



Hogan Lovells US LLP  
Columbia Square  
555 Thirteenth Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20004

Catherine E. Stetson  
T +1 202 637 5600  
F +1 202 637 5910  
cate.stetson@hoganlovells.com

August 15, 2024

**By Electronic Filing and Hand Delivery**

The Honorable Scott S. Harris  
Clerk of the Court  
Supreme Court of the United States  
Washington, D.C. 20543

**Re: *Smith & Wesson Brands, Inc., et al. v. Estados Unidos Mexicanos*,  
No. 23-1141**

Dear Mr. Harris,

Petitioner Smith & Wesson's August 8 letter informed the Court that the District Court below recently dismissed six of the eight Petitioners from this case. That is correct. Thus, although the Petition repeatedly warned that the decision below threatens the "American firearms industry," Pet. 2, 4, 5, 7, 11, 14, 27, 31, 32, 34, 35, only two defendants remain in the District Court: one manufacturer and one wholesaler.

The District Court's recent ruling not only undermines Petitioners' arguments about the importance of this case. *See* Pet. 32-35. It also underscores how poor a vehicle the Petition itself is. *See* BIO 30-31. Six of the eight Petitioners have now been dismissed by the District Court. And the remaining Petitioners have other motions to dismiss pending. *See* BIO 9. These active proceedings below perfectly illustrate why this Court "is rightly wary of taking cases in an interlocutory posture." BIO 30 (citing *Harrel v. Raoul*, 144 S. Ct. 2491, 2492 (2024) (Thomas, J., statement)).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Catherine E. Stetson  
Catherine E. Stetson

*Counsel for Respondent*

cc: Noel J. Francisco  
*Counsel for Petitioner*