

Docket # 23-7380

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Kenneth Leslie Caldwell – PETITIONER

VS.

United States – RESPONDENT(S)

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Kenneth Leslie Caldwell

(Name)

HOMELESS/TRANSIENT/MAY TRAVEL/UNCERTAIN

(Mailing Address unstable/no home/uncertain)

(716)-534-9868

(Phone)

2017emailforward@gmail.com

(Email)

“ Capital Case “ / “ Capital Case Possible ”




TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF FACTS.....2-4
SUMMARY FOR PROCLAMATION.....4-6
CONCLUSION AND CERTIFICATION(S).....7

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A, available at:

<https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1kTe-eH785pu4XiZvOKwiQDxHMFgNAJaN>

OPINIONS/ORDERS/JUDGMENTS/FINDINGS BY COURT(S).....A1-A39
CASELAW AND LAW RELATED MENTIONINGS.....A40-A46
MATERIAL PLAINTIFF SUBMITTED TO COURT(S).....A47-A98

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
23-7380 in the Supreme Court of the United States.....	1, 3
STATUTES AND RULES	
10 UNITED STATES CODE § 251.....	4
10 UNITED STATES CODE § 252.....	4
10 UNITED STATES CODE § 253.....	4
10 UNITED STATES CODE § 254.....	4
United States Constitution.....	3, 4, 6
United States Code.....	3
Supreme Court Rule 10.....	4
Supreme Court Rule 15.1.....	3
Supreme Court Rule 15.4.....	3

Docket # 23-7380

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Kenneth Leslie Caldwell – PETITIONER

VS.

United States – RESPONDENT(S)

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Kenneth Leslie Caldwell

(Name)

HOMELESS/TRANSIENT/MAY TRAVEL/UNCERTAIN

(Mailing Address unstable/no home/uncertain)

(716)-534-9868

(Phone)

2017emailforward@gmail.com

(Email)

“ Capital Case “ / “ Capital Case Possible “

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Petitioner prays that he survive, receive great relief, and lives well.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Aside from the obvious, there are 'new' 'issues', that call for a rehearing. Someone at the clerk's input the Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis onto the website as an application to proceed as Veteran/Seaman or something similar and Plaintiff requested by email, website form, phone, email/website form again, and by multiple phone messages (again) that he wanted the entire contents of Appendix A to page A98 to be shown as part of the record on the website but the only correction made was the showing of in forma pauperis application instead of veteran/seaman status (or whatever that was), and Appendix A remained on the record only to page A10 regardless of Plaintiff's demands/requests. Without the Plaintiff being afforded the right to present the FULL Appendix A to the Supreme Court of the United States, his chances for success with his petition for a writ of certiorari are negatively impacted. Rather than sending in a whole other Appendix A, the Plaintiff has uploaded the entire Appendix A to a folder on his google drive account with a usable link of <https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1kTe-eH785pu4XiZvOKwiQDxHMFgNAJaN>, to save time/money because the Plaintiff still seriously lacks ample funds and hasn't received ample assistance from any programs or people as of now. The google drive folder also has snapshots and vid proof of sending emails and initiated phone calls to the clerk's and the google drive folder idea seems to be the most logical way of presenting Appendix A and such for now. The Plaintiff was assured the full Appendix A would be used by the court. The uploaded Appendix A may show clearer illustrations, and extraordinary circumstances exist; the folder is uploaded and available to view.

Another 'new issue' Plaintiff wants to point out is that Supreme Court Rule 15.1 states a brief in opposition is mandatory in a capital case and Plaintiff's petition on the cover page states "Capital Case" / "Capital Case Possible". Supreme Court Rule 15.4 states "any objection to jurisdiction"...shall be included in the brief in opposition" so with that it seems there is no contention about jurisdiction (of course) and then if denial is about merits then court must reconsider with Appendix A included lest terrorists succeed in bringing down the country.

Another 'new' 'issue' is that the Plaintiff submitted a motion for expedited default judgment along with proof of service and he wants to contend that his motion followed rules of the United States Supreme Court or for other reasons should have been allowed to be considered as an application for default judgment and docketed. That has been put into the Plaintiff's google drive folder as well for if it be not findable yet needs to be found; moreover, the Plaintiff is pro se without an attorney in a world where it is very hard for him to find representation (amid extraordinary circumstances).

Caselaw was difficult to find, but a statement from online (law.com) stated "According to the National Law Journal's 2023 NLJ 500 ranking of firms based on size, Mayer Brown has 1860 attorneys and is ranked 12th in the United States", and that firm's website page <https://www.mayerbrown.com/en/insights/publications/1999/01/opposing-certiorari-in-the-us-supreme-court> thoroughly discusses petitions presented to the Supreme Court of the United States, and the Plaintiff's petition clearly matches **ALL** criteria for his petition to be granted and does not come close to criteria of denial.

This case involves the **MOST** cruel and unusual punishments known to man in the history of courts world wide and that's even with communist countries included. If the Plaintiff was in some way Adolf Hitler, Osama Bin Laden, AND Charles Manson the basic equivalent of what the

courts of this country are KNOWINGLY AND WILLFULLY allowing and issuing punishment about is like repeated sodomy of Adolf Hitler for what he did, character murder of Osama Bin Laden for what he did by use of news companies in his hometown posing as legitimate stating Osama Bin Laden converted to Christianity and is now a wife beater, and shockingly denying a petition that is not contended to to instead take sides with sexual predators who engage in illegal activities in order to sexually victimize tens of millions of children by means of electronic devices even if it means losing out on hundreds of millions of dollars, billions, or even tens of billions of dollars because of what Charles Manson did for the sake of spite to not give him money. THAT is cruel and unusual punishment Government does not stand for.

