case of the US Supr Crt #22-7293(cert denied) and the mentioned cases implore/requires a qualified presentation of the facts in an acceptable manner to the US**

Supreme Court for a Certiorari petition. As issues also deals with wanton “fraud on the court” suborn/solicited

14. The misconducts constitute violations Substantive Rights Guaranteed and Protected in the United States Constitution. Canons of judicial ethics and Federal statutes; **28 USC § 455(a)**, [states] “a judge **MUST** recuse him[her]self “**in ANY PROCEEDING in which his/her Impartiality might reasonably be questioned.**” As even in the federal court plaintiff’s case was being denied basic due process right to present his case to a jury of peers as impartial arbiter/finder’s of fact free of conflicts evident here.

15. For, “**the Due Process Clause entitles a person to an Impartial and Disinterested Tribunal in both civil and criminal cases.**” Marshall v. Jericho, 446 U.S. 238, 242, 100 S. Ct. 1610, 64 L. Ed. 2d 182 (1980). Indeed, “**it is axiomatic that [a] fair trial in a fair tribunal is a basic requirement of due process.**” Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co., 556 U.S. 868, 129 S. Ct. 2252, 173 L. Ed. 2d 1208 (2009) (qtn. In re Murchinson, 349 U.S. 133, 136, 75 S. Ct. 623, 99 L. Ed. 2d 942 (1955))

### ARGUMENT and FACTS OF THE CASE

16. Recognizing that an extension of the time for the filing of a petition for writ of certiorari requires good cause and that requests for extensions of time are not favored, I respectfully asks Justice Sotomayor, In the Interest of Equity & Justice, to extend the time to file for a writ of certiorari for the Rights of victims in a knowing-wilful violations not only fraud, but ongoing conspiracy to defraud, “fraud on the court” to bring disrepute

17. And as our very society condones and sanctions for black victims who have/must work/do/prove more and harder than white citizens even as wanton artifice to fraud, fraud and conspiracy to commit/committed the very fraud against to black victim(s) who their own home, All Per Se, yet be defrauded i open daylight, sanctioned by supposed court officers, majority white state simply because it’s other whites that determines what’re crimes against victims. Not the United States Constitution: provisions, protections or guarantees? No better example:

18. IN A SIMPLE DIVORCE WHERE THE CO-OWNER EX-SPOUSE AGREED TO A

**SETTLEMENT, STATE JUDGE DERBY**(coordinated with his friend Cronin who conspire to give my home to the Labrecque who were turned down by 7-10 banks for mortgage but for fraudulent loot/proceeds they would make out like bandits wherein Cronin with his realtor friend Bill who brought in his lady friend Edwards all licensed by the state. Where this crime against victims merely in Family courts, are misdeeds and criminal acts actually routine in the state-AG office always looks the other way)

**19. AND HIS CO-CONSPIRATORS, APPOINT'S HIS PARTNER \*CRONIN TO SELL MY HOME BELOW MARKET BY \$625,000, [WHERE I OFFERED TO PAY MY EX TO KEEP MY HOME, I BUILT FOR GENERATIONS OF MY KIDS IN HEART OF YES RACIST NH] SEIZED EXTRA BUILDING MATERIALS I BOUGHT STORED AND PASSED IT TO THE LABRECQUES IN A SCHEME TO FLIPPED MY \$1.2M(they scheme a transaction for\$575,000) HOME W/5.8 ACRES IN BEDFORD.**

**20. CRONIN BROUGHT IN HIS FRIEND WEIDACHER WHO BROUGHT HIS LADYFRIEND EDWARDS(who's now tasked to develop a budding relations in a ongoing perjury solicitation-subordination) ALL COORDINATED BY 4-5 LAWYERS OF WELTS, WHITE & FONTAINE P.C most Sr partner WHITE also running interference with state, court actors/officers. The actions of J Session are clearly Canons & Ethic violations under purview of Chief Judge of the 2nd Circuit as willful violations to defraud party per 28 §455 and the USA in 18§371.**

**\*where Cronin actually said he and his co-conspirators will Not make Money off my sweat, if I keep my home with just paying off my ex. How does that happen; in majority. THEY THEN NOT JUST DEFRAUDED ME AND MY KIDS BUT KEPT, I REPEAT, ALL THE PROCEEDS OF THE FRAUDULENT SALE OF MY HOME. AS IN A FORFEITURE, FOR THE STATE OF SANCTIONED IT, WHERE ME AND MY CHILDREN'S ONLY CRIME WAS DARING TO BUILD A NICE HOME IN WHITE BEDFORD, IN A STATE IN THE USofA. A STATE CRIME TODAY**

**21. As the granting of this requests for the deadline be extended by sixty days, so that the new deadline would allows me to continue seeking/negotiating with pro bono and paid US Supreme Court qualify litigators to file/present a requisite comprehensive focus petition for writ that has a chance of being granted that basically affirms a [United States] citizen's right to a free and fair adjudication of his/her claims before an impartial tribunal [trier of facts] for frauds and wrongs done on him and his property, regardless of who parties/victims are, race of the judges/defendants. Equal justice for All, Nobody is above the Law for justice is blind is true. As "a conspiracy to obstruct an individual's legitimate efforts to seek judicial redress for such a claim interferes with the individual's Due Process Right of access to the courts". *Bell, 746 F.2d 1261***

**CONCLUSION**

For these reasons, plaintiff Taal here respectfully asks Honorable Justice Sotomayor, as Circuit Justice, for the extension of time to file a petition for writ of certiorari. I pray that the requests be granted and the deadline be extended by sixty days, so that the new deadline would be on or before Tuesday, September 17, 2024.

