

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED  
MAY 29 2024  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

23A 1115  
United States Supreme Court

Ryan P. Givey,  
Petitioner

v.

Case No: 23-7063

Jennifer Arbiter Williams,  
Department of Justice,  
Respondents

**Temporary Emergency Injunction To Provide Witness Protection**

Givey requests the United States Supreme Court to provide Givey a temporary emergency injunction for witness protection, which is necessary to protect Givey's safety and to protect the evidence relevant to this case. An injunction is appropriate because according to the United States Marshals "an Injunction or temporary restraining order is an order from the court prohibiting a party from performing or ordering a specified act, either temporarily or permanently. (US Marshals)<sup>1</sup>. "An injunction is court order requiring a person to do or cease doing a specific action." (Cornell Law School, Legal Information Institute).

There has been an incredible effort to threaten Givey's safety and destroy evidence relevant to this current case before the United States Supreme Court and it is within the power and authority of the Supreme Court to safeguard Givey's life and protect the evidence relevant to this case by granting Givey temporary witness protection.

**Jurisdiction**

United States Code 18 USC § 3521 provides for Witness relocation and protection:

RECEIVED  
JUN 17 2024  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.usmarshals.gov/what-we-do/service-of-process/civil-process/injunctions-temporary-restraining-orders>

(a) (1) The Attorney General may provide for the relocation and other protection of a witness or a potential witness for the Federal Government or for a State government in an official proceeding concerning an organized criminal activity or other serious offense, if the Attorney General determines that an offense involving a crime of violence directed at the witness with respect to that proceeding, an offense set forth in chapter 73 of this title directed at the witness, or a State offense that is similar in nature to either such offense, is likely to be committed. The Attorney General may also provide for the relocation and other protection of the immediate family of, or a person otherwise closely associated with, such witness or potential witness if the family or person may also be endangered on account of the participation of the witness in the judicial proceeding.

(2) The Attorney General shall issue guidelines defining the types of cases for which the exercise of the authority of the Attorney General contained in paragraph (1) would be appropriate.

The United States Marshals Service,

“provides for the security, health and safety of government witnesses, and their immediate dependents, whose lives are in danger as a result of their testimony against drug traffickers, terrorists, organized crime members and other major criminals.”

The subject of this case before the Court is the refusal of the DOJ to take a criminal complaint from Givey concerning large Democratic donors to Joseph Biden and a politically connected University associated with Joseph Biden and to provide Givey with Witness Protection. Givey's life is in danger, Givey has been threatened and has a credible fear for his safety and has testimony relevant to be a witness in a criminal case that Givey has presented before this Court.

Givey has noted in his Petition before the Federal District Court and seeks to prove corruption by large Democratic donors at his former employer, rigging fifty (50) million dollars in government contracts and creating hundreds of jobs where people do little or no work including the Democratic Mayor's best friend (assigned to

teach one class a day, where he watched televisions shows every day, for fifty minutes), a convicted child murderer (who bypassed the State's criminal record check requirement for teachers due to his connections) and the former mob boss of Philadelphia's son (who was only assigned six students and taught one class a day for twenty minutes then went home), all while earning a full time teaching salary, as well as seeking to prove illegal conduct by a University that was a long-time employer of Joseph Biden.

Witness protection is approved by the Attorney General and provided by the United States Marshals. Givey meets the requirement for witness protection and the Attorney General has refused to provide for the protection of Givey in order to protect large Democratic donors to Joseph Biden and a politically associated University connected to Joseph Biden. Givey has had his home broken into and evidence relevant this case stolen and Givey has had his food tampered with drugs leading to an emergency room visit at the hospital on Christmas day 2023. Several Days later, Givey tested positive for Buprenorphine, a prescription opioid that Givey has never taken in his life, that would explain Givey's symptoms on December 25, 2023. Givey has provided proof in this motion before the Court, referenced in Exhibits, that his food is being tampered with drugs and proof that he is being surveilled when he leaves his home.

The Department of Justice is a federal law enforcement agency beholden to the United States Constitution, federal laws and orders from federal courts, including the United States Supreme Court. The United States Marshals is a

federal law enforcement agency beholden to the United States Constitution, federal laws and orders from federal courts, including the United States Supreme Court. Decisions made by federal agencies including the DOJ can be challenged and reviewed by federal courts, including the United States Supreme Court. The DOJ has refused to protect Givey, while he meets the criteria for witness protection and the United States Supreme Court has federal jurisdiction over federal agencies to order the DOJ to do so. The United States Supreme Court also has federal jurisdiction to directly contact the United States Marshals, a federal agency, to provide temporary witness protection to Givey and his family.

