#### IN THE

## Supreme Court of the United States

JANICE HUGHES BARNES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF ASHTIAN BARNES, DECEASED,

Petitioner,

v.

ROBERTO FELIX, JR.; COUNTY OF HARRIS, TEXAS,

Respondents.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

### AMICUS BRIEF OF COLOR OF CHANGE IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER

RAFFI MELKONIAN
Counsel of Record
MICHAEL ADAMS-HURTA
ROSE DODA
WRIGHT CLOSE & BARGER, LLP
One Riverway, Suite 2200
Houston, Texas 77056
(713) 572-4321
melkonian@wrightclosebarger.com

Counsel for Amicus Curiae Color of Change

June 24, 2024

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	3
ARGUMENT	4
I. Unnecessary traffic stops like the one in this case are unjustified	4
A. Low-level traffic stops are common and dangerous	5
B. The costs of these traffic stops are not borne equally	7
C. Toll violations are universally understood to be unrelated to public safety	9
D. Reducing the incidence of low-level stops would be good for citizens and for the police	11
II. Granting the Petition will not deprive officers of a defense	13
CONCLUSION	16

### ii

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	age(s)
Gonzalez v. Trevino, 42 F. 4th 487 (5th Cir. 2022)	13
Gonzalez v. Trevino, 60 F. 4th 906 (5th Cir. 2023)	13
Graham v. Connor, 490 U.S. 386 (1989)	12
Hoggard v. Rhodes, 141 S. Ct. 2421 (2021)	13
Kisela v. Hughes, 138 S. Ct. 1148 (2018)	9
Wearry v. Foster, 52 F. 4th 258 (5th Cir. 2020)	13
STATUTES	
42 U.S.C. § 19831-4,	13, 14
Tex. Penal Code § 12.23	10
Tex. Transp. Code § 372.102	10
Tex. Transp. Code § 372.103	10
Tex. Transp. Code § 372.106	10
Tex. Transp. Code § 372.110	10
Tex. Transp. Code § 372.110(d)	10
Tex. Transp. Code § 372.112	10

OTHER AUTHORITIES	Page(s)
ALEX CHOHLAS-WOOD ET AL., STAN. COMPUTATIONAL POLICY LAB, AN ANALYSIS OF THE METROPOLITAN NASHVILLE POLICY DEPARTMENT'S TRAFFIC STOP PRACTICE (2018), https://policylab.hks.harvard.edumedia/nashville-traffic-stop s.pdf	F E S 1/
BAUMGARTNER, FRANK R., DEREK A. EPH AND KELSEY SHOUB, SUSPECT CITIZENS WHAT 20 MILLION TRAFFIC STOPS TEL US ABOUT POLICING AND RACE (2018)	S: L
CHARLES R. EPP, STEVEN MAYNARD-MOODS & DONALD P. HAIDER-MARKEL, PULLE OVER: HOW POLICE STOPS DEFINE RACE & CITIZENSHIP (2014)	D &
Daniela Gilbert, Sensible Traffic Ordinance for Public Safety (STOPS), VERA INST. FO JUSTICE, available at https://tinyurl.com 3h9pznrj (last visited June 19, 2024)	R n/
David D. Kirkpatric, Steve Eder, and Kir Barker, Cities Try to Turn the Tide of Police Traffic Stops, N.Y. TIMES, April 15 2022, available at https://tinyurl.com/52 4e4p5	n 5, v
DEP'T OF JUSTICE BUREAU OF JUSTIC STATISTICS, CONTACTS BETWEEN POLIC AND THE PUBLIC, 2020 (2022), https://bjs.cp.gov/library/publications/contacts-betw	E Oj
een-police-and-public-2020	5, 8

