

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
Case Number: 2:22-CV09127-JAK-SP

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
NINTH CIRCUIT  
Case Number: 23-55122


UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT  
Docket Number: \_\_\_\_\_

SCOTT YORK, an individual, Plaintiff-Appellant-Petitioner  
v.  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.

APPLICATION FOR SIXTY (60) DAY EXTENSION TO FILE  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI FOLLOWING  
DENIAL OF PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC OF  
PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT SCOTT YORK

Plaintiff-Appellant-Petitioner Scott York in Pro Se  
32 Esperanza Ave #204  
Long Beach, CA 90802  
(323) 359-9336

Submitted to the attention of  
Justice Amy Coney Barrett



Pursuant to Rule 13.5, Plaintiff-Appellant-Petitioner in Pro Se Scott York, respectfully applies for a sixty (60)-day extension of time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari.

The basis for jurisdiction in this Court is 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1). The judgment sought to be reviewed is the April 30, 2024, decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Federal Circuit in Scott York v. United States of America, et al. (District Court Case No. 2:22-CV09127-JAK-SP | Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Case No. 23-55122 | Supreme Court Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_ ). A copy of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals denying Plaintiff-Appellant's Request for Rehearing En Banc by the Ninth Circuit Co is attached as "Exhibit A." The order denying Plaintiff-Appellant's petition for rehearing issued on April 30, 2024. The ninetieth (90<sup>th</sup>) day from the order is July 29, 2024.

Plaintiff-Appellant-Petitioner's Statement of Good Cause:

1. I, Scott P. York, am the Plaintiff-Appellant-Petitioner in pro se.
2. Given the very nature of the instant matter for which I will be petitioning the Court to grant a Writ of Certiorari, the timelines in my lawsuit have been and continue to be intentionally interfered with in ongoing acts of obstruction of justice by government entities acting beyond the scope of their constitutional authority, controlling and attacking me with Brain-Computer Interface ("BCI") Directed Energy Weapons ("DEW") and holding me in a chain of linked 'total immersion' scenarios, pairing the tangible physical surroundings in which I am placed and/or moved through, attempting to stage/fabricate/orchestrate an 'artificial' reality using said BCI DEW to stage/fabricate/orchestrate narratives in my life over the course of my life, as I am currently a fifty (50) year old man who earned my J.D. and continue being prevented from becoming licensed to practice as an attorney by the government entities staging/fabricating/orchestrating the events in my life since the time when I was a child, largely staged to my detriment, in an ongoing course of conduct designed to prevent me from pursuing the foregoing as causes of action to recover the legal damages I have suffered throughout the course of my life thus far, during the time when said BCI DEW were used on me but concealed (throughout my childhood until approximately October 2016) through the present time, following the time during which the existence, capabilities, and use of said BCI DEW were deliberately revealed to me from approximately December 2016 through approximately February 2017, when those government agencies involved deliberately ran me through an extensive gamut of the capabilities of said BCI DEW while remaining sequestered in their hidden location(s), as they showed me the mental and physical effects and affects said BCI DEW are capable of producing, all done by them remotely, showing me the precision of control they can exert over me, every person, every living thing, and every electronic device.
3. Several members of my family have served in the military and/or work(ed) with federal government agencies/entities. I attended a private (college preparatory)