The United States Supreme Court also has jurisdiction to review decisions by the United States Third Circuit Court of Appeals. The Third Circuit Court of Appeals denied Givey witness protection and the United States Supreme Court has jurisdiction to review this decision and reverse this decision to provide Givey with witness protection.

**Compliance with Rule 23.3, Review of Order from the United States Third Circuit Court of Appeals dated February 16, 2024**

Givey requests the United States Supreme Court to review Givey's request (Appendix A) that was before the United States Third Circuit Court of Appeals to provide witness protection for Givey and his family and was denied (Appendix B) dated February 16, 2024.

**Givey's Safety is in Jeopardy**

On Christmas Day, December 25, 2023 drugs had been slipped into Givey's water reservoir of Givey's coffee maker drugging Givey that morning, that led to Givey spending the day in the Emergency Room.

When at the hospital, Givey asked his youngest sister, if she and Givey's other family members had also been drugged and Givey's sister replied "Yes".

Givey's brother, who has been threatened for years, called Givey on December 26, 2023 and threatened Givey to withdrawal his federal court case as Givey was preparing his request for an En Banc Review.

Several Days later, Givey tested positive for Buprenorphine, a prescription opioid that Givey has never taken in his life, that would explain Givey's symptoms on December 25, 2023. Givey does not take illegal drugs.

When Givey texted his father that he was drugged and tested positive for Buprenorphine, Givey's father called him immediately and said "You know there are drugs that can kill you and are undetectable in your system a few hours later."

Since Christmas, Givey has discovered his food tampered with drugs when leaves his home, which poses a risk to his health and safety.

On March 29, 2024 Givey drank his coffee with liquid flavored creamer in the morning and washed out and dried his coffee cup and left his cup under his coffee maker. Later that day, after leaving his home to pick up his children Givey returned home to discover a white powder had been deposited inside his coffee cup (Exhibit 1). Givey did not use sugar or powdered creamer in his coffee or any other

substance that could explain the white powder deposited at the bottom of his coffee cup, that he had cleaned a few hours earlier.

In February 2024 after telling Givey that his other family members had also been drugged, Givey's youngest sister ended up in the emergency room with uncontrolled bleeding in her urine and blood clots throughout her body, posing a life-threatening risk of stroke. She stayed in the hospital for a week requiring a blood transfusion and multiple surgeries and the doctors have not discovered any medical or biological factors that would have caused her symptoms. Givey believes she was drugged.

In March 2024 Givey's other younger sister was admitted to the hospital's emergency room requiring a week-long hospital stay and surgery after stomach pains. Givey believes she was drugged.

In November 2023 a woman R. K., Givey dated long-term, was diagnosed with a rare and life-threatening health condition requiring surgery, over a year into their relationship, that could be caused by exposure to lithium. Twice while they were dating R.K. had been illegally drugged. She also began having her employer threaten her employment. Shortly after Givey and R.K. began dating, her brother in law claimed he was fired under false pretenses and then surveilled at his house and followed when he left his home, his next job required him to live four days a week in a neighboring state. After they began dating R. K.'s sister began having her long-time employment threatened, her oldest brother lost his job and had to leave his family in South Carolina and move to New York to find employment and

her other brother, a millionaire, who owns a million dollar home in Florida and had been retired for years after a work injury settlement, moved away from his family to Pennsylvania and took a dishwashing job, where he complains of mistreatment and lost fifty pounds in three months. All of R. K.'s long-time friend group of twenty years began having problems with their employment, separation from their families or developed health concerns before they stopped talking to R. K. for a year.

Recently, Givey and R. K. were talking about a Reddit post about the RICO statute. Givey said "Organized crime used to threaten your family to get you to do what they want." R. K. replied "Organized crime still does but they don't threaten your family, they hurt your family over and over again until you do what they want."

Tampering with someone's food when they are away from their home is the perfect crime to threaten someone since the victim cannot identify the perpetrator and the victim consumes the evidence.

On May 11, 2024 Givey left his home to attend his daughter's softball game. For the duration of the game, Givey remained in his car, with his windows down and was surveilled and recorded for the entire duration of the game. It began with Male 1 holding his phone upright in his hand with his phone camera facing Givey (Exhibit 2). Then Male 1 held his phone behind his back with his phone camera pointed at Givey (Exhibit 3). When the man brought his phone in front of him Givey could see Male 1 was recording video (not the softball players). Male 1 then

put his phone on his ear, he did not speak a word and walked in front of Givey's car (Exhibit 4), then turned next to Givey's car and paced back and forth repeatedly the length of Givey's car (Exhibit 5, Exhibit 6, Exhibit 7), with his phone camera facing Givey and not speaking a word.