Pa	age(s)
Editorial Board, Police Should Stop Making Minor Traffic Stops that Too Often Turn into Major Tragedies, USA TODAY (July 13, 2021, updated Jan. 31, 2023), available at https://tinyurl.com/4c hskzy7	9
Elinore J. Kaufman et al., US Emergency Department Encounters for Law Enforcement-Associated Injury, 152 JAMA SURGERY, no. 6, 513 (2017)	7
Emma Pierson et al., A Large-Scale Analysis of Racial Disparities in Police Stops Across the United States, 4 NATURE HUM. BEHAVIOUR 736 (2020)	5, 8
Gene Demby, Some Key Facts We've Learned About Police Shootings Over the Past Year, NPR (Apr. 13, 2015, 1:57 PM), https://n.pr/2IQ1RBV	6
GIFFORDS L. CTR., IN PURSUIT OF PEACE: BUILDING POLICE-COMMUNITY TRUST TO BREAK THE CYCLE OF VIOLENCE (2020), available at https://tinyurl.com/aehma6xp	7, 8
Hayden Godfrey et al., Fatal Force, WASH. POST DATABASE (last updated June 10, 2024), https://www.washingtonpost.com/graphics/investigations/police-shootings-	C
Joanna C. Schwartz, What Police Learn From Lawsuits, 33 Cardozo L. Rev. 841	14

P	age(s)
KANSAS LEG. RESEARCH DEP'T, OTHER STATES' LAWS ON COLLECTING UNPAID TOLLS (2016), available at https://tinyurl.com/5brhjyfk	11
Kimberly Kindy & Kimbriell Kelly, Thousands Dead, Few Prosecuted, WASH. Post (Apr. 11, 2015), https://www.wash ingtonpost.com/sf/investigative/2015/04/11/ thousands-dead-few-prosecuted/	7
Kristina E. Music Biro, 28 FLA. Jur. 2D HIGHWAYS (2024)	11
PETER VIELEHR, ET AL., GIDEON'S ARMY, DRIVING WHILE BLACK: A REPORT ON RACIAL PROFILING IN METRO NASHVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT TRAFFIC STOPS (2016), available at https://tinyurl.com/bdezpuns	8
Policing Project at N.Y.U. L. Sch., An Assessment of Traffic Stops and Policing Strategies in Nashville (n.d.), available at https://tinyurl.com/44krxfvz	6
Rob Arthur, New Data Shows Police Use More Force Against Black Citizens Even Though Whites Resist More, SLATE (May 30, 2019, 2:41 PM), https://slate.com/ news-and-politics/2019/05/chicago-police department-consent-decree-black-lives- matter-resistance.html	7
Sam McCann, Low-Level Traffic Stops are Ineffective, VERA INST. FOR JUSTICE, available at https://tinyurl.com/3hwtyeac	
(last visited June 13, 2024)	4

### vi

P	age(s)
Teresa J. Farris et. al., 12 MASS. PRAC., MOTOR VEHICLE LAW AND PRACTICE (2021-2024)	11
What to Know about the Death of Daunte Wright, N.Y. TIMES (Feb. 21, 2022), https://www.nytimes.com/article/daunte-	
wright-death-minnesota.html	9

#### INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE<sup>1</sup>

Color Of Change is the nation's largest online racial justice organization, driven by millions of members around the United States. Using an integrated and intersectional approach, Color Of Change fights the policies and racism that undermine the progress of Black communities, and it champions solutions that move the country forward, in the economy, our democracy, and the media landscape. Color Of Change advocates in cases of discrimination and is involved in efforts to ensure that federal legislation and policy are fair and enforced without discrimination based on race, gender, sexual orientation, class, disability, or religious beliefs. Color Of Change's track record of addressing issues at the intersection of race, gender, sexual orientation, and gender identity make it well suited to address the questions presented in this case.