middle school and private (college preparatory) high school, where several individuals I attended school with work for government agencies/entities. The import of these relationships is that they have been manipulated throughout my entire life by those running said BCI DEW, the purpose(s) of the manipulation(s) of those relationships are/were to facilitate holding me within and manipulating me and my life within the several links in the chain of 'total immersion' tangible scenarios that have been staged/fabricated/orchestrated throughout my life. People in my family, people I attended school(s) with, people I work(ed) with, people I have been placed into scenarios to attempt to create the dynamic of 'social' interactions with, all have acquiesced to the apparent authority of the federal and state government agencies/entities coordinating and facilitating the ongoing concealed, illegal/unconstitutional use of the same, which creates ongoing scenarios in which I am very literally *held* within my own life, essentially taken hostage via the effects/affects of said BCI DEW to control my entire thought processes as well as my entire bodily functions, thereby using extreme force and coercion to attempt stage/fabricate/orchestrate tangible events/scenarios in my life while then (very literally attacking me, committing acts of psychological and physical "torture" ) (as the term is defined under 18 U.S.C. §2340 and Cal. Penal Code §206) (mimicking ongoing serious bodily injuries, mentally and physically impairing me with their ongoing use of the same) as the term "torture" is defined under Federal and California State laws. This is all happening presently, ongoing since October 2016 in Southern California. And, the timeline of the links in the ongoing chain span back literally multiple decades, and encompass my presence (and temporary residences) in the states of New York, Michigan, New Mexico, (primarily, the most time spent) in California, and has persisted as I have travelled domestically through all states in between.

4. While it is clear the same BCI DEW are being used comprehensively throughout the courts in states in which I have studied law and/or worked with(in) the courts, those states including Michigan, New Mexico, and California. Concealed use of said BCI DEW to control and/or attack me (and other nonconsenting civilians) is 100% illegal/unconstitutional and does not fall under/within any legitimate legal and/or government immunity. The same is NOT a matter of 'state secrets' and despite the existence and capabilities of said BCI DEW being published in scientific publications, U.S. Patents, FOIA releases, and limited mainstream media coverage back in the 1960's and 1970's the advanced capabilities and concealed use of the same by U.S. government agencies/entities operating domestically within the United States have been deliberately kept out of the mainstream media, but (as stated) NOT for proper purpose but to use the same to artificially manipulate and control individuals, attacking me for not willingly acquiescing to and participating in the ongoing concealed, illegal/unconstitutional use of the same on me (currently) in Southern California.

5. As I am held in the ongoing links of the 'total immersion' tangible scenarios being created by those Defendants running said BCI DEW, they actively interfere

with, limit, and delay my ability to actively litigate the issues in my lawsuit, that which I am petitioning for the Court to review the court's sua sponte dismissal of, based upon the court referring to my allegations of facts related to the existence, capabilities, use and effects/affects of said BCI DEW as "fantastical" and "utterly implausible." The entire court system, including federal and state 'judges' and licensed 'attorneys' are actively participating in the illegal/unconstitutional use of said concealed BCI DEW, rendering it impossible for me to find an attorney(s) to represent the legal issues that I (myself) have presented in my lawsuit. This is one (1) factor that has led to the delay in my preparation of my Petition for Writ of Certiorari, as the government entities/Defendants running said BCI DEW use misdirection and misinformation as some of their various tools of harassment and obstructing justice in my lawsuits. My electronic technology devices are actively manipulated in real time by the government defendant entities engaging in an ongoing course of conduct of 'gaslighting.' 'Gaslighting' is a form of psychological abuse or manipulation in which the abuser attempts to sow self-doubt and confusion in their victim's mind. Typically, gaslighters are seeking to gain power and control over the other person, by distorting reality and forcing them to question their own judgment and intuition. Files opened on my computer are actively manipulated. Web searches I perform include misinformation intended to throw my investigation and pursuit of matters related to the instant litigation deliberately off track. While the foregoing are paired with the interactive psychological and physical interferences I experience as Defendants running said BCI DEW have shown they have the ability to use remote BCI DEW technologies to look through my eyes to see what I am seeing while having complete control and access to my brain and entire central nervous system in real time, using electrical brain stimulation (see "Physical Control of the Mind: Towards a Psycho Civilized Society" -Jose Delgado, published 1969) and remote neuromodulation techniques (reference U.S. Patent 3,951,134 Apparatus and Method for Remotely Monitoring And Altering Brain Waves -Robert Malech).

