11U. 4T	No.	24-	
---------	-----	-----	--

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RALPH L. HAYES, Petitioner,

V.

FAY SERVICING, LLC Respondent,

No. 23-1962 (6:22-cv-00040-RSB)

On Application for an Extension of Time to File Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United State Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

PETITIONER'S APPLICATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORAI

RALPH L. HAYES, PRO SE
DISABLED VETERAN TDIU 100%
1107 ASHBURN DRIVE
FOREST, VA 24551
(434) 851-3544
Raf.hayes@gmail.com

Statement

Pursuant to this Court's Rules 13.5, 22, 30.2, and 30.3, Petitioner, Ralph L. Hayes, Pro Se, respectfully requests that the time to file its Petition for Writ of Certiorari in this matter be extended for 60 days up to and including July 14, 2024.

Reasons For Granting An Extension Of Time

The time to file a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari should be extended 60 days for the

RECEIVED
MAY 2 0 2024

following reasons:

- 1. Petitioner has been waiting since May 15, 2024 for the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) to complete its investigation and response from the Originator of alleged mortgage loans from United Mortgage Lenders, Inc. #240313-13610405 for additional information requested by the CFPB per the enclosed document;
- 2. Due to medical procedures and appointments in the months of March and April Petitioner need this additional time to concentrate and complete the Writ of Certiorari.
- 3. An extension will not cause prejudice to Respondents, as this Court would likely hear oral arguments and issue its opinion regardless of whether an extension is granted. An extension will further ensure that Respondents need not prepare a brief in opposition during the holidays, graduations, and vacations.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner respectfully request that the time to file the Petition for Writ of Certiorari in this matter be extended 60 days, up to and including July 14, 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

1107 Ashburn Drive Forest, VA 24551

(434) 851-3544

Raf.hayes@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A Copy of this application was served by email and U.S. mail to the counsel listed below in accordance with Supreme Court Rule 22.2 and 29.3:

Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP Attn: David M. Barnes, Jr., Esquire Suite 900 101 Constitution Avenue NW Washington DC 20001 David.barnes@nelsonmullins.com

Cohn, Goldberg & Deutsch, LLC Attn: Jason S. Murphy, Esquire For Blue Ridge Trustees, LLC Suite 201 526 King Street Alexandria VA 22314 Imurphy@cgd-law.com

U.S. Bank Trust National Association, not in its
Individual capacity, but solely as Trustee of LSF10; LSF9 & LSF8
Master Participation Trust
c/o Cohn, Goldberg & Deutsch, LLC
Attn: Jason S. Murphy, Esquire
Suite 301
1099 Winterson Road
Linthicum Heights MD 21090
foreclosureattorney@cgd-law.com

Pro Se

1107 Ashburn Drive Forest, VA 24551 (434) 851-3544

Raf.hayes@gmail.com

APPENDIX A

Appendix A

Ralph L. Hayes 1107 Ashburn Drive Forest, VA 24551 (434) 851-3544 Raf.hayes@Gmail.com

Sunday, May 5, 2024

Reference: United Mortgage Lenders, Inc – CFPB Complaint No.: 240313-13610405
Requested Additional Information

Via: Uploaded on CFPB Website

The following is a list of questions to be answered from United Mortgage Lenders, Inc. after I had rescinded alleged loans per the attached Right to Cancel documents dated December 12 & 13, 2005:

Provide proof that Linda Bates whose signatures are frequently used on alleged loan documents, December 2005, as Notary, Settlement Agent and Closer was ever employed by United Mortgage Lenders, Inc. (ie: W4's, Payroll Statement, Employment Application; W2's);

Provide signed documents requested by United Mortgage Lenders, Inc. letter and fax after rescinding loans dated December 14, 2005 and again on December 21, 2005: Good Faith Estimate, Corrected Truth in Lending Disclosures; Adjustable Rate Note;

Provide the correct UML Loan Numbers loan per Preliminary Closing & Funding Instructions documents being provided has the incorrect loan numbers on all loan documents that are United Mortgage Lenders, Inc. Application Numbers 8051026010 & 8051026004 not the correct loan numbers.:

The enclosed UML Preliminary Closing & Funding Instructions: UML Closer: Caroline Castagnolo (Signature as closer on alleged loan documents has Linda Bates) (Linda Bates signed as Settlement Agent on HUD-1 Settlement Sheet enclosed)? UML Closing Instructions has UML Loan Officer: Robert Wilkes (The loan officer was Brian Perdue was our loan officer from the beginning) Therefore, all documents with Linda Bates signature makes the loans void, since she was NEVER employed by UML as either a Closer / Settlement Agent / Officer of UML! FRAUD!!!;

Deed of Trust enclosed is NOT what was filed in the Land Records in Bedford County Courthouse January 2007. Why did it take over thirteen months from December 2005 to file a deed?

I look forward to receiving the requested information as soon as possible!

Ralph Hayes

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1962 Doc: 39 Filed: 03/20/2024 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-1962
RALPH L. HAYES,
Plaintiff - Appellant,
v.
FAY SERVICING, LLC,
Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, a Lynchburg. Robert S. Ballou, District Judge. (6:22-cv-00040-RSB)
Submitted: March 12, 2024 Decided: March 20, 2024
Before RICHARDSON and HEYTENS, Circuit Judges, and KEENAN, Senior Circuit Judge.
Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.
Ralph L. Hayes, Appellant Pro Se. Jason Ryan Hodge, NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellee.
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Ralph L. Hayes appeals the district court's April 3, 2023, order dismissing his amended complaint and August 29, 2023, order denying his emergency motion for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction. Limiting our review to the issues raised in Hayes' informal brief and supplements thereto, we have reviewed the record and discern no reversible error. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b); *Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's orders.* *Hayes v. Fay Servicing, LLC*, No. 6:22-cv-00040-RSB (W.D. Va., Apr. 3, 2023; Aug. 29, 2023). We also deny Hayes' motions for injunctive relief pending appeal (ECF Nos. 16, 35, 38), petition to cease foreclosure sale (ECF No. 22), and emergency motion for injunctive relief pending appeal (ECF No. 30). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

^{*} Insofar as Fay Servicing, LLC, contends that Hayes' appeal from the district court's April 3, 2023, dismissal order is untimely, we reject that contention. Because Hayes timely moved for relief from judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) after the entry of the dismissal order, the 30-day appeal period for the dismissal order was extended until the resolution of that motion. See Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(4)(A)(vi).