



ROPE & GRAY LLP
1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 6th FLOOR
EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303-2284
WWW.ROPESGRAY.COM

January 3, 2025

David S. Chun
T +1 650 617 4748
david.chun@ropesgray.com

BY FEDEX

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 25043

Re: *ParkerVision, Inc. v. TCL Industries Holdings Co., Ltd., et al.*, No. 24-518

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am counsel of record for LG Electronics, Inc., one of the respondents in the above-captioned matter. Kristopher L. Reed and Edward J. Mayle, counsel for TCL Industries Holdings Co., join in this letter.

On December 16, 2025, the Court requested that respondents file a response to the petition for a writ of certiorari filed by Petitioner ParkerVision, Inc. That response is presently due January 15, 2025. Pursuant to Rules 30.2 and 30.4, respondents respectfully request an extension until February 14, 2025 to respond to the petition (an extension of 30 days).

In addition to other professional obligations between now and January 15, which will delay preparation of the response, respondents have discussed the possibility of coordinating on a single response to the petition, but preparing a consolidated response, if possible, would require additional time.

Counsel for petitioner indicated that they do not oppose this requested extension.

Respectfully submitted,

David S. Chun
Counsel for Respondent LG Electronics Inc.

cc: Ronald M. Daignault
Daignault Iyer LLP
8229 Boone Boulevard, Suite 450
Vienna, VA 22182
rdaignault@daignaultiyer.com
Counsel for Petitioner ParkerVision, Inc.

Kristopher L. Reed
Kilpatrick, Townsend & Stockton LLP
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 440
Dallas, Texas 75201
(214) 922-7100
kreed@kilpatricktownsend.com
Counsel for Respondent TCL Industries Co., Ltd.