

NO. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM 2024

GREGORY STUMP, Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent

**APPENDIX A
ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

L. RICHARD WALKER
First Assistant
Federal Public Defender's Office
For the Northern District of WV
230 West Pike Street; Suite 360
Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301
(304) 622-3823
Counsel for Gregory Stump

UNPUBLISHEDUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-4431

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

GREGORY STUMP,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia, at Clarksburg. Thomas S. Kleeh, Chief District Judge. (1:21-cr-00037-TSK-MJA-1)

Submitted: November 19, 2024

Decided: November 21, 2024

Before QUATTLEBAUM, RUSHING, and BENJAMIN, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

ON BRIEF: L. Richard Walker, First Assistant Public Defender, OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER, Clarksburg, West Virginia, for Appellant. William Ihlenfeld, United States Attorney, Zelda E. Wesley, Assistant United States Attorney, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Clarksburg, West Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Gregory Stump appeals his conviction for possession of a firearm with an obliterated serial number, in violation of 26 U.S.C. §§ 5842, 5861(h), 5871. He argues that his conviction is infirm following *New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass’n, Inc. v. Bruen*, which held that a firearm regulation is valid under the Second Amendment only if it “is consistent with this Nation’s historical tradition of firearm regulation.” 597 U.S. 1, 17 (2022).

We recently considered a similar argument in *United States v. Price*, 111 F.4th 392 (4th Cir. 2024) (en banc). There, we rejected a Second Amendment challenge to 18 U.S.C. § 922(k), which, like 26 U.S.C. § 5861(h), prohibits the possession of a firearm with an obliterated serial number. *Id.* at 396-97, 408. *Price*, we conclude, clearly forecloses Stump’s challenge to the validity of his conviction.

Accordingly, we affirm Stump’s criminal judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED