In the Supreme Court of the United States

McLaughlin Chiropractic Associates, Inc., Individually and as representative of a class of similarly situated persons, *Petitioner*,

v.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} MCKESSON CORPORATION and MCKESSON TECHNOLOGIES, INC., \\ Respondents. \\ \end{tabular}$

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DISPENSE WITH PREPARATION OF JOINT APPENDIX

Under Supreme Court Rule 26.8, Petitioner moves for leave to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix in this case. Respondents agree that a joint appendix is not necessary.

The question presented is whether the Hobbs Act required the district court in this case to accept the FCC's legal interpretation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. The opinion and orders of the lower courts are reproduced in the appendix to the petition for a writ of certiorari. The parties agree that no other portion of the record merits special attention to warrant the preparation and expense of a joint appendix, and that preparation of a joint appendix would not materially assist the Court in its consideration of the case.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew W.H. Wessler
MATTHEW W.H. WESSLER
Counsel of Record
JONATHAN E. TAYLOR
GUPTA WESSLER LLP
2001 K Street, NW
Suite 850 North
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 888-1741
matt@guptawessler.com

GLENN L. HARA
ANDERSON + WANCA
3701 ALGONQUIN ROAD
SUITE 500
ROLLING MEADOWS, IL 60008
(847) 368-1500

 $Counsel \, for \, Petitioner$