The related issues of the use of excessive force by police officers and qualified immunity stand at the center of Color Of Change's mission. At its core, Section 1983 was enacted as a civil rights statute to protect newly freed Black citizens in the aftermath of the Civil War. Qualified immunity and artificial rules limiting the scope of Section 1983 clash with that historic purpose by limiting the scope of recovery for Black citizens facing police and government misconduct. That is why, for example, Color Of Change has led efforts to pass Federal legislation to amend Section 1983 to eliminate or modify the defense of qualified immunity in federal cases.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> No counsel for any party has authored this brief in whole or in part, and no person other than the *amici* or their counsel have made any monetary contribution intended to fund the preparation or submission of this brief. All parties were given 10 days notice of the filing of brief.

Color Of Change also believes that low-level traffic stops, like the one at issue in this case, are a serious problem in American policing. Police have wide discretion to conduct traffic stops for a broad array of minor traffic offenses. That untrammeled discretion often leads to unnecessary violence as it did here.

Color Of Change further believes that police are too heavily incentivized to conduct these traffic stops. For example, a web of federal funding, such as formula-funded grant programs administered by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, has encouraged local law enforcement to conduct heightened and visible traffic enforcement measures under a guise of public safety, even if they disproportionately target Black communities and other communities of color for stops, searches, fines, and fees. Meanwhile, legal doctrines such as qualified immunity and the "moment of threat" doctrine operate so that police do not feel the counterbalance of legal consequences to unconstitutional action during these stops.

There is strong evidence that the police are more likely to pull over Black drivers than drivers of other races for alleged traffic violations, too. Color Of Change's advocacy work has shown that such stops fail to make our communities safer and too often cost Black drivers such as Philando Castile, Samuel DuBose, Daunte Wright, Tyre Nichols, Sandra Bland, and many more their life. Cases like this one demonstrate that serious danger. Granting review would help preserve the promise of Section 1983 and reduce the risk of pretextual traffic stops to Black communities.

#### SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

This is a tragic case. Eight years ago, a Harris County Deputy Constable shot 24-year-old Ashtian Barnes during a traffic stop over \$6.45 in someone else's unpaid tolls tied to a rental car. That violent attack robbed Mr. Barnes of his promising young life and left behind his mother Janice and his two sisters. The purpose of cases like this one is of course to give his family and loved ones some recompense for the immeasurable harm they have suffered. But in addition to compensating the victim's family, the law can create incentives so that no other family has to suffer the same harm. Granting certiorari here and reversing the judgment below can help achieve that goal.

Sadly, Mr. Barnes' death, as tragic as it is, was predictable. In recent years, the practice of police officers stopping citizens for minor traffic offenses that are not dangerous and for which the citizen cannot normally be arrested—such as failing to pay a toll, allowing a vehicle registration to expire, or driving with a broken taillight—has come under increased scrutiny. These low-level traffic stops are ostensibly intended to enforce traffic laws and ensure public safety. However, evidence suggests that these stops are often made where there is no safety reason. Worse, they can often escalate into dangerous situations, leading to unnecessary confrontations and even violence. And there is strong evidence that Black Americans are more often the target of these escalations than other groups.

In this case, the Fifth Circuit applied its "moment of threat" doctrine to hold that it could examine only the "precise moment" Felix shot the bullet that killed Mr. Barnes when analyzing an excessive force claim under Section 1983. Thus, the Court could not consider the fact that the risk the officer allegedly faced was part of

a series of events that *he* needlessly started. As the Petition shows, that rule has no basis in the text of Section 1983 or in how excessive force cases have traditionally been litigated.

Color Of Change writes to show that this narrow focus creates a legal environment where the root causes of police misconduct remain unaddressed, eroding public trust in the justice system. By granting certiorari in this case, the Court can help address that serious problem while also bringing the Fifth Circuit into alignment with the majority of circuits that properly allow district courts to analyze the full context of the police encounter. The petition for certiorari should be granted.