Male 1 then returned to the bleachers where he was sitting and showed a woman, possibly his wife, something on his phone, possibly the video. The man then walked back behind Givey's car again (Exhibit 9), then around in front of Givey's car again (Exhibit 10). Then an older blonde woman (Female 1) arrived at the game, possibly his mother, who began holding her phone with the phone camera facing Givey, not speaking a word (Exhibit 11, Exhibit 12). Then Female 1 walks behind Givey's car with Male 1's phone and repeatedly points the camera at Givey (Exhibit 13). Then Male 1 walks behind Givey's car pointing his phone camera at Givey and when Givey turns to take his picture he turned around (Exhibit 14). Then an older man arrived, possibly, Male 1's father, and holds his phone upright with his phone camera facing Givey (Exhibit 15). Then Female 1 walks behind Givey's car again for about ten minutes pacing back and forth, not speaking and pointing Male 1's phone camera at Givey (Exhibit 16) before returning Male 1's phone to him.

While Givey was taking pictures of Male 1 and Female 1, an SUV parked next to Givey with an older couple cheering for a softball player "Claire". This couple did not leave their vehicle for an hour and repeatedly held their phone out their window, showing Givey they were taking video of the game, then would turn the



phone's camera on Givey recording Givey (Exhibit 17). When Givey attempted to turn and take pictures of them recording Givey, they would move their camera away quickly, inside their vehicle. This couple stayed in their vehicle next to Givey for about an hour of the game, recording Givey repeatedly and when they left, a woman cheering for a player "Claire" walked in front of Givey with her phone camera pointed at Givey and when Givey held his phone out to take her picture she turned the video on the softball game (Exhibit 18).

When Givey returned to his home after the game, a man was standing across the street from Givey's home, with a phone on his ear, pointing the phone camera at Givey and not speaking (Exhibit 19).

Givey has license plates and dozens of time stamped pictures to document the surveillance. This type of surveillance, purposefully intending for Givey to know he is being surveilled, serves no legitimate investigative purpose but has been utilized in the past by convicted criminals to portray their victims as crazy and discredit witnesses.

In a New Yorker article Weinstein's Army of Spies <sup>2</sup> it alleges Harvey Weinstein utilized surveillance on his victims to interfere with civil lawsuits and criminal complaints, as did Jeffrey Epstein. According to a Page Six article, Weinstein accuser Hayley Gripp, claimed she was assaulted and surveilled and she threatened to file a criminal complaint. Gripp claimed that Weinstein accused

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/harvey-weinsteins-army-of-spies#:~:text=Two%20private%20investigators%20from%20Black,least%20four%20meetings%20with%20McGowan>

her of being crazy and threatened to have her committed to a mental hospital, which silenced her for years.

The evidence Givey has presented and the evidence Givey has in his possession proves Givey is being illegally surveilled when he leaves his home. When Givey leaves his home, his food has been tampered with and evidence relating to this present case has been destroyed.

For years the police, county district attorney, state attorney general and FBI refused to take a criminal complaint from Givey. They did not take a criminal complaint and refuse to investigate, they simply refused to even speak to Givey. At one point in 2012, Givey did speak to a county detective who was shocked by the evidence presented by Givey and referred the case to the county's major case manager to assign a detective but the district attorney's chief of staff somehow learned about Givey's complaint and intervened prohibiting the major case manager from assigning a detective to the case. For years the police, county district attorney's, attorney generals and FBI refused to take criminal complaints from hundreds of victims of Jeffrey Epstein, Harvey Weinstein, Jerry Sandusky and Larry Nassar. Givey has experienced the same treatment. Givey is not safe and is in need of witness protection.

### **Destruction of Evidence**

In the summer of 2023 Givey's doorbell camera stopped recording video for a few hours, his alarm system went offline but recorded that his front door had been opened, when Givey was not home and Givey found that the password had been

changed on his laptop and two of his encrypted hard drives where he stored evidence related to the present case.

Givey has backed up his laptop's hard drive to flash drives, one which he keeps in his possession at all times and others which he has hidden around his home. Givey's home has been broken into and the flash drives containing back up's of his hard drive have been stolen from his home.

