## LICHTEN & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C.

HAROLD L. LICHTEN<sup>×</sup> SHANNON LISS-RIORDAN<sup>×∆</sup><sup>◇</sup> SARAH SCHALMAN-BERGEN• MATTHEW W. THOMSON<sup>×</sup> ADELAIDE H. PAGANO<sup>×</sup>

THOMAS P. FOWLER\*

OLENA SAVYTSKA\*

ANNE KRAMER\*

MICHELLE CASSORLA\*

ZACHARY RUBIN\*

ANASTASIA DOHERTY\*

TARA BOGHOSIAN\*

MATTHEW PATTON\*

KRYSTEN CONNON\*

BENJAMIN J. WEBER\*

OF COUNSEL

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

729 BOYLSTON STREET, SUITE 2000 BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02116

TELEPHONE 617-994-5800 FACSIMILE 617-994-5801 WWW.LLRLAW.COM

January 19, 2022

## VIA: UPS AND ELECTRONIC FILING

Mr. Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Court Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20543-0001 sharris@supremecourt.gov

Re: Postmates, LLC fka Postmates, Inc. v. Jacob Rimler et al., No. 21-119

## Dear Clerk Harris:

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30.4, Respondents Jacob Rimler, et al., respectfully move for an extension of time for filing their response to Petitioners Postmates, LLC fka Postmates, Inc.'s (hereinafter "Postmates) Petition for Writ of Certiorari until March 31, 2022. The response is currently due on January 31, 2022.

The parties in this case have reached a class action settlement which would resolve all claims in this case, which has been filed in San Francisco Superior Court. See Rimler v. Postmates, Inc., Case No. CGC-18-567868 (San Francisco Superior Court); Postmates Classification Cases, Case No. CJC-20-005068 (San Francisco Superior Court). The settlement was preliminarily approved by the Court on August 12, 2021. The final approval hearing has been continued from January 5, 2022, to March 4, 2022. Assuming that the Court grants final approval, this case will be moot.<sup>1</sup>

Accordingly, in the interest of judicial economy, counsel for Respondents respectfully request that their response deadline be extended until March 31, 2022, after the final approval hearing.

Respondents also note that the Supreme Court has already granted certiorari in <u>Viking River Cruises</u>, Inc. v. Moriana, No. 20-1573, which presents the same issue as this case. Thus, Postmates' Petition may be moot regardless.

## LICHTEN & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Shannon Liss-Riordan Shannon Liss-Riordan Counsel for Respondents

cc: Theane Evangelis (counsel for Petitioner)
Bradley Hamburger (counsel for Petitioner)
Dhananjay Manthripragada (counsel for Petitioner)