#### ARGUMENT

# I. Unnecessary traffic stops like the one in this case are unjustified.

Traffic stops for minor infractions, such as for toll violations, broken taillights or expired registrations, are a pervasive aspect of policing in the United States.<sup>2</sup> These seemingly routine stops disproportionately affect marginalized communities, particularly Black and Brown drivers. Worse, they often escalate into more severe encounters, sometimes resulting in excessive use of force or even fatal outcomes for the drivers. The practice not only diverts law enforcement resources from addressing serious crimes but also erodes public trust in the police. It perpetuates racial disparities and undermines the principles of justice and equity. Re-evaluating the necessity and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sam McCann, *Low-Level Traffic Stops are Ineffective*, VERA INST. FOR JUSTICE, available at https://tinyurl.com/3hwtyeac (last visited June 13, 2024) (explaining that there is a "renewed push to examine the underlying logic of low-level traffic stops").

implementation of traffic stops for minor infractions is crucial for fostering safer, fairer, and more effective policing practices.<sup>3</sup> Cases like this one, if allowed to proceed, can help encourage police departments and municipalities to take steps to reduce the harm of low-level traffic stops around the country.

# A. Low-level traffic stops are common and dangerous.

In 2020, 53.8 million United States residents over the age of sixteen encountered law enforcement officers, according to the Department of Justice.<sup>4</sup> That's about one in five people considered old enough to drive a car.<sup>5</sup> Routine traffic stops—like the one for unpaid tolls in this case—make up a hefty portion of those encounters. Roughly 20 million people are pulled over by law enforcement every year in routine traffic stops.<sup>6</sup> One

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Some jurisdictions have already begun to limit low-level traffic stops. For example, in 2013 the police chief of Fayettville, North Carolina told his officers to no longer stop cars for non-hazardous traffic violations. See David D. Kirkpatric, Steve Eder, and Kim Barker, Cities Try to Turn the Tide on Police Traffic Stops, N.Y. TIMES, April 15, 2022, available at https://tinyurl.com/52v4e4p5. See also Daniela Gilbert, Sensible Traffic Ordinances for Public Safety (STOPS), VERA INST. FOR JUSTICE, available at https://tinyurl.com/3h9pznrj (last visited June 19, 2024) (listing jurisdictions that have limited traffic stops by either local or state legislation, by police order, or by prosecutorial policy).

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  DEP'T OF JUSTICE BUREAU OF JUSTICE STATISTICS, CONTACTS BETWEEN POLICE AND THE PUBLIC, 2020 1 (2022) [hereinafter BJS REPORT], https://bjs.ojp.gov/library/publications/contacts-between-police-and-public-2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Emma Pierson et al., A Large-Scale Analysis of Racial Disparities in Police Stops Across the United States, 4 NATURE HUM. BEHAVIOUR 736, 736 (2020).

statewide study found that almost half of traffic stops were for minor or non-moving infractions that had little to do with traffic safety, such as broken taillights, expired registration—or, as in this case, unpaid tolls.<sup>7</sup> As for the rest of traffic stops, studies show that despite their prevalence, such routine traffic stops have almost no effect when it comes to preventing criminal activity.<sup>8</sup>

On the other hand, police encounters can have deadly consequences. Most law enforcement officers never use deadly force. But the relatively few that do levy a steep tax on the human lives those very officers are meant to serve: records show at least 1,169 people have been shot and killed by police officers within the last twelve months alone. And while fatal police

