

## Appendix I

5.1.24. Court Order from US Court  
of Appeals for the District of  
Columbia Circuit No. 24-7018

AND

7.31.24 US Supreme Court Notifi-  
cation List extending time  
to appeal until 9.28.24.

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 24-7018**

**September Term, 2023**

1:23-cv-01820-UNA

Filed On: May 1, 2024

Irina Collier, and for all similarly situated,

Appellant

v.

Donald J. Trump,

Appellee

**BEFORE:** Rao, Walker, and Garcia, Circuit Judges

**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the court's order to show cause filed on February 21, 2024, the response thereto, and appellant's additional motions, it is

**ORDERED** that the order to show cause be discharged. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that appellant's motions be denied. Appellant has not demonstrated that she is entitled to the relief requested. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the appeal be dismissed as untimely. Appellant's February 8, 2024 notice of appeal was filed beyond the 60-day period established in Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(1)(B), with respect to both the district court's original issuance of its dismissal order on July 12, 2023, and its re-issuance of that order on October 10, 2023. The timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement. See Bowles v. Russell, 551 U.S. 205, 209 (2007).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 24-7018**

**September Term, 2023**

of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

**Per Curiam**

**FOR THE COURT:**

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Selena R. Gancasz

Deputy Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

IRINA COLLIER, )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 v. ) Civil Action No. 23-01820 (UNA)  
 )  
 )  
 DONALD J. TRUMP, )  
 )  
 Defendant. )

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

This matter is before the Court on its initial review of Plaintiff’s *pro se* complaint and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court will grant the application and dismiss the complaint. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) (requiring immediate dismissal of a frivolous action).

Plaintiff, a resident of San Diego, California, has sued former President Donald Trump for “Ceditious [sic] Conspiracy and contempt of court.” Compl. Caption. Plaintiff states that “this is an MDL case suppressed in Ca.[,] Washington D.C., and FL,” and “is the case of the family tied to the January 6 insurrection, to child trafficking and attempted murders of child and mother crimes outlined in all related cases[.]” Compl., ECF No. 1 at 2. The assertions continue in this incoherent manner. *See id.* at 2-5.

Complaints premised on fantastic or delusional scenarios or supported wholly by allegations lacking “an arguable basis either in law or in fact” may be dismissed as frivolous. *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989). The instant complaint satisfies this standard and therefore will be dismissed. The Court cannot exercise jurisdiction over such a claim. The

dismissal will be, however, without prejudice. A separate order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: July 12, 2023

  
TREVOR N. McFADDEN  
United States District Judge

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**