5. My U.S. District Court Complaint for the instant lawsuit (Case 2:22-cv-09127-JAK-SP) was filed on December 14, 2022. On January 25, 2023, I filed an application for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction, asking the court to prohibit defendants from engaging in the concealed, remote use of said BCI DEW, used to control and attack me. On February 02, 2023, U.S. District Court Judge John A. Kronstadt issued a Memorandum and Order Denying Application for Temporary Restraining Order and Summarily Dismissing Complaint. Judge Kronstadt states (in pertinent part) "plaintiff's fundamental allegations that he is being controlled by remote directed energy technologies/weapons [] are utterly implausible. There is no reason to believe Plaintiff's fantastical allegations. . . ." Judge Kronstadt went on to deny my request for a temporary restraining order, dismissing the complaint sua sponte and without leave to amend, despite acknowledging that as a Plaintiff in pro se, the court is required to use its discretion more liberally to afford me the opportunity



to pursue my claim(s). Throughout the time I drafted the Complaint, my mind and central nervous system were heavily attacked by defendants running said BCI DEW. On or about February 02, 2023, I filed Notice of Appeal. On-or-about May 08, 2023, I filed my Opening Brief for the appeal. On January 24, 2024, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals filed its Memorandum, denying my appeal and affirming the U.S. District Court ruling. On March 11, 2024, I filed my Petition for Rehearing en banc in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. On April 30, 2024, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals filed its order Order denying my request for rehearing en banc. Ninety (90) days from the date of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals' order denying my petition for rehearing en banc is Monday, July 29, 2024. This application for a sixty (60) day extension to file my petition for writ is required to be filed with the clerk ten (10) days prior to the ninety (90) day deadline, making the deadline date for filing this application for sixty (60) day extension as on-or-before Friday, July 19, 2024.

6. While not expressly stated in exact language by the court(s), the predominant issue(s) truly underlying the court's sua sponte dismissal of my lawsuit are the court's refusal to actually accept (in the light most favorable to me) my allegations concerning the control and (literal) torture I continue experiencing, the denial of which is directly predicated upon the court's (purported) sua sponte (despite the government entities running the same actively manipulating the court's processes and proceedings) refusal to acknowledge the existence, capabilities, and concealed use of said BCI DEW. The existence and capabilities of said BCI DEW are documented within scientific publications, U.S. Patents, FOIA releases, and historical (not recent, but back in the 1960's and 1970's) media coverage. The court's refusal to accept the factual allegations in my complaint as factual allegations, dismissing the same as "utterly implausible" and "fantastical" evidence what I have and continue to witness firsthand in my current work as a law clerk in the legal field in California, that is the court system is working in active coordination with the government defendant entities in a comprehensive BCI DEW project, covertly controlling individuals and actively coordinating the court system to create tangible records which are relied upon by the government defendant entities to document their stagings/fabrications/orchestrations via their use of said BCI DEW, using the (otherwise) good reputation of the courts to give the appearance of veracity in the creation of documentation of the manipulations by those running said BCI DEW of my life, using the court as the 'enforcer' to forestall my (and others') ability to recover legal damages and to enjoin the illegal/unconstitutional use of the same.

7. This case presents questions of serious concern to the entire population of the United States, involving questions of exceptional importance, notably (but not limited to) – (1) substantive due process violations in that the courts active involvement in a comprehensive BCI DEW mind control project being covertly run on individuals, while those individuals are then denied legitimate due process and access to justice 'shock(s) the conscience'; (2) no legitimate government