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> POLICING PROJECT AT N.Y.U. L. SCH., AN ASSESSMENT OF TRAFFIC STOPS AND POLICING STRATEGIES IN NASHVILLE 7 (n.d.), available at https://tinyurl.com/44krxfvz; ALEX CHOHLAS-WOOD ET AL., STAN. COMPUTATIONAL POLICY LAB, AN ANALYSIS OF THE METROPOLITAN NASHVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT'S TRAFFIC STOP PRACTICES 3 (2018), https://policylab.hks.harvard.edu/media/nas hville-traffic-stops.pdf.; see also Frank R. Baumgartner, Derek A. Epp, and Kelsey Shoub, Suspect Citizens: What 20 Million Traffic Stops Tell Us About Policing and Race (2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Chohlas-Wood, *supra* note 7, at 9–10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Gene Demby, Some Key Facts We've Learned About Police Shootings Over the Past Year, NPR (Apr. 13, 2015, 1:57 PM), https://n.pr/2IQ1RBV.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Hayden Godfrey et al., *Fatal Force*, WASH. POST DATABASE (last updated June 10, 2024), https://www.washingtonpost.com/graphics/investigations/police-shootings-database/. The number of police-shooting deaths has grown every single year since 2020: 2020 (1020), 2021 (1050), 2022 (1095), 2023 (1161). *Id*.

shootings may garner a higher share of public attention, police injure tens of thousands of people every year.<sup>11</sup>

Despite these staggering rates of damage inflicted on individuals, police are rarely prosecuted, and even more rarely convicted. Between 2005 and 2015, only 54 officers were criminally charged, despite the thousands of fatal police shootings that occurred during those same years. <sup>12</sup> Fewer than half of those were ultimately convicted. <sup>13</sup>

Civil suits brought by victims in appropriate cases are often the only path for justice.

# B. The costs of these traffic stops are not borne equally.

There's a third piece to this puzzle, on top of the frequency of police encounters and the consequences that might ensue from such encounters: who is being stopped for traffic infractions and thus facing the risk of those consequences? The overwhelming evidence says the disproprortiante answer is Black individuals.<sup>14</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Elinore J. Kaufman et al., *US Emergency Department Encounters for Law Enforcement–Associated Injury*, 152 JAMA SURGERY, no. 6, 513, 603 (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Kimberly Kindy & Kimbriell Kelly, *Thousands Dead, Few Prosecuted*, WASH. POST (Apr. 11, 2015), https://www.washingtonpost.com/sf/investigative/2015/04/11/thousands-dead-few-prosecuted/.

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$  Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See, e.g., GIFFORDS L. CTR., IN PURSUIT OF PEACE: BUILDING POLICE-COMMUNITY TRUST TO BREAK THE CYCLE OF VIOLENCE (2020), available at https://tinyurl.com/aehma6xp; see also Rob Arthur, New Data Shows Police Use More Force Against Black Citizens Even Though Whites Resist More, SLATE (May 30, 2019, 2:41 PM), https://slate.com/news-and-politics/2019/05/chicago-policedepartment-consent-decree-black-lives-matter-resistance. html.

A recent Department of Justice report identified patterns of police force that disproportionately affected Black individuals and communities. <sup>15</sup> Another study shows a higher likelihood Black drivers will be stopped (relative to their share of the population) than white drivers. <sup>16</sup> And during those stops, Black drivers are more likely to be searched, but *less* likely to be found in possession of contraband items like drugs or weapons. <sup>17</sup>

At the intersection of these three puzzle pieces—the relative uselessness of routine traffic stops in addressing criminal activity, the potential life-or-death consequences that stem from a police encounter, and the unequal burden among those affected—is this case: an unarmed Black man, stopped by police for a minor and non-moving traffic violation (on a car that wasn't his), is fatally shot.<sup>18</sup>

Ashtian Barnes joins a tragic list of Black men fatally shot by police after being stopped for minor

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> BJS REPORT, *supra* note 4, at 5, 11; *see also* GIFFORDS L. CTR., *supra* note 15, at 55 (discussing recent Department of Justice investigations that "uncovered pervasive patterns of unconstitutional policing practices" especially against communities of color).