Pertinent to the present case is University 1, a former long-time employer of President Biden. Dozens of digital copies of dissertations from University 1 have been deleted from Givey's laptop. During the unlawful entrances to Givey's home, since Givey's Writ of Centiorari has been filed with the United States Supreme Court, Givey's Doctoral Diploma from University 1 has been stolen, several copies of sealed transcripts from University 1 have been stolen and letters of reference from Givey's professors at University 1 have been stolen from Givey's home.

On May 15, 2024 around 6:40 pm, Givey left his home for about twenty minutes to bring his children to their mother's house. When Givey returned to his house, the lock to his back door was jammed and could not be unlocked, the electronic deadbolt to Givey's front entrance was jammed and would not open and Givey's door handle lock was locked. Givey never used the lock on the handle of his door because his door handle lock was misaligned with the door frame and would not latch. The hinges on Givey's door were bent to lock the lock on the door handle. Givey hired a company to cut the deadbolt from the door and break into his house. Givey had a sliding lock on the inside of his front door which is now

misaligned because the hinges were bent when the lock on door handle was forced to latch. This could not have happened by accident.

Givey's home has repeatedly been broken into, with some evidence relating to the present case being destroyed. Givey is in need of witness protection to protect his life and safeguard the relevant evidence related to the present case before the United States Supreme Court.

### **National Importance of this Case**

Givey is a life-long registered Democrat, who voted Democrat in every election until 2020.

Givey alleges in his Writ of Centiorari, corruption by large Democratic donors, who have raised hundreds of thousands of dollars for President Obama and Biden's election campaigns, as well as illegal conduct by University 1, a long-time employer of President Biden.

In the years leading up to Givey's allegations, Pennsylvania's Democratic Governor disbanded its Organized Crime Commission, the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) reduced its organized crime task forces across the country by 90% (then gave the remaining FBI agents terrorist duties, instead of organized crime responsibilities) according to organized crime observers<sup>3</sup> and a 2010 report presented to Congress, titled Organized Crime in the United States Trends and Issues for Congress and The Department of Justice in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania disbanded its organized crime task force in 2008.

---

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.rollingstone.com/culture/features/is-the-american-mafia-on-the-rise-w451888>

During this time, investigations in England and Italy reported that organized crime was joining the Freemasons to run large corruption schemes involving law enforcement, judges, politicians and businesses. The European Union in its research titled “Examining the Links between Organized Crime and Corruption”<sup>4</sup>, noted the Freemasons as one of the largest drivers of corruption throughout Europe. Earlier investigations in Italy found one Freemason lodge had corrupted the country’s largest media organizations, half of the country’s politicians, five-hundred (500) local municipalities, hundreds of businesses, law enforcement and judges<sup>56</sup>. Donald Trump has alleged that a network of corrupt Democrat law enforcement (including the FBI and DOJ), judges and media have attacked him, which is similar to the criminal organizations which have been found to exist in Europe.

British investigative journalist Stephen Knight noted in his book “The Brotherhood”<sup>7</sup>, describing a massive corruption scheme surrounding the Freemasons including leading business men and women, politicians, judges, lawyers and law enforcement. Knight also described how the Freemasons would attack their victims (p.140), by first attacking the victim’s friends and family to isolate them, then

“you finish up not knowing who you can trust. You can get no help because your story sounds so paranoid that you are thought a crank, one of those nuts who think the whole world is a conspiracy against them. It is a strange

---

<sup>4</sup>[http://www.europarl.europa.eu/meetdocs/2009\\_2014/documents/libe/dv/report\\_csd\\_/report\\_csd\\_en.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/meetdocs/2009_2014/documents/libe/dv/report_csd_/report_csd_en.pdf)

<sup>5</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Propaganda\\_Due](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Propaganda_Due)

<sup>6</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mani\\_pulite](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mani_pulite)

<sup>7</sup> Knight, Stephen. The Brotherhood. London, Grafton Books, 1985.

phenomenon. By setting up a situation that most people will think of as fantasy, these people can poison every part of a person's life" (p.151).

Knight noted, if the victims "fight, so much unhappiness will be brought to the people around them that there will come a time when even their families will turn against them out of desperation" (p.151). Knight described the attacks employed by the Freemasons, attacking their victim's careers, utilizing law enforcement to bring false charges, breaking into their homes and destroying things to cause costly repairs and utilizing a network of lawyers to sabotage their victim's legal interests. Givey has not only made allegations but has in his possession evidence to prove his claims, but the FBI and DOJ has refused to speak to Givey or allow Givey to present evidence. The DOJ's argument is strange, requiring Givey to prove every aspect of these crimes without the resources of the federal government, without speaking to Givey and without allowing Givey to present evidence.