SUMMARY FOR PROCLAMATION

Supreme Court Rule 10 states “A petition for a writ of certiorari will be granted only for compelling reasons” and the Plaintiff’s petition without a doubt shows compelling reasons, so therefore a non-KKK real human being with a soul MUST attempt to reread the Plaintiff’s petition along with material relevant for ALL of Appendix A for inclusion since the Plaintiff requested for it to be included at least 3 times from the United States Supreme Court clerk(s) that the entire Appendix A for his Petition for Writ of Certiorari be included, and grant it, in order to avoid having the public opinion of the United States’ judiciary be that it is highly immoral, unethical, immature, evil, too supportive of child molestation/rape for the sake of LGBTQ communities as if there is a fear of going against LGBTQ communities, too allowing of crimes against humanity, weak, of the devil, severely lacking of integrity, and NOT impartial.

It is ‘compelling’ enough to grant the Plaintiff’s petition with the fact that the Government waived it’s right to respond on 5/9/2024 and the fact that the Plaintiff’s petition followed all of the

rules correctly since the clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States did not send it back identifying things to be fixed but instead placed it on the docket.

There is no caselaw found for this situation where “The Government hereby waives its right to file a response to the petition” as was stated in the 5/9/2024 waiver of this case, coupled with outrageous activities occurring. What’s been gathered about the meaning of that is that THE GOVERNMENT concedes/agrees/knows that the Plaintiff is right/knows the Plaintiff’s petition is 100% correct/does not dispute facts presented in the petition which includes statements from page 7 of the petition such as “There are unusually important issues”, ‘ there was an **affidavit** supplied explaining Plaintiff was called a “**f***ing n***er**” by a white man while being threatened of violence (shortly after media attacked) as can be seen at attached Appendix A pages A78 and A85 ‘, and “if an impartial jury or judge took the time to read pages A57-A98 of the attached Appendix A, they’d realize there are **SERIOUS** problems associated with people acting under color of law for the United States/State of Idaho, and they’d know that the United States Code and United States Constitution were/are not being obeyed by people acting under color of law representing the United States“,

and so, with that,

the main illustration is that anyone going against the Plaintiff’s petition (to deny relief) is a person going against “The Government”, THE UNITED STATES CODE, and THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, and as rivals AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT should officially be put under an order to be stopped/detained/removed from office/counseled to correction/suspended/arrested regardless of the position that the person acting under color of law is supposed to be, even if that supposed position is head of FBI, boss of the United States Marshals, or president of the United States, because to **knowingly/willfully** ‘act under color of law’ by going

against the Plaintiff's petition and United States Constitution, is to **knowingly/willfully** side with rebellious activities that are against government, which at such point in time renders them unfit for office and requires attempts to be made to shutdown entire agencies/offices/buildings/etc. indefinitely (if need be) (who are engaged in the rebellion) until power has been restored to "The Government" lest insurrection prevail.

Recruits to militia supporting 'The Government' to arrest/suspend/remove from office/etc. operations/people/agencies/buildings/etc. should have no worry of being prosecuted for their attempts to stop/detain/remove from office/suspend/arrest people acting under color of law and those afore mentioned militia recruits should be paid at least \$25,000 a year for the rest of their lives and other reasonable payments/rewards, courtesy of 'The Government' as a show of gratitude for assisting in the suppression of the anti-government rebellions/insurrections. (10 United States Code § 251/10 United States Code § 252/10 United States Code § 253/10 United States Code § 254/UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION).

The Plaintiff may leave in search of something better if no one within the government has the power to uphold the United States Constitution and any office/agency/position/person/etc. of the United States should have the understanding and ambition to grant the Plaintiff the necessary travel documents/resources for escape to a safe country away from danger and persecution (with no hologram allowed on identification card(s) for religious purposes).

It goes without saying, people may consider this section and documentation part of an official PROCLAMATION of insurrection with statements within binding as official agreements/orders.

CONCLUSION

As the Plaintiff/Petitioner of this case needs expeditious, favorable, and profitable relief in regards to his petition, this petition for rehearing should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Signed Kenneth Caldwell Dated 6/10/2024

Kenneth Caldwell 6/10/2024

Name: Kenneth Leslie Caldwell

Mailing Address only (no home): HOMELESS/TRANSIENT/MAY TRAVEL/UNCERTAIN

Phone: (716)534-9868

Email: 2017emailforward@gmail.com

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that this petition is presented in good faith and not for delay.

Signed Kenneth Caldwell Dated 6/10/2024

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this petition is restricted to grounds limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented and that this petition is presented in good faith and not for delay.

Signed Kenneth Caldwell Dated 6/10/2024

Docket # 23-7380

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Kenneth Leslie Caldwell- PETITIONER

vs.

United States- RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kenneth Leslie Caldwell, do swear or declare that to the best of my knowledge on

June 10th, 2024, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed

PETITION FOR REHEARING on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on

every other person required to be served, by depositing a parcel containing a copy of such documents into

the mail system with a USPS tracking number of

KC 9505 5133 713# 4162 0497 47 for delivery within 3 calendar days, addressed to:

Solicitor General of the United States, Room 5616, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,

950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20530-0001.

Phone: 202-514-2000

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 10th, 2024

Kenneth Caldwell

(Signature)