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$  Pierson,  $supra\,$  note 6, at 737; Chohlas-Wood,  $supra\,$  note 7, at 4–6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Pierson, *supra* note 6, at 739.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> It bears noting that a less obvious consequence of these low-level traffic stops is that Black citizens feel marginalized. As one study quoted a 70-year old Vietnam veteran: "it's dehumanizing. ... I never thought I'd say this, but I think one of my greatest fears nowadays is a traffic stop. You know? [A] [b]roken taillight can result in you losing your life." Peter Vielehr, et al., Gideon's Army, Driving while Black: A report on racial profiling in Metro Nashville Police Department Traffic Stops 78 (2016), available at https://tinyurl.com/bdez puns.

traffic violations: Philando Castile for a broken brake light in Minnesota; Walter Scott for a broken taillight in South Carolina; Samuel DuBose for a missing tag in Ohio; Daunte Wright for expired registration tags in Minnesota; and others. <sup>19</sup> Each of these examples shows how a routine traffic stop may escalate into a fatal encounter in a matter of seconds. What happens in those critical seconds of escalation—seconds in which a person's life or death is unilaterally decided by a police officer holding a gun—should not be ignored.

The doctrine of qualified immunity already functions as "an absolute shield for law enforcement officers." *Kisela v. Hughes*, 138 S. Ct. 1148, 1162 (2018) (Sotomayor, J., dissenting). Under the Fifth Circuit's approach to the doctrine of qualified immunity and excessive force claims, those critical seconds, in which police may create an emergency and then shoot with impunity, are further weaponized.

# C. Toll violations are universally understood to be unrelated to public safety.

Toll violations do not endanger public safety. The best evidence of this is that most states make even repeated toll violations misdemeanors that are punished with driving penalties (like suspended licenses) rather than prison sentences. Mr. Barnes was driving in Texas, and Texas law is a perfect example of this basic understanding. Texas law emphasizes that toll violations—even if Barnes actually was a toll violator

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Editorial Board, *Police Should Stop Making Minor Traffic Stops that Too Often Turn into Major Tragedies*, USA TODAY (July 13, 2021, *updated Jan. 31, 2023*), available at\_https://tinyurl.com/4chskzy7; *What to Know about the Death of Daunte Wright*, N.Y. TIMES (Feb. 21, 2022), https://www.nytimes.com/article/dau nte-wright-death-minnesota.html.

rather than an innocent person renting a car—are not serious crimes that require arrest. In fact, there is no provision in the Texas Transportation Code to stop a car for toll violations except in extreme circumstances of 100 or more annual toll violations. *See* Tex. Transp. Code §§ 372.106, .110, .112.

The Texas Transportation Code's remedies for toll violations make the point. One of the primary methods Texas uses to enforce tolls is to shame toll violators. Under Texas Transportation Code § 372.102, a toll entity may "publish a list of the names of the registered owners or lessees of nonpaying vehicles." Tolls may be paid back to the state over a "specified period." Tex. Transp. Code § 372.103. And there is only one time the Texas Transportation Code mentions a traffic stop for nonpayment—"where a peace officer" observes a vehicle "operated in violation of an order" entered after the a habitual violator finding. Tex. Transp. Code § 372.112 ("Impoundment of Motor Vehicle"); § 372.110 (orders prohibiting operation of motor vehicle). That too is the only scenario where the Texas Transportation Code specifically calls for a criminal charge: where a tolling entity has issued an order prohibiting the operation of a motor vehicle where the owner has been "finally determined to be a habitual offender." Tex. Transp. Code § 372.110(d). And that charge is a "Class C misdemeanor"—under Texas law "an individual adjudged guilty of a Class C misdemeanor shall be punished by a fine not to exceed \$500." Tex. Penal Code § 12.23. To summarize the Texas Legislature's policy choices regarding toll violations makes the minor nature of the supposed offense in this case clear: in the event a registered owner of a car fails to pay more than 100 tolls in a year, the car can be impounded or the owner can be arrested for a fineable offense only. There is no evidence in this record that Mr. Barnes fell anywhere near these provisions: indeed, there could not be, because his girlfriend was renting the car connected to the toll violations.