privileges/immunities exist – despite the court not expressly stating as much, those government entity defendants actively engaged in the ongoing concealed illegal/unconstitutional use of said BCI DEW, incorporated to actively manipulate and obstruct legitimate access to justice in the courts was being presented initially to me, by those government entity defendants, as a matter of ‘state secrets’ and/or a matter of ‘national security’ which it clearly is not. The court’s language, denying my factual allegations related to the existence, capabilities, and concealed use of said BCI DEW, calling the same “utterly implausible” and “fantastical,” notably when the judges and attorneys within the court system are mandated to cooperate with the government entity defendants running said BCI DEW, the judges in the U.S. District Courts being apprised and thoroughly aware of the existence, capabilities, and use of the same domestically, the lower court’s ruling does not state what is implied in the court’s language, that being the court’s active involvement of and active participation in attempting to cover up the illegal/unconstitutional use of said BCI DEW on me (and others) domestically within the United States. The United States District Court’s ruling, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals ruling(s), including its initial denial of my appeal and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals denial of reheating en banc conflict with decisions of The United States Supreme Court. Consideration by the United States Supreme Court necessary to secure and maintain uniformity of the Court’s decisions notably in the instant situation, wherein the lower courts’ dismissal of my lawsuit, in the United States Federal Court system is so obviously directly tied to the illegal/unconstitutional overreach of the federal government defendants, which include the United States ‘intelligence community,’ which are actively involved in acts in direct contravention to the United States Constitution, controlling the courts to carry out intentional civil (and human) rights violations domestically, while the United States Federal Court system has, at all times, been fully functioning, rendering the actions of the federal government defendants’, including the ‘intelligence community,’ as acts of seditious conspiracy and treason. The lower court’s sua sponte dismissal with prejudice and without leave to amend of my initial complaint, alleging ongoing attacks on me, a U.S. citizen, civilian, law school graduate, currently working in the legal field as a law clerk because I am being prevented by the federal government defendants from being licensed as an attorney, because I refuse to acquiesce to and participate in their illegal/unconstitutional use of BCI DEW, as well as numerous other factors, all support that the lower court’s dismissal are active and ongoing attempts by the federal government defendants to commandeer the United States Court system to act as the ‘enforcer’ of the past and ongoing concealed use of said BCI DEW on nonconsenting U.S. citizens/civilians domestically. Said BCI DEW are being comprehensively used to artificially promote some while artificially suppressing others. The entire scope of the comprehensive project presents dire substantive and procedural due process issues which are ripe for the Court’s review and MUST be reviewed by the Court, as the propriety of the entire Judicial Branch of government currently hangs in the balance and has been (presently) commandeered by federal government defendant administrative (unelected) personnel who are very literally actively controlling every facet of the lives of nonconsenting U.S. citizens/civilians with said BCI DEW while simultaneously actively preventing those nonconsenting U.S. citizens/civilians

from access to justice for relief from the same.

8. After locating one (1) attorney with whom I attended law school, who told me that he would present my petition for writ to the United States Supreme Court, that attorney has been noncommunicative, placing me in a position to need to now scramble to attempt to put together the Petition for Writ of Certiorari for this (very important) issue, while I have never before filed a Petition for Writ of Certiorari with the United States Supreme Court.

9. Given that I am a party who, based upon the scope and nature of the issues my lawsuits are addressing, those being the federal government defendants' active commandeering of the United States court system to coordinate the same using said BCI DEW while controlling individuals, while literally attacking (committing acts of torture on me) and intentionally, brazenly violating my fundamental rights to attempt to force/coerce my acquiescence to their use of the same, I am being literally attacked while attempting to pursue litigation in pro se for the illegal/unconstitutional use of the same; Given that, based upon my being held in ongoing 'total immersion' scenarios and thereby prevented from locating a licensed attorney to file the Petition for Writ of Certiorari on my behalf; Given that the issue(s) I will be petitioning the Court to review is/are of paramount importance not only to me, but to every U.S. citizen/civilian who is now and/or may be subjected to the same illegal/unconstitutional use of said BCI DEW on them, to control and/or attack them, at some point now or in the future may attempt to locate an attorney and/or attempt to address these same issues themselves in pro se; Given that preparing a certiorari petition (including appendices) in booklet format in compliance with Rule 33.1 as a first time pro se filer, I respectfully request an extension of time for sixty (60) days to file my Petition for Writ of Certiorari with the Court.

10. My current ninety (90) day deadline from the court's order being appealed from is on-or-before July 29, 2024. An extension of sixty (60) days will make my deadline as on-or-before September 27, 2024.

I respectfully request the Court to grant me this one (1) time extension of sixty (60) days to file my Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

July 17, 2024

/s/ Scott York

---

Date

---

Scott York in Pro Se  
Plaintiff-Appellant-Petitioner