When Givey cited sources of proven criminal conspiracies to explain how the Freemasons were operating in Europe, refusing to take criminal complaints and allowing victims to be attacked, the DOJ called Givey's allegations an improbable conspiracy, citing only *Mina v. Chester Cnty.*, 2015 WL 6550543, at \*8 (E.D. Pa. Oct. 29, 2015), *aff'd*, 679 F. App'x 192 (3d Cir. 2017) (quoting *Weisser v. Obama*, 2013 WL 4525319, at \*1 (D.D.C. Aug. 27, 2013)), a federal court case that was arguing "bizarre conspiracy theories, government manipulations of the mind, or supernatural intervention" that the Obama appointed Judge in federal court utilized to dismiss Givey's case.

The United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit falsely claimed that Givey stated his friends and family were "hired to act against him". The Third Circuit's Opinion is easy enough to prove false by reviewing Givey's Petition. Givey stated that he asked his oldest sister for help and she refused telling Givey she was being threatened. On December 25, 2024, when Givey was drugged and admitted to the hospital's emergency room, Givey's youngest sister told Givey that his other family members had also been drugged. The Chief Justice of the United States Third Circuit Court of Appeals only showed Givey's Petition to thirteen (13) of the twenty-five (25) justices, when Givey requested an En Banc Review. Any individual federal judge, of their own accord, could have convened a grand jury or assigned a special prosecutor.


Law enforcement, from the police, county district attorney's, state attorney generals, the FBI and DOJ refused to take criminal complaints from hundreds of victims of Jeffrey Epstein, Harvey Weinstein, Jerry Sandusky and Larry Nassar for decades with the DOJ claiming they do not know how this occurred. Millions of Freemasons exist in the United States in law enforcement that could explain how an existing network within law enforcement is being utilized to protect criminals from investigation, as has been proven to have occurred in several European countries. Givey was told the President of University 1, during Givey's attendance, was a Freemason. Givey does not have the resources of the federal government but the attacks Givey alleges taking place against him match the modus operandum that the Freemasons have employed against their victims in Europe.

It is an urgent matter of National Security and relevant to the United States Presidential Election that Biden's DOJ is refusing to take criminal complaints concerning large Democratic donors and institutions associated with Joseph Biden, while allowing victims to be attacked. No United States citizen should have to endure what Givey has faced and an immediate ruling by the Court on Witness Protection for Givey is necessary.


  
6/12/24



**Exhibit 1**




**Exhibit 2**






**Exhibit 3**



**Exhibit 4**




**Exhibit 5**






**Exhibit 6**



**Exhibit 7**




**Exhibit 8**






**Exhibit 9**



**Exhibit 10**






**Exhibit 11**



**Exhibit 12**



**Exhibit 13**






**Exhibit 14**



**Exhibit 15**




**Exhibit 16**







**Exhibit 17**



**Exhibit 18**



**Exhibit 19**





Appendix A

United States Court of Appeals  
For the Third Circuit

Ryan P. Givey,  
Petitioner


v.

Case No: 23-2330

Jennifer Arbiter Williams,  
Department of Justice,  
Respondents

**MOTION FOR EMERGENCY IMMEDIATE APPEARANCE BEFORE ALL THREE  
APPELLATE COURT JUDGES AND WITNESS PROTECTION**

1. Givey withdrew his case under duress after his life was threatened on Christmas, December 25, 2023.
2. Givey was threatened to withdrawal his case.
3. Givey requests an emergency appearance before the Court and for witness protection.
4. Givey requests that his case is reinstated.

  
Signature

12/28/23

Appendix B

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

C.A. No. 23-2330

RYAN P. GIVEY,  
Appellant

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE;  
JENNIFER ARBITTIER WILLIAMS

(E.D. Pa. No. 2:22-cv-00298)

Present: BIBAS, Circuit Judge

Submitted are

- (1) Emergency Motion filed by Appellant for Immediate Audience with a Judge to Request Witness Protection;
- (2) Document by Appellant In Support of Motion for Immediate Audience with a Judge to Request Witness Protection.

in the above-captioned case.

Respectfully,

Clerk

ORDER

The foregoing motion is denied.

By the Court,

s/Stephanos Bibas  
Circuit Judge

Dated: February 16, 2024  
Tmm/cc: Ryan P. Givey  
Mark J. Sherer, Esq