Texas is not some kind of outlier on toll violations. Throughout the country, the chief consequence for failing to pay a toll is a fine or non-prison misdemeanor.<sup>20</sup> In Florida, for example, the failure to pay a toll is punishable as a "noncriminal traffic infraction," where the citation is mailed to the toll violator by mail.<sup>21</sup> So too in Massachusetts.<sup>22</sup>

Because legislatures have decided that toll violations are not dangerous offenses, the priority of police departments should be to ensure that no one is hurt enforcing this kind of law. But the facts in this case and in many others show that police departments are not following that wisdom.

### D. Reducing the incidence of low-level stops would be good for citizens and for the police.

One of the most compelling arguments against lowlevel traffic stops is the potential for these interactions to escalate into violent confrontations. Traffic stops are inherently unpredictable. Heightened anxiety and tension exist on both sides, as an officer approaching a vehicle has limited information about the driver and

 $<sup>^{20}\</sup> See\ generally\ Kansas\ Leg.$  Research Dep't, Other States' Laws on Collecting Unpaid Tolls (2016), available at https://tinyurl.com/5brhjyfk.

 $<sup>^{21}</sup>$  Kristina E. Music Biro, 28 Fla. Jur. 2D Highways,  $\S$  273, Tolls on roads and bridges – penalty for failure to pay.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See Teresa J. Farris et. al., 12 MASS. PRAC., MOTOR VEHICLE LAW AND PRACTICE, § 16.32 ("a person charged with a toll violation will receive written notice from a DOT violations clerk").

passengers while many drivers (especially Black drivers) are all too cognizant about the history of violent trafficstop interactions. Even minor misunderstandings or perceived threats can quickly spiral out of control.

Low-level traffic stops also have a significant impact on the relationship between law enforcement and the communities they serve. Frequent stops for minor offenses can create a sense of harassment and discrimination, particularly in communities of color. As explained above, research has shown that Black and Hispanic drivers are more likely to be stopped by police than their white counterparts, even though they are no more likely to be found with contraband. This disproportionate targeting can erode trust in law enforcement, making community members less likely to cooperate with police or report crimes.<sup>23</sup> When citizens view traffic stops as arbitrary or biased, it undermines the legitimacy of the police force and hampers efforts to build positive relationships between officers and the public.

Conducting low-level traffic stops also places a significant burden on law enforcement resources. Each traffic stop requires time and attention that could be better spent addressing more serious crimes. Enforcing the circuit courts' majority rule nationwide—so that *Graham v. Connor*, 490 U.S. 386 (1989), is given full respect in analyzing traffic-stop violence—may or may not affect the amount that police officers use the traffic stop as a law-enforcement tool. But such an effect would be of no harm to the public or the police. Indeed, by redirecting efforts away from minor traffic violations,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> See Charles R. Epp, Steven Maynard-Moody, & Donald P. Haider-Markel, Pulled Over: How Police Stops Define Race & Citizenship ch. 7 (2014) (explaining how investigatory traffic stops erodes trust in police and drivers' willingness to call police for help).

police departments can allocate resources more efficiently and focus on issues that have a greater impact on public safety.

# II. Granting the Petition will not deprive officers of a defense.

Protecting officers through the "moment of threat" doctrine is especially inappropriate because that rule provides a second layer of atextual protection from suits under Section 1983. That is because courts have thus far held that officers making "calculated choices about enacting or enforcing unconstitutional policies" receive the "same protection as a police officer who makes a split-second decision to use force in a dangerous setting." Hoggard v. Rhodes, 141 S. Ct. 2421, 2422 (2021) (Thomas, J., statement respecting denial of certiorari). Whether or not that is right, that is the current law. See also Gonzalez v. Trevino, 42 F. 4th 487, 495 (5th Cir. 2022) (Oldham, J., dissenting) ("And it's not at all clear that we should apply the same-qualified immunity inquiries for First Amendment cases, Fourth Amendment cases, split-second-decisionmaking cases, and deliberative-conspiracy cases"); Wearry v. Foster, 52 F. 4th 258, 259 (5th Cir. 2020) (officers should not be liable when "public officials are forced to make splitsecond, life-and-death decision in a good-faith *effort to* save innocent lives") (Ho, J., concurring in denial of en banc); Gonzalez v. Trevino, 60 F. 4th 906, 913 (5th Cir. 2023) (heroic officers who "stop violent criminals" should not be liable for excessive force).

