

BOYDEN GRAY PLLC
800 CONNECTICUT AVE. NW, SUITE 900
WASHINGTON, DC 20006
(202) 955-0620

November 18, 2024

Honorable Scott S. Harris
Clerk
Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: *Riley v. Garland*, No. 23-1270

Mr. Harris:

On November 4, 2024, the Court granted the petition for a writ of certiorari in this case. Later that same day, undersigned counsel—who represents several former U.S. Attorneys General—filed a motion to be appointed to defend the judgment below. As the motion explains, counsel was previously appointed in the Second Circuit for this same purpose.

Under Rule 21.4, “Any response to a motion shall be filed as promptly as possible considering the nature of the relief sought and any asserted need for emergency action, and, in any event, within 10 days of receipt, unless the Court or a Justice, or the Clerk under Rule 30.4, orders otherwise.”

No response to the motion was filed “within 10 days,” nor has either party’s counsel conveyed any opposition directly to the undersigned, who first emailed them on November 4 to inquire about their positions on this request. Accordingly, the Court should treat the motion as unopposed.

Respectfully,

/s/ R. TRENT MCCOTTER
BOYDEN GRAY PLLC
800 Connecticut Ave. NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20006
202-706-5488
tmccotter@boydengray.com

cc: All Counsel