Submitted by,

Dated July 4, 2024

*Baboucar Taal*

Baboucar B Taal, Petitioner/Applicant/Plaintiff *Pro Se and similarly situated*

Encl:

Cc:

**Certification of Service**

I here certify that copies of the filing are forwarded to the defendants at their contact/address on file for service.

John Cronin, CRONIN, BISSON & ZALINSKY, P.C.	- 722 Chestnut St Manchester NH 03104 “
Danette Labrecque Nathan Labrecque	- 59 Essex Rd, Bedford, NH 03110 “
Valerie Raudonis, David Tencza, Jack S. White, WELTS, WHITE & FONTAINE P.C	- 29 Factory St, Nashua, NH 03060 “ “ “
Bill Weidacher, Kathleen Edwards KELLER WILLIAM BEDFORD NH-REALTY	- 168 S River Rd, Bedford, NH 03110 “ “

Hon Nickolas Kerest (US[DOJ] Atty	- Box 570, 11 Elmwood Ave, 3rd Fl Burlington, VT 05402
Hon Damian Williams (US[DOJ] Atty	- 86 Chambers St-3rd Fl, New York, NY 10007
Hon John Formella(Const officer)	- 1 Granite Pl, Concord, NH 03301

*Baboucar Taal*

Baboucar Taal & family -Pro Se petitioner/appellant/plaintiff



**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE  
SECOND CIRCUIT**

---

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of April, two thousand twenty-four.

---

Baboucar B. Taal,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

John Cronin, Cronin, Bisson & Zalinsky, P.C, Danette Labrecque, Nathan Labrecque, Valerie Raudonis, Welst, White & Fontaine P.C., David Tencza, Welts, White & Fontaine P.C., Jack S. white, Welts, White & Fontaine P.C., Cronin, Bisson & Zalinsky, P.C., Welts, White & Fontaine P.C., Bill Weidacher, Keller William Bedford NHRealty, Kathleen Edwards, Keller-Williams, Keller Williams Bedford NH-Realty, Metropolitan,

Defendants- Appellees.

---

**ORDER**

Docket No: 23-1012

Appellant, Baboucar B. Taal, filed a motion for panel reconsideration, or, in the alternative, for reconsideration *en banc*. The panel that determined the appeal has considered the request for reconsideration, and denied panel reconsideration by order filed on February 23, 2024. The active members of the Court have considered the request for reconsideration *en banc*.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion is denied.

FOR THE COURT:

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

  
Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe



D. Vt.  
22-cv-217  
Sessions, J.

United States Court of Appeals  
FOR THE  
SECOND CIRCUIT

---

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of February, two thousand twenty-four.

Present:

Pierre N. Leval,  
Reena Raggi,  
Joseph F. Bianco,  
*Circuit Judges.*

---

Baboucar B. Taal,

*Plaintiff-Appellant,*

v.

23-1012

John Cronin, et al.,

*Defendants-Appellees.*

---

Appellant, pro se, moves for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, appointment of counsel, and recusal of the district court. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the motions are DENIED and the appeal is DISMISSED because it “lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact.” *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e).

FOR THE COURT:

Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

A circular seal of the United States Second Circuit Court of Appeals is stamped over the signature. The seal contains the text "UNITED STATES", "SECOND CIRCUIT", and "COURT OF APPEALS".

No. \_\_\_\_\_

**THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

---

**BABOUCAR B. TAAL**  
Petitioner, Appellant, Plaintiff,

v.

**JOHN CRONIN, CRONIN, BISSON & ZALINSKY, P.C., DANETTE LABRECQUE,  
NATHAN LABRECQUE, VALERIE RAUDONIS, DAVID TENCZA, JACK S. WHITE,  
WELTS, WHITE & FONTAINE P.C, BILL WEIDACHER, KATHLEEN EDWARDS,  
KELLER WILLIAM      BEDFORD NH-REALTY**  
Defendants, Appellees.

---

**PETITIONER BABOUCAR TAAL'S AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION**

I Baboucar Taal here do offer statement of certification that the Mandate for the above referenced of the and herein is my declaration that the Mandate was issued April 19, 2024 and the order for the US District Judge Order denying the filed motion for Recusal provided in 28 sect 455 was on May 2024.

As such the filed Application for Extension to file petition for a writ of certiorari postmarked July 6th, 2024.

Affiant here declare under oath this notarized statement that said application is timely, posted on July 6, 2024.

Submitted by

*Baboucar Taal*

Baboucar Taal

July 24, 2024

Notary Public

*Commonwealth of Massachusetts  
County of Essex  
TD Bank, N.A. Methuen  
Dil Ruba et al  
07/24/2024*