This case illustrates the point. Even if the "moment of threat" doctrine did not exist in this case, Officer Felix would have the opportunity to argue that his constitutional violations were not clearly established, and to appeal the denial of qualified immunity on an interlocutory basis. Under the Fifth Circuit's rule, Felix can start by arguing that he committed no violation because of the "moment of threat" rule, and then argue that his violations were not clearly established violations of Section 1983 in the broader context. That double protection puts too heavy a thumb on the scale against liability. If the point of qualified immunity and the law of excessive force is to protect peace officers that are pursuing criminals and preventing active crimes even from the risk of suit, those arguments should apply with less force when officers' actions or decisions create the emergencies. In such cases, applying the "moment of threat" rule unjustly protects officers from the consequences of their misconduct, as their initial actions directly contributed to the perceived need for force.

Allowing cases to proceed to trial—whether by adjusting qualified immunity doctrine or by doing away with the "moment of threat" doctrine in cases where officers create emergencies—will deter reckless or aggressive policing tactics, promoting more responsible and measured behavior.<sup>24</sup> Public confidence in law enforce-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Cf. Joanna C. Schwartz, What Police Learn From Lawsuits, 33 Cardozo L. Rev. 841, 844–45 (2012) (explaining that "lawsuits are a valuable source of information" to police departments because they use lawsuit data "to identify problem officers, units, and practices," to "explore personnel, training, and policy issues that may have led to the claims," and to "craft interventions aimed at remedying those underlying problems").

ment is significantly eroded when officers are perceived as being above the law. Ensuring accountability for created emergencies can help rebuild trust and improve community-police relations. By eliminating the aberrant "moment of threat" doctrine and ensuring the doctrine does not distort qualified-immunity analyses, courts can provide a more just avenue for victims to hold officers accountable for their actions.

This case underscores the dire need to recalibrate the law of excessive force to better reflect the varied circumstances under which police officers operate. Officers who engage in proactive, purposeful misconduct, who deliberately create dangerous situations, or who implement unconstitutional policies should not be afforded the same protections as those who make quick decisions in high-pressure situations not of their making. As Justice Thomas and other jurists have noted, the blanket application of qualified immunity across all contexts dilutes its original intent and unjustly shields officers from accountability when they have knowingly created dangerous scenarios. This approach, combined with the Fifth Circuit's excessive force rule, fails to deter misconduct and allows systemic issues within law enforcement practices to persist unaddressed.

Moreover, by ensuring that situations where officers create emergencies at least reach a jury, courts would promote a culture of accountability and transparency within law enforcement agencies. This change would incentivize departments to prioritize training and policies that emphasize de-escalation and adherence to constitutional protocols. The proper rejection of the "moment of threat" doctrine would ensure that victims of police misconduct have a viable path to seek justice and compensation, reinforcing the principle that law enforcement is not above the law. Ultimately, such

reforms—to both traffic-stop policies and other police practices—would enhance public trust in the justice system and contribute to safer, more equitable communities.

#### **CONCLUSION**

This Court should grant certiorari.

Respectfully submitted,

RAFFI MELKONIAN
Counsel of Record
MICHAEL ADAMS-HURTA
ROSE DODA
WRIGHT CLOSE & BARGER, LLP
One Riverway, Suite 2200
Houston, Texas 77056
(713) 572-4321
melkonian@wrightclosebarger.com

Counsel for Amicus Curiae Color of Change

June 24, 2024